STA 291 Lecture 26

Two types of errors in testing hypothesis.

 Connection between testing hypothesis and confidence intervals • A P-value that is smaller than 0.01 must also be smaller than 0.05

 A P-value that is smaller than 0.05 may or may not be smaller than 0.01 Read the wiki page on P-value:

• http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/P-value

• Bonus due this week.

Get familiar with the final exam formula sheet.

 70% of Final exam will cover contents after 2nd midterm.

In testing a hypothesis

 If our conclusion is to "reject the null hypothesis".....then we either made a correct decision or we made a type I error. If our conclusion is "do not reject the null hypothesis" then we either made a correct decision or made a type __II___ error.

Type I and Type II Errors

- Type I Error: The null hypothesis is rejected, even though it is true.
- Type II Error: The null hypothesis is not rejected, even though it is false.

 Setting the alpha-level (significance level) low protect us from type I Error. (the probability of making a type I error is less than alpha) The chance of making a Type II error can be made small by increasing the sample size. (assume you use the correct testing procedure)

Decisions and Types of Errors in Tests of Hypotheses

- Terminology:
 - The alpha-level (significance level) is a *threshold number* such that one rejects the null hypothesis if the *p*-value falls below it. The most common alpha-levels are 0.05 and 0.01
 - The choice of the alpha-level reflects how cautious the researcher wants to be (when it comes to reject null hypothesis)



If after all the calculations and review the evidences you *decide to reject the null hypothesis* H₀, you may be right or you may made a type 1 error.

 If after all the calculations you decide not to reject the null hypothesis H₀, you may be right or you may made a type II error.

- When sample size(s) increases, both error probabilities could be made to decrease.
- Strategy:
- keep type I error probability small by pick a small alpha.
- Increase sample size to force the probability of making type II error, Beta, small. (or increase Power)

A connection between confidence intervals and testing hypothesis of two sided *H_A*

- Testing $H_0: \mathbf{m} = 3, \quad H_A: \mathbf{m} \neq 3$
- If the 95% confidence interval includes the value
 3 → (3 is a possible value) → the p-value of the test must be larger than 5% (not reject)
- 🗲 also true.

- If the 95% confidence interval do not includes the value 3 → the p-value of the test must be smaller than 5% (reject).
- 🗲 also holds
- True for other parameters. True for other confidence levels.
- Only works for two sided H_A hypothesis.

 Confidence interval for a parameter consist of those values that are plausible, not rejectable, in a testing setting (of two sided *H_A* hypothesis) So, the confidence interval consists of those values of parameters that are compatible with the observed data data.

- 95% confidence → 5% error ← → p-value of 5%
- 90% confidence → 10% error ← → p-value of 10% etc.

 suppose the 95% confidence interval computed from data for *m* is [2.2, 4.1] any test of

$$H_0: m=3, H_A: m≠3$$

 $H_0: m=2.8, H_A: m≠2.8$
 $H_0: m=4, H_A: m≠4$

 Would result a p-value larger than 5% (not reject) i.e. any value inside [2.2, 4.1] are plausible. Now suppose 95% confidence interval computed from data for mu is [2.2, 4.1]. Any test of (based on the same data)

$$H_0: m = 1.9, \qquad H_A: m \neq 1.9$$

 H_0 : $\mathbf{m} = 4.8$, H_A : $\mathbf{m} \neq 4.8$ Would result a p-value smaller than 5% (reject null, using alpha=0.05)

Why not always confidence interval?

- In some cases, confidence interval is hard to obtain,
- Yet testing a specific hypothesis is easier.

• Confidence interval amounts to obtain all values m_0 that you cannot reject as H_0

Pair or not pair?

 If there is a possibility of pairing, then pair usually is better

- Some clue that things are not paired:
 -- there were different number of cases in
 - two samples.
 - -- The two samples are obtained at different times, with different experiments,

Paired Experiment: focus on the differences

- One subject contribute two results, we often can focus on the difference of the two from the same subject.
- Sometimes not possible..... how long a mice live before cancer kill. Same mice cannot be used twice. Strength of the shipping packaging test of strength would destroy the package

65 randomly chosen subjects are given two bottles of shampoos: A and B. After a week, each subject state which one they prefer

	Subject 1	Subject 2	Subject 3	
A	prefer		prefer	•
В		prefer		•

125 randomly chosen subjects are given two bottles of pills: A and B. After a month on each pill, report LDL cholesterol level

	Subject 1	Subject 2	Subject 3	
				•
A	167	155	233	
				•
В	188	159	214	• • • •

 After we take the difference for each subject, the problem becomes a one sample problem:

- If the preference has 50-50% chance?
- If the difference has mean zero?

Focus on the difference

- Prefer A; not prefer A; prefer A;
- For example 30 out of 65 prefer A
- In example two
- - 21; 4; 19;
- For example

 $\overline{X} = 11.4, \qquad s = 7.8$

For the first problem

$$z = \frac{0.4615 - 0.5}{\sqrt{0.5(1 - 0.5)}} = -0.6202$$

$$\frac{\sqrt{0.5(1 - 0.5)}}{\sqrt{65}}$$

 P-value is 2P(Z > |-0.6202 |) =2P(Z>0.6202)=0.535 If you use the computer to do the problem, the p-value will be slightly different. Due to the fact that our calculation is only an approximation (use CLT).

- Computer is more accurate.
- For sample size very large the difference goes away. (For example 300 subjects out of 650 prefer A)

For the cholesterol problem

 $H_0: \boldsymbol{m} = \boldsymbol{0}, \qquad H_1: \boldsymbol{m} \neq \boldsymbol{0}$

$$z = \frac{11.4 - 0}{\frac{7.8}{\sqrt{125}}} = 16.34$$

 What would be a 95% confidence interval for the mu – the population mean of the difference of cholesterol, when using pill A/B?

- Actually I should be looking up the t-table with degrees of freedom 125-1 = 124
 (since I used s in place of sigma)
- Using t-table applet I get also a tiny pvalue (with more than 30 zero's after decimal point)

- The formal conclusion: reject (overwhelmingly) the null hypothesis of difference = 0, imply the difference is not zero. Apparently the difference is positive – the average difference is 11.4.
- This imply pill B has lower LDL values compared to pill A.

Multiple Choice Question II

- The P-value for testing the null hypothesis mu=100 (two-sided) is P=0.0001. This indicates
 - a) There is strong evidence that mu = 100
 - b) There is strong evidence that mu > 100
 - c) There is strong evidence that mu < 100
 - d) If mu were equal to 100, it would be unusual (probability 0.0001) to obtain data such as those observed

Multiple Choice Question

- A 95% confidence interval for mu is (96,110). Which of the following statements about significance tests for the same data are correct?
 - a) In testing the null hypothesis mu=100 (two-sided), P>0.05
 - b) In testing the null hypothesis mu=100 (two-sided), P<0.05</p>
 - c) In testing the null hypothesis mu=x (two-sided), P>0.05 if x is any of the numbers inside the confidence interval
 - d) In testing the null hypothesis mu=x (two-sided), P<0.05 if x is any of the numbers outside the confidence interval

Attendance Survey Question 26

- -your name and section number
- -Today's Question:

The online homework

- A. is helpful, since I know if I aced it right away
- B. not helped me, because of computer glitches
- C. no opinion

This p is not that p-value

- P-value of a test procedure. Any hypothesis testing should result a p-value. It summarizes the strength of the evidence in the sample against H₀
- Proportion p or rate p or percentage p of success in the population: value specified in the null hypothesis to be tested. Only in the testing of the proportion, Bernoulli type populations

Test *H*₀: *p*=0.5 etc.