

THE CALCULUS OF FUNCTORS

TALK 3

BERTRAND GUILLOU

1. Degree n Functors

Last time, Rosona told us about degree n functors. The definition was as follows: a homotopy functor $F : \mathcal{C} \longrightarrow \mathcal{D}$ is **n -excisive**, or **degree n** , if for every object X_0 and $(n+1)$ -tuple $(X_0 \rightarrow X_i)_{1 \leq i \leq n+1}$ of cofibrations with domain X_0 , then the homotopy pushout $(n+1)$ -cube

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 X_0 & \xrightarrow{\quad} & X_1 & \searrow & \\
 \downarrow & \searrow & \vdots & \downarrow & X_1 \cup_{X_0} X_2 \\
 & \cdots & \xrightarrow{\quad} & & \\
 X_{n+1} & \dashrightarrow & X_1 \cup_{X_0} X_{n+1} & \dashrightarrow & \\
 & \searrow & \downarrow & \searrow & \\
 & & X_{n+1} \cup_{X_0} X_n & \xrightarrow{\quad} & \bigcup_{1 \leq i \leq n+1} X_i
 \end{array}$$

is taken to a homotopy cartesian $(n+1)$ -cube

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 F(X_0) & \xrightarrow{\quad} & X_1 & \searrow & \\
 \downarrow & \searrow & \vdots & \downarrow & F(X_1 \cup_{X_0} X_2) \\
 & \cdots & \xrightarrow{\quad} & & \\
 F(X_{n+1}) & \dashrightarrow & F(X_1 \cup_{X_0} X_{n+1}) & \dashrightarrow & \\
 & \searrow & \downarrow & \searrow & \\
 & & F(X_{n+1} \cup_{X_0} X_n) & \xrightarrow{\quad} & F\left(\bigcup_{1 \leq i \leq n+1} X_i\right).
 \end{array}$$

By the way, $(n+1)$ -cubes weakly equivalent to the first kind above are called **strongly homotopy cocartesian** $(n+1)$ -cubes. Note that for a 2-cube, this is the same as being homotopy cartesian. Any 1-cube (namely, a map) is of this form and so counts as strongly homotopy cocartesian.

Any degree n polynomial can be thought of as a degree $n+1$ polynomial, and the same is true of functors:

Proposition 1. *Let $F : \mathcal{C} \longrightarrow \mathcal{D}$ be degree n . Then F is also degree $n+1$.*

Proof. We will show that every degree 1 functor is degree 2. Suppose given a strongly homotopy cocartesian 3-cube

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
X_0 & \xrightarrow{\quad} & X_1 & \searrow & \\
\downarrow & \searrow & \downarrow & \xrightarrow{\quad} & \\
& X_2 & \xrightarrow{\quad} & X_1 \cup_{X_0} X_2 & \\
& \downarrow & \downarrow & \downarrow & \\
X_3 & \dashrightarrow & X_1 \cup_{X_0} X_3 & \dashrightarrow & \\
& \downarrow & \downarrow & \downarrow & \\
& X_2 \cup_{X_0} X_3 & \xrightarrow{\quad} & X_1 \cup_{X_0} X_2 \cup_{X_0} X_3 &
\end{array}$$

Then, since each face of this 3-cube is a homotopy pushout square, it follows that each face of

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
F(X_0) & \xrightarrow{\quad} & F(X_1) & \searrow & \\
\downarrow & \searrow & \downarrow & \xrightarrow{\quad} & \\
& F(X_2) & \xrightarrow{\quad} & F(X_1 \cup_{X_0} X_2) & \\
& \downarrow & \downarrow & \downarrow & \\
F(X_3) & \dashrightarrow & F(X_1 \cup_{X_0} X_3) & \dashrightarrow & \\
& \downarrow & \downarrow & \downarrow & \\
& F(X_2 \cup_{X_0} X_3) & \xrightarrow{\quad} & F(X_1 \cup_{X_0} X_2 \cup_{X_0} X_3) &
\end{array}$$

is a homotopy pullback square (since F is assumed to be degree 1). This implies, in turn, that the 3-cube is homotopy cartesian. ■

2. The Degree n -approximation

We've already seen that for any homotopy functor $F : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$ there are functors $P_0(F)$ and $P_1(F)$, the degree 0 and degree 1 approximations to F . These come with natural maps

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
F & \xrightarrow{p_0 F} & P_1(F) \\
& \searrow p_1 F & \downarrow \\
& & P_0(F)
\end{array}$$

which are universal maps from F into polynomial functors of the corresponding degrees. The definition of $P_0(F)$ was simply $P_0(F)(X) = F(*)$, the value of F at the basepoint. The degree 1 approximation $P_1(F)$ was constructed as a certain homotopy colimit.

The construction of $P_n(F)$ is similarly as a certain homotopy colimit. Given $X \in \mathcal{C}$, consider the strongly homotopy cocartesian $(n+1)$ -cube formed using the $n+1$ copies of the map $X \rightarrow C(X)$. Define an object $T_n(F)(X)$ to be the homotopy limit of the diagram obtained by applying F to this $(n+1)$ -cube and removing the initial vertex $F(X)$. By definition, there is a canonical map

$$t_n F : F(X) \longrightarrow T_n(F)(X).$$

Applying this construction to the new functor $T_n(F)$ gives a map

$$t_n T_n F : T_n(F)(X) \longrightarrow T_n(T_n(F))(X).$$

The degree n approximation $P_n(F)$ is then defined as the homotopy colimit

$$P_n(F)(X) := \operatorname{hocolim} \left(F(X) \xrightarrow{(t_n F)(X)} T_n(F)(X) \xrightarrow{(t_n T_n F)(X)} T_n(T_n(F))(X) \longrightarrow \dots \right).$$

Example 1. When $n = 1$, this agrees with the previous definition. $T_1(F)(X)$ is the homotopy limit of

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & F(CX) & \\ & \downarrow & \\ F(CX) & \longrightarrow & F(\Sigma X). \end{array}$$

Theorem 1 (Goodwillie, Calc III, Theorem 1.8). *For any functor F , the functor $P_n(F)$ is degree n .*

The key step in the proof of this theorem is the following lemma.

Lemma 1 (Goodwillie, Calc III, Lemma 1.9). *Let $\mathcal{X}$ be any strongly cocartesian $(n+1)$ -cube. Then the map of cubes*

$$F(\mathcal{X}) \xrightarrow{t_n F} (T_n F)(\mathcal{X})$$

factors through some cartesian $(n+1)$ -cube.

By his own admittance, Goodwillie's proof of this lemma is "a little opaque". A streamlined proof was later given by Charles Rezk. The cartesian cube is simply the cube $F(\mathcal{X})$ with the initial vertex $F(X_0)$ replaced by the homotopy limit, and the work is in showing that $T_n F$ factors through this particular cartesian cube.

Given the lemma, it then follows easily that $P_n(F)$ is n -excisive. Given any strongly cocartesian cube $\mathcal{X}$, the cube $P_n(F)(\mathcal{X})$ is a sequential hocolim of cubes $T_n(F)(\mathcal{X})$. By the lemma, this hocolim can be replaced by a sequential hocolim of *cartesian* cubes and is thus cartesian.

The universality of $P_n(F)$ is argued as follows. Note that if the functor F is already degree n , then the map

$$t_n F : F(X) \longrightarrow (T_n F)(X)$$

is an equivalence. This implies that the map

$$p_n F : F(X) \longrightarrow (P_n F)(X)$$

is also an equivalence for F of degree n .

Now suppose given a map $\alpha : F \longrightarrow G$, where G is degree n . Then we can consider the diagram of functors

$$\begin{array}{ccc} F & \xrightarrow{\alpha} & G \\ p_n F \downarrow & & \sim \downarrow p_n G \\ P_n F & \xrightarrow{P_n(\alpha)} & P_n G. \end{array}$$

G is degree n , so $p_n G$ is an equivalence. Thus α factors through $P_n F$.

Another important point is that if $k \leq n$ then the map

$$P_k(p_n F) : (P_k F)(X) \longrightarrow (P_k P_n F)(X)$$

is an equivalence (for any F). To see this, it is enough to note that P_k commutes with sequential hocolim's and to verify that

$$P_k(t_n F) : (P_k F)(X) \longrightarrow (P_k T_n F)(X)$$

is an equivalence. The latter statement follows from the facts that P_k commutes with finite homotopy colimits and that the map

$$t_n P_k F : (P_k F)(X) \longrightarrow (T_n P_k F)(X)$$

is an equivalence since P_k is degree k and therefore also degree n .

In other words, we can sum up the discussion as

Proposition 2. *The functor $P_n P_k(F)$ is equivalent to $P_{\min\{n,k\}}(F)$.*

2.1. The Taylor Tower

Note that since $P_n F$ is degree n and therefore also degree $n+1$, the universal property gives a map $q_{n+1} F : P_{n+1} F \rightarrow P_n F$ such that

$$\begin{array}{ccc} F & \xrightarrow{p_{n+1} F} & P_{n+1} F \\ & \searrow p_n F & \downarrow q_{n+1} F \\ & & P_n F \end{array}$$

commutes. The various $P_n F$ then assemble into a tower, which is called the **Taylor Tower** of F (based at $*$).

3. The Layers in the Taylor Tower

Given a functor F , we define a new functor $D_n F$ to be the homotopy fiber of $r_n F$:

$$D_n F \rightarrow P_n F \xrightarrow{q_n F} P_{n-1} F.$$

Thus $D_1 F(X)$ is the homotopy fiber of the map

$$P_1 F(X) \rightarrow P_0 F(X) = F(*),$$

or in other words the reduced version of the functor $P_1 F$.

Say that a functor G is **homogeneous of degree n** if it is degree n and $P_{n-1} G \simeq *$.

Proposition 3. *The n th layer $D_n F$ of the Taylor tower is a homogeneous degree n functor.*

The fact that $D_n F$ is degree n follows from the next result, which is really a statement about homotopy limits commuting.

Proposition 4. *Suppose that*

$$F \longrightarrow G \longrightarrow H$$

is a fiber sequence of functors and that G and H are both degree n . Then so is F .

That $P_{n-1} D_n(F)$ is trivial follows from (1) the fact that P_{n-1} preserves fiber sequences (commutation of finite limits with sequential colimits and with limits) and (2) the fact that

$$P_{n-1} P_n F \xrightarrow{P_{n-1} q_n} P_{n-1} P_{n-1} F$$

is an equivalence.

Example 2. An example of a homogeneous degree n functor is the functor from spectra to spectra defined by $X \mapsto X^{\wedge n}$. This follows from Goodwillie's result (Calc II, Prop 3.4) that if $L : \mathcal{C}^n \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$ is degree k_i in the i th variable, then the composite functor $\mathcal{C} \xrightarrow{\Delta} \mathcal{C}^n \xrightarrow{L} \mathcal{D}$ is degree $k_1 + \cdots + k_n$.