#### STA 291 Lecture 24

Two kinds of Error in Testing hypothesis

Examples.

#### About bonus project

Due in Lab April 20-22

- Some survey show a majority people believe in "hot hand". A follow-up question then is: if there were "hot hand", how much better/worse a shooter can become by the previous shoots? (i.e. what is a reasonable difference to expect)
- i.e. whether he made or missed the two previous shots, how much difference do you think this has the effect on the present shoot? (in terms of hitting percentages) 5%? 10%? or even 20%?

- Since a small difference will need more data to detect.
- A larger difference can be discovered with less data.

Some clue: margin of error calculations.

Bonus is worth equivalent to one LAB

Lab will start to give "practical quiz"

## Decisions and Types of Errors in Tests of Hypotheses

#### Terminology:

- The alpha-level (significance level) is a threshold number such that one rejects the null hypothesis if the p-value is less than or equal to it. The most common alpha-levels are 0.05 and 0.01
- The choice of the alpha-level reflects how cautious the researcher wants to be (when it come to reject null hypothesis)

- Type I Error: The null hypothesis is rejected, even though it is true.
- Type II Error: The null hypothesis is not rejected, even though it is false.

 Setting the alpha-level low protect us from type I Error. (the probability of making a type I error is less than alpha)

#### Decision

Reject null

True

Type I correct
False

Correct
Type II error

the null hypothesis

- Terminology:
  - Alpha = Probability of make a Type I error
  - Beta = Probability of make a Type II error
  - Power = 1 Probability of a Type II error = 1 Beta
- For a given data, the smaller the probability of Type I error, the larger the probability of Type II error and the smaller the power
- i.e. If you set alpha very small, it is more likely that you fail to detect a real difference (larger Beta).

 When sample size(s) increases, both error probabilities could be made to decrease.

- Our Strategy:
  - -- keep type I error probability small by pick a small alpha.
  - -- Increase sample size to make Beta small.
- Depend on how expensive to obtain data,
   a Beta = 0.15 is not uncommon.

- In practice, alpha is specified, and the probability of Type II error could be calculated, but the calculations are usually difficult (sample size calculation)
- How to choose alpha?
- If the consequences of a Type I error are very serious, then chose a smaller alpha, like 0.01.
- For example, you want to find evidence that someone is guilty of a crime.
- In exploratory research, often a larger probability of Type I error is acceptable (like 0.05 or even 0.1)

# Example: New drug development

 The null hypothesis usually state that the new drug is "no difference" to the placebo.

- A type I error in this context is: falsely conclude a drug is useful when it is actually "NO effect"
- A type II error in this context is: falsely dismiss a useful drug.

#### Alternative and p-value computation

$$H_0: p = p_0$$

	One-Sided Tests		Two-Sided Test
alternative Hypothesis	$H_A: p < p_0$	$H_A: p > p_0$	$H_A: p \neq p_0$
<i>p</i> -value	$P(Z < z_{obs})$	$P(Z > z_{obs})$	$2 \cdot P(Z > \mid z_{obs} \mid)$

$$z_{obs} = \frac{\hat{p} - p_0}{\sqrt{p_0 (1 - p_0)/n}}$$

#### Example

 Two consumer products (shampoo, laundry detergent etc) comparison. Call them A vs. B

 n consumers are given both products in the identical packaging. After one week of use of both products, state a preference.

 If there were no difference, then we should see 50%-50% Suppose in n=236 consumers, 110 prefer product A. Let p = popu. proportion prefer A. Use alpha = 0.05

• Null: 
$$H_0: p = 0.5$$

• Alternative:  $H_A: p \neq 0.5$ 

• Compute  $z_{obs}$ 

• Sample proportion,  $\hat{p} = 110/236 = 0.4661$ 

$$z_{obs} = \frac{0.4661 - 0.5}{\sqrt{0.5(1 - 0.5)/236}} = -1.04156$$

- Finally look the Z table for P-value:
- P-value=2P(Z>1.04)=2(1-0.8508)=0.2984

- Conclusion, we do not reject null hypothesis since P-value is not less than alpha.
- Since 0.2984 is not less than 0.05

## Two sample cases are similar, with two differences:

- Hypothesis involve 2 parameters from 2 populations
- Test statistic, Z<sub>obs</sub>, is different, involve 2 samples

#### Alternative and p-value computation

$$H_0: p_1 - p_2 = 0$$

	One-Sided Tests		Two-Sided Test
alternative Hypothesis	$H_A: p_1 - p_2 < 0$	$H_A: p_1 - p_2 > 0$	$H_A: p_1 - p_2 \neq 0$
<i>p</i> -value	$P(Z < z_{obs})$	$P(Z > z_{obs})$	$2 \cdot P(Z > \mid z_{obs} \mid)$

## Two p's

 $H_0: p_1 = p_2$  which is equivalent to  $H_0: p_1 - p_2 = 0$ ,

$$Z_{obs} = \frac{\hat{p}_{1} - \hat{p}_{2}}{\sqrt{\frac{\hat{p}(1-\hat{p})}{n_{1}} + \frac{\hat{p}(1-\hat{p})}{n_{2}}}}$$

- Where the  $\hat{p}$  in the denominator is the combined (pooled) sample proportion.
- = Total number of successes over total number of observations

So there are 3 different sample proportions: from sample one, from sample two and from both samples.

- P for P-value in a test hypothesis setting
- p for population proportion

ullet  $\hat{p}$  for sample proportion

ullet  $p_0$  for the hypothesized population proportion value

## **Attendance Survey Question 24**

- On a 4"x6" index card
  - Please write down your name and section number
  - -Today's Question:

- Probability of making a type II error is denoted by:
- a. Alpha b. Beta c. Power

# Example: compare 2 proportions

 A nation wide study: an aspirin every other day can sharply reduce a man's risk of heart attack. (New York Times, reporting Jan. 27, 1987)

- Aspirin group: 104 Heart Att. in 11037
- Placebo group: 189 Heart Att. in 11034
- Randomized, double-blinded study

Let aspirin = group 1; placebo = group 2
 p1 = popu. proportion of Heart att. for group 1

p2 = popu. proportion of Heart att. for group 2

$$H_0: p_1 = p_2$$
 which is equivalent to  $H_0: p_1 - p_2 = 0$ 

$$H_A: p_1 \neq p_2$$
 or  $H_A: p_1 - p_2 \neq 0$ 

- We may use software to compute a pvalue
- p-value = 7.71e-07 = 0.000000771
   Or we can calculate by hand:

$$Z_{obs} = \frac{\hat{p}_{1} - \hat{p}_{2}}{\sqrt{\frac{\hat{p}(1-\hat{p})}{n_{1}} + \frac{\hat{p}(1-\hat{p})}{n_{2}}}}$$

• n1= 11037, n2 = 11034  $\hat{p}_1 = 104/11037 = 0.00942285$   $\hat{p}_2 = 189/11034 = 0.01712887$ 

$$\hat{p} = (104 + 189)/(11037 + 11034) = 0.013275$$

z = -0.00770602/0.001540777

= -5.001386

$$Y_{obs} = \frac{0.00942285 - 0.01712887}{\sqrt{\frac{\hat{p}(1-\hat{p})}{11037} + \frac{0.013275(1-0.013275)}{11034}}}$$

- P-value=  $2 \times P(Z > |-5.00|)$
- It falls out of the range of our Z- table,
   so......
  - P-value is approx. zero. (much smaller than 0.0000?)
- What is alpha level? Say it was 0.01. Since P-value is smaller than alpha, we reject the null hypothesis.