MA 575 MIDTERM EXAM.

October 6 2017

Name: _____

Problem 1. Suppose $X \subset C$ has a supremum. Show that $\sup(X) = \sup(\overline{X})$.

Proof: Let $u = \sup X$. Suppose y > u. Then take v > y and consider the open interval (u, v). This contains y but since u is an upper bound for X, it does not contain any $x \in X$. Therefore y is not a limit point of X.

Therefore if y is a limit point of X then y < u, and moreover since u is an upper bound for X, if $x \in X$ then x < u. Therefore x < u for all $x \in \overline{X}$. Thus u is an upper bound of \overline{X} .

Now if z < u then it follows from the definition of supremum that z is not an upper bound of X, so z is not an upper bound of \overline{X} . Therefore any upper bound of \overline{X} is greater than or equal to u, and hence u is the supremum of \overline{X} . **Problem 2.** Suppose $f : \mathcal{C} \to \mathcal{C}$ such that f(X) is connected for each $X \subset \mathcal{C}$. Does it follow that f is continuous? Justify your answer.

Solution: Yes, f must be continuous – in fact it must be constant. To prove this, consider any $a, b \in C$ and set $X = \{a, b\}$.

Then $f(X) = \{f(a), f(b)\}$ is connected. But if $f(a) \neq f(b)$ then take z between f(a) and f(b). The sets $(-\infty, z)$ and (z, ∞) are disjoint, open, and contain f(X) in their union. Moreover one of these sets contains f(a) and the other contains f(b), so they disconnect f(X). This contradicts the hypothesis, so we must have f(a) = f(b).

But a and b were arbitrary, so f(a) = f(b) for all $a, b \in C$. Thus f is constant and hence continuous.

Problem 3. Suppose X is compact and $f : \mathcal{C} \to \mathcal{C}$ is continuous. Show that f(X) is compact.

Proof: Suppose $\mathcal{U} = \{U_{\lambda}\}$ is an open cover of f(X). Define $\mathcal{V} = \{f^{-1}(U_{\lambda})\}$. Since f is continuous and each U_{λ} is open, every $f^{-1}(U_{\lambda})$ is open. Moreover since $f(X) \subseteq \bigcup_{\lambda} U_{\lambda}$ then it follows from set relations that

$$X \subseteq f^{-1}(f(X)) \subseteq f^{-1}(\cup_{\lambda} U_{\lambda}) = \cup_{\lambda} f^{-1}(U_{\lambda}).$$

Therefore \mathcal{V} is an open cover of X. Since X is compact, there is a finite subcover $\{f^{-1}(U_{\lambda_1}), \ldots, f^{-1}(U_{\lambda_n})\}$ which also covers X. Then $X \subseteq \bigcup_{j=1}^n f^{-1}(U_{\lambda_j})$, so it follows from set relations that

$$f(X) \subseteq f(\bigcup_{j=1}^n f^{-1}(U_{\lambda_j})) = \bigcup_{j=1}^n f(f^{-1}(U_{\lambda_j})) \subseteq \bigcup_{j=1}^n U_{\lambda_j}.$$

Therefore $\{U_{\lambda_1}, \ldots, U_{\lambda_n}\}$ is a finite subset of \mathcal{U} that covers f(X). Since \mathcal{U} was arbitrary, it follows that every open cover of f(X) has a finite subcover, so f(X) is compact.

Problem 4. We define X to be dense in C if every nonempty open set in C contains some $x \in X$.

Suppose X is dense in \mathcal{C} and $f : \mathcal{C} \to \mathcal{C}$ is continuous, with f(x) = c for all $x \in X$. Show that f(x) = c for all $x \in \mathcal{C}$.

Proof: Let $U = \mathcal{C} \setminus \{c\}$. Since U is open, and f is continuous, $f^{-1}(U)$ is open.

But if $x \in X$, then $f(x) = c \notin U$ so $x \notin f^{-1}(U)$. Therefore $f^{-1}(U)$ is an open set which does not contain any point in X, and hence $f^{-1}(U)$ must be empty. But then no point in \mathcal{C} can map to any element in U so f(x) = c for all $x \in \mathcal{C}$.

Problem 5. Suppose $\{[a_j, b_j]\}_{j \in \mathbb{N}}$ is a collection of non-empty closed intervals such that $[a_{j+1}, b_{j+1}] \subseteq [a_j, b_j]$. Show that there exists x such that $x \in \bigcap_{j \in \mathbb{N}} [a_j, b_j]$.

Proof: We begin with a claim: for any $i, j \in \mathbb{N}$, we have $a_i \leq b_j$. To prove the claim, consider two cases. First, if $i \leq j$, then $a_i \leq a_j \leq b_j$. Second, if i > j then $a_i \leq b_i \leq b_j$.

Now let $A = \{a_i\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$. By the claim, any b_j is an upper bound for A. Therefore A is nonempty and bounded above, and hence it has a supremum which we will denote a.

Since a is an upper bound for $A, a \ge a_j$ for all $j \in \mathbb{N}$. Moreover, by the claim, each b_j is an upper bound for A, so $a = \sup A \le b_j$ for all $j \in \mathbb{N}$. Therefore $a \in [a_j, b_j]$ for all $j \in \mathbb{N}$, and hence $a \in \bigcap_{j \in \mathbb{N}} [a_j, b_j]$.

Extra Space