

Dedicated to V. G. Maz'ya on the occasion of his 80th birthday

NOTE ON AN EIGENVALUE PROBLEM FOR AN ODE ORIGINATING FROM A HOMOGENEOUS p -HARMONIC FUNCTION

© M. AKMAN, J. LEWIS, A. VOGEL

We discuss what is known about homogeneous solutions u to the p -Laplace equation, p fixed, $1 < p < \infty$, when (A) u is an entire p -harmonic function on Euclidean n -space, \mathbb{R}^n , or (B) $u > 0$ is p -harmonic in the cone

$$K(\alpha) = \{x = (x_1, \dots, x_n) : x_1 > \cos \alpha |x|\} \subset \mathbb{R}^n, \quad n \geq 2,$$

with continuous boundary value zero on $\partial K(\alpha) \setminus \{0\}$ when $\alpha \in (0, \pi]$.

We also outline a proof of our new result concerning the exact value, $\lambda = 1 - (n - 1)/p$, for an eigenvalue problem in an ODE associated with u when u is p harmonic in $K(\pi)$ and $p > n - 1$. Generalizations of this result are stated. Our result complements the work of Krol'-Maz'ya for $1 < p \leq n - 1$.

§1. Introduction

In this paper we first discuss what is known about homogeneous solutions u to the p -Laplace equation, p fixed, $1 < p < \infty$, when (A) u is an entire p -harmonic function on Euclidean n -space, \mathbb{R}^n , or (B) $u > 0$ is p -harmonic in the cone

$$K(\alpha) = \{x = (x_1, \dots, x_n) : x_1 > \cos \alpha |x|\} \subset \mathbb{R}^n, \quad n \geq 2,$$

with continuous boundary value 0 on $\partial K(\alpha)$. More specifically, for fixed p , $1 < p < \infty$, u is a solution to

$$\nabla \cdot (|\nabla u|^{(p-2)} \nabla u) = 0 \text{ in } \mathbb{R}^n \text{ or } K(\alpha) \quad (1)$$

Key words: p -Laplacian, boundary Harnack inequalities, homogeneous p -harmonic functions, eigenvalue problem.

and

$$u(tx) = t^\lambda u(x), \text{ for some real } \lambda \text{ whenever } t > 0 \quad (2)$$

and x is in the domain of u .

Given $x \in \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\}$, we introduce spherical coordinates $r = |x|$, $x_1 = r \cos \theta$, $0 \leq \theta \leq \pi$. If u as in (2) is p -harmonic in $K(\alpha)$ and $u(1, 0, \dots, 0) = 1$, then it turns out that u has the additional form:

$$u(x) = u(r, \theta) = r^\lambda \phi(\theta), \quad r > 0, \quad 0 \leq \theta < \alpha, \quad \text{with } \phi(0) = 1, \quad \phi(\alpha) = 0, \quad (3)$$

for some $\lambda \in (-\infty, \infty)$ and $\phi \in C^\infty([0, \alpha])$.

1.1. WHAT IS KNOWN. Case (A): Entire Solutions.

In \mathbb{R}^2 , Krol' in [12] constructed homogeneous p -harmonic functions, u , as in (3) with continuous boundary value 0 on $\partial K(\alpha)$ whenever $\alpha \in (0, \pi]$. If $\alpha = \pi/(2k)$ and k is a positive integer, one can use Schwarz reflection to extend u to an entire p -harmonic function in \mathbb{R}^2 . Moreover, in [14], the second author showed there are no real polynomial solutions to the p -Laplace equation in \mathbb{R}^2 when $1 < p < \infty$. Tkachev in [23] proved for $1 < p < \infty$, $p \neq 2$, that there are no real homogeneous polynomials of degree three in \mathbb{R}^n , $n \geq 3$, that are solutions to the p -Laplace equation. The second and third authors in [19] showed there are no real homogeneous polynomial solutions of degree four in \mathbb{R}^n , $n \geq 3$, and none of degree 5 in \mathbb{R}^3 to the p -Laplace equation when $1 < p < \infty$, $p \neq 2$. Finally, recent work was done by Tkachev in [24]. That paper contains some very interesting examples of p -harmonic and related functions but still no examples of p -harmonic real polynomials when $p > 1$, $p \neq 2$. In short we do not know of any entire homogeneous real solutions to the p -Laplace equation of the form (2) in \mathbb{R}^n when $1 < p < \infty$, $p \neq 2$, other than those constructed by Krol' in two dimensions.

Case (B): Solutions in a Cone.

It was first shown by Krol' and Maz'ya in [13] that if $1 < p \leq n - 1$ and $\alpha \in (0, \pi)$ is near enough π , then there exists a unique solution to (1) in $K(\alpha)$ of the special form (3) with $\lambda(\alpha) > 0$. Tolksdorf in [25] showed that given $\alpha \in (0, \pi)$, there exist unique λ_i, ϕ_i , $i = 1, 2$, with $\lambda_2 < 0 < \lambda_1$, and ϕ_i infinitely differentiable on $[0, \alpha]$ satisfying $\phi_i(\alpha) = 0$, $\phi_i(0) = 1$, and $u_i(r, \theta) = r^{\lambda_i} \phi_i(\theta)$, $i = 1, 2$, are solutions to the p -Laplace equation in $K(\alpha)$. Also Porretta and Véron gave another proof of Tolksdorf's result in [26]. A similar study was done in more general Lipschitz cones by Gkikas and Véron in [8].

Now we discuss what is known about eigenvalues λ in (3) for various α, n . Krol' and Krol' and Maz'ya in the papers mentioned above (see also [4]) used (1)

to show for u as in (3) that

$$0 = \frac{d}{d\theta} \left\{ [\lambda^2 \phi^2(\theta) + (\phi')^2(\theta)]^{(p-2)/2} \phi'(\theta) (\sin \theta)^{n-2} \right\} \\ + \lambda [\lambda(p-1) + (n-p)] [\lambda^2 \phi^2(\theta) + (\phi')^2(\theta)]^{(p-2)/2} \phi(\theta) (\sin \theta)^{n-2}$$

Letting $\psi = \phi'/\phi$ in the above equation, Krol' in [12] obtained the first order DE

$$0 = ((p-1)\psi^2 + \lambda^2)\psi' \\ + (\lambda^2 + \psi^2)[(p-1)\psi^2 + (n-2)\cot \theta \psi + \lambda^2(p-1) + \lambda(n-p)]. \quad (4)$$

If $n = 2$, the cotangent term in the above DE goes out and variables can be separated in (4) to get

$$\frac{\lambda d\psi}{\lambda^2 + \psi^2} - \frac{(\lambda-1)d\psi}{\lambda^2 + \psi^2 + \lambda(2-p)/(p-1)} + d\theta = 0.$$

The boundary conditions imply that ϕ decreases on $(0, \alpha)$ so $\psi(\alpha) = -\infty$, $\psi(0) = 0$. Using this fact and integrating, we see that

$$\pm 1 - \frac{\lambda-1}{\sqrt{\lambda^2 + \lambda(2-p)/(p-1)}} = 2\alpha/\pi \quad (5)$$

where $+1$ is taken if $\lambda > 0$ and -1 if $\lambda < 0$. For later discussion we note that if $\alpha = \pi/2$, i.e., $K(\pi/2)$ is a half space, then (5) gives

$$\lambda_1 = 1, \quad \lambda_2 = (1/3) \left(p - 3 - 2\sqrt{p^2 - 3p + 3} \right) / (p-1)$$

($\lambda_1(\pi/2) = 1$ for $n \geq 2$ since $x_1 = r \cos \theta$ is p -harmonic for $1 < p < \infty$). Also if $\alpha = \pi$, $n = 2$, i.e., $K(\pi) = \mathbb{R}^2 \setminus (-\infty, 0]$, then (5) yields

$$\lambda_1 = 1 - 1/p, \quad \lambda_2 = (1/16) \left(7p - 16 - \sqrt{81p^2 - 288p + 288} \right) / (p-1).$$

For other values of $\lambda_2(\alpha)$ when $n = 2$, see [20]. For $n \geq 3$, $\alpha = \pi/2$, and $p = 2$, one can use the Kelvin transformation to get $\lambda_2(\pi/2) = 1 - n$ while if $p = n$, from the conformal invariance of the n -Laplacian it follows that $\lambda_2(\pi/2) = -1$. Also if $p = (4n-2)/3$, then

$$-2\lambda_2(\pi) = \frac{p+1-n}{p-1} = \beta = \frac{n+1}{4n-5} \text{ since } u(r, \theta) = r^{-\beta/2} (\cos(\theta/2))^\beta$$

in (3) for $\alpha = \pi$, as we first found using Maple and Mathematica, and then by direct calculation (see the discussion following Theorem 1). DeBlassie and Smits in [6] obtained estimates on $-\lambda_2(\pi/2)$, $1 < p < \infty$, $p \neq 2$, by leaving out the cotangent term in (4). In fact their solution to the DE in (4) with the cotangent term omitted leads to a super solution of the form (3) for the p -Laplace equation, so leads to an upper estimate for $-\lambda_2(\pi/2)$ in the p -harmonic

equation. Upper and lower estimates for $\lambda_2(\pi/2)$ were also obtained by these authors in [7]. Recently, Llorente, Manfredi, Troy, and Wu in [21] used shooting methods to get upper and lower bounds for $\lambda_2(\pi/2)$. These authors also gave a strictly ODE proof for the existence of a solution in this case.

In [3] we prove the following statement.

Theorem 1. *The existence and uniqueness of $u_i = r^{\lambda_i} \phi_i$, $i = 1, 2$, also occur when $\alpha = \pi$, $n - 1 < p < \infty$. Moreover, $\lambda_1(\pi) = 1 - (n - 1)/p$ for $n \geq 3$ and $n - 1 < p < \infty$. In fact, as $\alpha \rightarrow \pi$, we have $\lambda_1(\alpha) - 1 + (n - 1)/p \approx (\pi - \alpha)^{\frac{p+1-n}{p-1}}$.*

Here \approx means that the ratio of the two functions is bounded above and below by constants depending only on p and n . We note that if $1 < p \leq n - 1$, then a slit has p -capacity zero in \mathbb{R}^n , $n \geq 3$, and so there are no solutions to (4). In fact Krol' and Maz'ya in the paper mentioned earlier obtained that as $\alpha \rightarrow \pi$,

$$\lambda_1(\alpha) \approx \begin{cases} (\pi - \alpha)^{\frac{n-1-p}{p-1}} & \text{for } 1 < p < n - 1, \\ -\frac{1}{\log(\pi - \alpha)} & \text{for } p = n - 1. \end{cases}$$

We also point out that Theorem 1 and conformal invariance of the n -Laplacian imply for $p = n$ that $\lambda_2(\pi) = -1/n$.

To outline our efforts in proving Theorem 1, we began by trying to use the DE in (4) to solve for $\lambda_1(\pi)$, ψ . From a boundary Harnack inequality in [17] (see Theorem 1.9 and Lemma 5.3), we knew that

$$\lim_{\theta \rightarrow \pi} \psi(\theta)(\pi - \theta) = -\beta$$

where $\beta = \frac{1+p-n}{p-1}$. Since ϕ has a relative maximum at $\theta = 0$, it also followed that $\psi(0) = 0$. Using these initial conditions, we first assumed for certain p, n that

$$\phi(\theta) = \cos(\theta/2)^\beta e^{g(\cos(\theta/2))} \text{ for } 0 \leq \theta \leq \pi. \quad (6)$$

To test the validity of what was then a conjecture, we considered as a test case $n = 3$, $p = 5/2$ (so $\lambda_1(\pi) = 1/5$??) and in (6) put

$$g(\cos(\theta/2)) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a_k (\cos(\theta/2))^{2k}, \quad 0 \leq \theta \leq \pi,$$

where the $a_k, k = 1, 2, \dots$, are constants. Using this expression for g , our initial conditions, and then computing ψ in (4), we deduced that the coefficients a_k , could be computed recursively. Thanks to Maple and Mathematica, we were able to compute $a_1 - a_{10}$. Using the resulting partial sum for g , and then computing ψ we received strong evidence that $\lambda_1(\pi) = 1/5$ in this test case.

Later we put $x = \cos(\theta/2)$, $h(x) = \beta + xg'(x)$, $x \in (-1, 1)$, where g is as in (6), and transformed (4) into

$$\begin{aligned} & (1 - x^2)xh'(x) [(p - 1)(1 - x^2)h^2(x) + 4x^2\lambda^2] \\ &= -(p - 1)(1 - x^2)^2h^4(x) + [(p - 1) + (n - 2)(2x^2 - 1)](1 - x^2)h^3(x) \\ &\quad - 4\lambda[2\lambda(p - 1) + (n - p)]x^2(1 - x^2)h^2(x) \\ &\quad + 4\lambda^2[1 + (n - 2)(2x^2 - 1)]x^2h(x) - 16\lambda^3[\lambda(p - 1) + (n - p)]x^4, \end{aligned}$$

where $h(0) = \beta$ and $\sqrt{1 - x^2}h(x) \rightarrow 0$ as $x \rightarrow 1$. Expanding h in a Macclaurin series and using Maple and Mathematica, we received even stronger evidence of the validity of $\lambda_1(\pi) = 1/5$ when $n = 3$, $p = 5/2$. We also used this approach to check other values of our conjecture. However this approach seemed hopeless for proving Theorem 1. Finally we hit on using the following finess type proof.

§2. Outline of the Proof of Theorem 1 for $\lambda_1(\pi)$ when $n - 1 < p < n$

To outline the proof of Theorem 1 we need some notation. Let

$$B(z, \rho) = \{y = (y_1, \dots, y_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n : |z - y| < \rho\} \text{ whenever } z \in \mathbb{R}^n, \quad \rho > 0,$$

and let $e_1 = (1, 0, \dots, 0)$. Let \mathcal{H}^{n-1} denote $(n - 1)$ -dimensional Hausdorff measure on \mathbb{R}^n and let $d(F_1, F_2)$ denote the distance between the sets F_1, F_2 . We write $d(x, F)$ for $d(\{x\}, F)$. Set

$$\mathbb{S}^{n-1} = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : |x| = 1\}$$

and let c denote a positive constant greater than or equal to 1, which unless otherwise stated may only depend on p, n, α .

The existence of a positive p -harmonic function v in $K(\alpha)$, $0 < \alpha \leq \pi$, with $v(e_1) = 1$ and continuous boundary value 0 on $\partial K(\alpha)$, follows easily from interior regularity results, the Dirichlet problem, and Wiener type estimates for p -harmonic functions given in [9]. For example, given $1 < p < \infty$, $\pi/2 \leq \alpha < \pi$, and $l = 1, 2, \dots$, let v_l be the continuous function in $\bar{B}(0, 2l)$ with v_l a p -harmonic function in $B(0, 2l) \setminus ((\mathbb{R}^n \setminus K(\alpha)) \cap \bar{B}(0, l))$ and $v_l \equiv 0$ on $(\mathbb{R}^n \setminus K(\alpha)) \cap \bar{B}(0, l)$ while $v_l = M_l$ on $\partial B(0, 2l)$. Also M_l is chosen so that $v_l(e_1) = 1$. Using results which can be found in [9], one can show that a certain subsequence of $\{v_l\}_{l \geq 1}$, converges uniformly to $v \geq 0$, a Hölder continuous function on \mathbb{R}^n which is p -harmonic in $K(\alpha)$ with $v \equiv 0$ on $\partial K(\alpha)$ and $v(e_1) = 1$. The same argument gives a solution in $K(\pi)$ provided $p > n - 1$. To prove the existence of v with the above properties in $K(\alpha)$ when $0 < \alpha < \pi/2$, let v_l be a continuous function in $\bar{B}(0, 2l)$ with v_l a p -harmonic function in $B(0, 2l) \cap (K(\alpha) \setminus \bar{B}(le_1, l\alpha/8))$, $v_l(e_1) = 1$, and $v_l \equiv M_l$ on $\bar{B}(le_1, l\alpha/8)$ while $v_l \equiv 0$ on $[B(0, 2l) \setminus K(\alpha)] \cup \partial B(0, 2l)$. Taking limits as above, we get v .

The uniqueness of v with the above properties can be shown by using boundary Harnack inequalities proved by Lewis and Nyström in [16, 17]. Indeed in [16, Theorem 2], the authors proved a boundary Harnack theorem for domains with a Lipschitz boundary, which, tailored to $K(\alpha)$, $0 < \alpha < \pi$, is stated as follows.

Lemma 1. *Let $\alpha \in (0, \pi)$, $\rho > 0$, let p be fixed with $1 < p < \infty$, and let $0 < v_1, v_2$, be p -harmonic in $K(\alpha) \cap B(0, \rho)$ with continuous boundary values and $v_1 = v_2 \equiv 0$ on $\partial K(\alpha) \cap B(0, \rho)$. There exists $c_+ \geq 1$ and $\sigma \in (0, 1)$, depending only on α, n, p , such that if $\rho^+ = \rho/c^+$ and $x, y \in B(0, \rho^+) \cap K(\alpha)$, then*

$$\left| \frac{v_1(x)}{v_2(x)} - \frac{v_1(y)}{v_2(y)} \right| \leq c_+ \left(\frac{|x - y|}{\rho^+} \right)^\sigma \frac{v_1(x)}{v_2(x)}. \quad (7)$$

Letting $\rho \rightarrow \infty$ in Lemma 1, we see that if $v = v_1$ and v_2 are positive p -harmonic functions in $K(\alpha)$ with continuous boundary value 0 on $\partial K(\alpha)$, then $v/v_2 \equiv \text{constant}$. To prove that v has the form (3), observe that for fixed $t > 0$, the function $x \rightarrow v(tx)$, $x \in K(\alpha)$, is positive, p -harmonic, and has boundary value 0 on $\partial K(\alpha)$, so by the uniqueness of v , we have

$$v(tx) = v(te_1)v(x), \quad x \in K(\alpha). \quad (8)$$

Differentiating (8) with respect to t and evaluating at $t = 1$, we see that

$$\langle x, \nabla v(x) \rangle = \langle e_1, \nabla v(e_1) \rangle v(x) \text{ whenever } x \in K(\alpha).$$

If we put $\rho = |x|$, $x/|x| = \omega \in \mathbb{S}^{n-1}$, in this identity we obtain

$$\rho v_\rho(\rho\omega) = \langle e_1, \nabla v(e_1) \rangle v(\rho\omega).$$

Dividing this relation by $\rho v(\rho\omega)$ and integrating with respect to ρ over $(0, r)$ we deduce that $v(r\omega) = r^\lambda v(\omega)$ whenever $\omega \in \mathbb{S}^{n-1}$, where $\lambda = \langle e_1, \nabla v(e_1) \rangle$. Finally since p -harmonic functions are invariant under rotation, from this equality and uniqueness it follows that v has the form (3).

To prove the uniqueness of v in $K(\pi)$, we use arguments from [17, Section 4], to prove (7) when $p > n - 1$ and v_1, v_2 are positive p -harmonic functions in $K(\pi)$ with continuous boundary value 0 on $\partial K(\pi)$. The uniqueness of v implies, as in the argument following (8), that v has the form (3). Existence, uniqueness, and showing u has the form (3) when $\lambda < 0$ in the so-called Martin problem for $K(\alpha)$ is proved similarly (see [16, Corollary 5.25], for an analog of Lemma 1). We omit the details.

To avoid confusion in the rest of the proof of Theorem 1, we shall often write $u(\cdot, \alpha)$ for the positive p -harmonic function in (3) with $u(\cdot, \alpha) \equiv 0$ on $\mathbb{R}^n \setminus K(\alpha)$, $u(e_1, \alpha) = 1$, when $\alpha \in (0, \pi]$ and p is fixed, $n - 1 < p < \infty$. We also write $\lambda(\alpha)$ for the eigenvalue, λ_1 , in (3). From the maximum principle for p -harmonic functions, it follows that if $0 < \alpha_1 < \alpha_2 \leq \pi$, then $u(\cdot, \alpha_1) \leq$

$cu(\cdot, \alpha_2)$ in $K(\alpha_1) \cap B(0, 1)$ so necessarily, $\lambda(\alpha_2) \leq \lambda(\alpha_1)$. Also strict inequality must occur since otherwise from (3) it would follow that $u(\cdot, \alpha_1)/u(\cdot, \alpha_2)$ has an absolute maximum in $K(\alpha_1)$, which again leads to a contradiction by way of the maximum principle for p -harmonic functions. From regularity estimates in [9] it follows that $u(\cdot, \alpha)$ converges uniformly to $u(\cdot, \pi)$ on \mathbb{R}^n when $\alpha \rightarrow \pi$. Thus

$$\lim_{\alpha \rightarrow \pi} \lambda(\alpha) = \lambda(\pi) \text{ and } \lambda(\alpha) > \lambda(\pi) \text{ when } \alpha \in (0, \pi). \quad (9)$$

To complete our proof of Theorem 1, we shall need some more notation, definitions, and lemmas. We begin with the following definition.

Definition 1. A bounded domain $D \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ is said to be starlike Lipschitz with respect to $z \in D$ provided

$$\partial D = \{z + \mathcal{R}(\omega)\omega : \omega \in \partial B(0, 1)\}$$

where $\log \mathcal{R} : \partial B(0, 1) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is Lipschitz on $\partial B(0, 1)$.

Under the above scenario we say that z is the center of D . Let $\|\log \mathcal{R}\|_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}}$ denote the Lipschitz norm of $\log \mathcal{R}$. We refer to $\|\log \mathcal{R}\|_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}}$ as the starlike Lipschitz constant for D .

In [15, Theorem 3], Lewis and Nyström proved the following fact.

Lemma 2. *Suppose D is a starlike Lipschitz domain with center at $z, w \in \partial D$, $0 < r < |w - z|/10$, and p is fixed, $1 < p < \infty$. Let v be p -harmonic in $D \cap B(w, 4r)$ with continuous boundary value $v \equiv 0$ on $\partial D \cap B(w, r)$. There exists $c_\star \geq 1$, depending only on n, p , and the starlike Lipschitz constant for D , such that if $\tilde{r} = r/c_\star$, then*

- (a) $c_\star^{-1} \frac{v(x)}{d(x, \partial D)} \leq |\nabla v(x)| \leq c_\star \frac{v(x)}{d(x, \partial D)}$, $x \in D \cap B(w, \tilde{r})$,
- (b) the limit $\lim_{x \rightarrow y} \nabla v(x) = \nabla v(y)$ exists nontangentially for \mathcal{H}^{n-1} -almost every $y \in \partial D \cap B(w, \tilde{r})$,
- (c) $\nabla v(y) = -|\nabla v(y)|\nu(y)$ for \mathcal{H}^{n-1} -almost every $y \in \partial D \cap B(w, \tilde{r})$ where $\nu(y)$ is the unit outer normal to $\partial D(\alpha)$ at y ,
- (d) there exists $q > p$ and $c_{\star\star}$ with the same dependence as c_\star such that

$$\int_{\partial D \cap B(w, \tilde{r})} |\nabla v|^q d\mathcal{H}^{n-1} \leq c_{\star\star} r^{n-1-q} v(w')^q$$

where $|w - w'| = \tilde{r}/4$ and w' lies on the ray from z to w .

Next if $1 < p < n$, let

$$F(x) = c_p |x|^{(p-n)/(p-1)}.$$

Here $c_p = \frac{p-1}{n-p} \omega_n^{1/(1-p)}$ where $\mathcal{H}^{n-1}(\mathbb{S}^{n-1}) = \omega_n$. Then as is easily checked,

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \langle |\nabla F|^{p-2} \nabla F, \nabla k \rangle dx = k(0), \text{ whenever } k \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n).$$

F is said to be a fundamental solution to the p -Laplace equation with pole at 0.

Definition 2. Given a starlike Lipschitz domain D with center z , we say that G is Green's function for the p -Laplace equation in D , with pole at z provided

- (a) G is p -harmonic in $D \setminus \{z\}$,
 - (b) G has continuous boundary value 0 on ∂D ,
 - (c) $F(x - z) = G(x) + \zeta(x)$, $x \in D \setminus \{z\}$, where $0 \leq \zeta$ is bounded and Hölder continuous in D ,
 - (d) $\int_D \langle |\nabla G|^{p-2} \nabla G, \nabla \theta \rangle d\mathcal{H}^{n-1} = \theta(z)$ whenever $\theta \in C_0^\infty(D)$.
- (10)

For the existence and uniqueness of G satisfying (10), see Lemma 10.4 in [1]. In [1] we used Lemma 2 to prove the following Rellich type formula for $1 < p < n$,

Lemma 3. *Let D be a starlike Lipschitz domain with center at z , and for fixed p , $1 < p < n$, let G be the Green function for the p -Laplace equation in D with pole at z . Then*

$$\int_{\partial D} |\nabla G(x)|^p \langle x - z, \nu \rangle d\mathcal{H}^{n-1} = \frac{(n-p)}{p-1} \zeta(z) > 0 \quad (11)$$

where ν is the outer unit normal to ∂D .

For $p = 2$ this relation was proved by Jerison and Kenig in [JK].

We now return to our proof of Theorem 1. For a fixed p , $n-1 < p < n$, let G_1 denote the Green function for $D_1 = B(0, 2) \cap K(\alpha)$, $z = (1, 0, \dots, 0) = e_1$, and $0 < \pi - \alpha < \pi/4$. Also let G_2 denote the Green function for $B(0, 2)$ with pole at $4e_1$. With this notation we state a lemma.

Lemma 4. *For some $\tilde{c} \geq 1$ depending only on p, n , we have*

$$\int_{\partial K(\alpha) \cap B(0, 2)} |\nabla G_1(x)|^p \langle x - e_1, \nu \rangle d\mathcal{H}^{n-1} \geq \frac{n-p}{p-1} (\zeta_1 - \zeta_2)(e_1) \geq \tilde{c}^{-1}, \quad (12)$$

where ν is the outer unit normal to $\partial K(\alpha)$ and ζ_1, ζ_2 , are defined relative to G_1, G_2 , as in 10(c).

Proof. To prove the key inequality in (12), we first use (11) for G_1, D_1 . Next we note that $|\nabla G_1| \leq |\nabla G_2|$ on $\partial B(0, 2) \cap \partial D_1$ as follows from the Hopf boundary maximum principle. Using this note and (11) for $G_2, B(0, 2)$, we get the left-hand inequality in (12). To prove the right-hand inequality in (12), we note that $G_2 \approx 1$ in $B(0, 1/4)$. Also if we put $G_1 \equiv 0$ in $B(0, 1/4) \setminus K(\alpha)$, then from Wiener type estimates for the p -Laplace equation (by using the fact that a slit has positive p -capacity when $n - 1 < p < n$, see [22]), it follows that G_1 is Hölder continuous in $B(0, 1/4)$ with Hölder exponent and norm independent of $\alpha \in (3\pi/4, \pi)$. Thus $G_2 - G_1 = \zeta_1 - \zeta_2 \geq$ a constant independent of α in $B(0, 2\rho)$ for some $0 < \rho < 1/8$. Also one can show that $G_2 - G_1$ satisfies locally a uniformly elliptic PDE in divergence form. Using Harnack's inequality for positive solutions to this PDE, we can connect a point in $K(\alpha) \cap B(0, \rho)$ to e_1 by a chain of balls with radii $\geq c^{-1} = c(p, n)^{-1}$, and then apply Harnack's inequality in successive balls to finally get the right-hand side of (12). We garnered the idea to use a Rellich type inequality to make estimates as above from a paper of Venouziou and Verchota, see [27].

In order to use Lemma 4 in the proof of Theorem 1, first we observe that $\bar{c}u(\cdot, \alpha) \geq G_1$ on $D_1 \setminus B(e_1, 1/2)$ where $\bar{c} = \bar{c}(p, n) \geq 1$ is independent of $\alpha \in (3\pi/4, \pi)$ so by the Hopf maximum principle

$$\bar{c}|\nabla u(\cdot, \alpha)| \geq |\nabla G| \text{ on } \partial K(\alpha) \cap B(0, 2) \quad (13)$$

and from the boundary Harnack inequality in Theorem 1.9 of [17], mentioned in the display above (6), we have

$$|\nabla u(\cdot, \alpha)| \leq \hat{c}(\pi - \alpha)^{(2-n)/(p-1)} \text{ on } \partial K(\alpha) \cap [B(0, 2) \setminus B(0, 1)] \quad (14)$$

where $\hat{c} \geq 1$ depends only on p, n when $\alpha \in [3\pi/4, \pi]$. Finally note that $\langle x - e_1, \nu \rangle = \sin(\pi - \alpha)$ on $\partial K(\alpha) \cap B(0, 2)$. Using this note and (13), (14), in (12) we conclude in view of (3) that for some \check{c} depending only on p, n we have

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{c}^{-1} &\leq \int_{\partial K(\alpha) \cap B(0, 2)} \sin(\pi - \alpha) |\nabla G|^p d\mathcal{H}^{n-1} \\ &\leq \check{c} \left(\int_0^2 r^{(\lambda(\alpha)-1)p+n-2} dr \right) (\pi - \alpha)^{\frac{p-n+1}{p-1}} \\ &\leq \frac{\check{c}^2}{(\lambda(\alpha) - 1)p + n - 1} (\pi - \alpha)^{\frac{p-n+1}{p-1}}, \end{aligned} \quad (15)$$

where we have also used the fact that an element of surface area on $\partial S(\alpha)$ is of the form $\sin(\pi - \alpha)^{n-2} r^{n-2} dr$. From (15) and some arithmetic we conclude

in view of (9) that

$$\lambda(\pi) < \lambda(\alpha) \leq 1 - (n-1)/p + c^* (\pi - \alpha)^{\frac{p-n+1}{p-1}} \text{ as } \alpha \rightarrow \pi \quad (16)$$

for some $c^* = c^*(p, n)$ which gives the upper estimate for $\lambda_1(\alpha)$ in Theorem 1 when $n-1 < p < n$. The proof of the lower estimate for $\lambda_1(\alpha)$ as $\alpha \rightarrow \pi$ when $n-1 < p < n$ is similar, merely by using Lemmas 3, 4, and Theorem 1.9 in [17], but somewhat more tedious. This completes our outline of the proof of Theorem 1 when $n-1 < p < n$. \square

§3. Generalizations of Theorem 1

If $O \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ is open and $1 \leq q < \infty$, let $W^{1,q}(O)$ denote the space of equivalence classes of functions h with distributional gradient ∇h , both of which are q th power integrable on O .

Definition 3. For fixed $p > 1$, $\delta \in (0, 1)$, and $\mathcal{A} = (\mathcal{A}_1, \dots, \mathcal{A}_n) : \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ we say that $\mathcal{A} \in \mathcal{M}_p(\delta)$ provided $\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{A}(\eta)$ has continuous partial derivatives in η_k , $1 \leq k \leq n$, and whenever $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^n$, $\eta \in \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\}$,

$$\begin{aligned} \text{(i)} \quad & \delta |\eta|^{p-2} |\xi|^2 \leq \sum_{i,j=1}^n \frac{\partial \mathcal{A}_i}{\partial \eta_j} \xi_i \xi_j \text{ and } \sum_{i=1}^n |\nabla \mathcal{A}_i(\eta)| \leq \delta^{-1} |\eta|^{p-2}, \\ \text{(ii)} \quad & \mathcal{A}(\eta) = |\eta|^{p-1} \mathcal{A}(\eta/|\eta|). \end{aligned}$$

Definition 4. Given $\mathcal{A} \in \mathcal{M}_p(\delta)$, we say that u is \mathcal{A} -harmonic in an open set O provided $u \in W^{1,p}(\Omega)$ for each bounded open Ω with $\bar{\Omega} \subset O$ and

$$\int \langle \mathcal{A}(\nabla u(y)), \nabla \theta(y) \rangle dy = 0 \text{ whenever } \theta \in C_0^\infty(\Omega). \quad (17)$$

As a short notation for (17) we write $\nabla \cdot (\mathcal{A}(\nabla u)) = 0$ in O .

An important special class of \mathcal{A} 's for us is when

(a) there exists $1 \leq \Lambda < \infty$ such that

$$\left| \frac{\partial \mathcal{A}_i}{\partial \eta_j}(\eta) - \frac{\partial \mathcal{A}_i}{\partial \eta'_j}(\eta') \right| \leq \Lambda |\eta - \eta'| |\eta|^{p-3} \quad (18)$$

whenever $0 < \frac{1}{2}|\eta| \leq |\eta'| \leq 2|\eta|$ and $1 \leq i, j \leq n$,

(b) $\mathcal{A}(\eta) = \mathbb{D}f(\eta) = \left(\frac{\partial f}{\partial \eta_1}, \frac{\partial f}{\partial \eta_2}, \dots, \frac{\partial f}{\partial \eta_n} \right)$ so $f(t\eta) = t^p f(\eta)$ when $t > 0$.

Note that if $\mathcal{A} = \mathbb{D}f$ in (18) and $f(\eta) = p^{-1}|\eta|^p$, then u as in (17) is a weak solution to the p -Laplace equation in O . Also observe that \mathcal{A} -harmonic functions remain \mathcal{A} -harmonic under translation and dilation but not necessarily under rotations. We use the same notation as in Theorem 1.

Theorem 2. *Given $\alpha \in (0, \pi)$, $p \in (1, \infty)$, or $\alpha = \pi$, $p > n - 1$, and $\mathcal{A} \in \mathcal{M}_p(\delta)$, there exist unique u_i for $i = 1, 2$ that are positive \mathcal{A} -harmonic in $K(\alpha)$ with continuous boundary value 0 on $\partial K(\alpha) \setminus \{0\}$, $u_i(e_1) = 1$, and of the form (2) with $\lambda_2(\alpha) < 0 < \lambda_1(\alpha)$.*

Moreover, if \mathcal{A} also satisfies (18), then $\lambda_1(\pi) = 1 - (n - 1)/p$ for $n - 1 < p < \infty$. In fact

$$\lambda_1(\alpha) - 1 + (n - 1)/p \approx (\pi - \alpha)^{\frac{p-n+1}{p-1}} \text{ as } \alpha \rightarrow \pi$$

where the ratio constants depend only on p, n, δ, Λ .

3.1. Outline of the proof of Theorem 2.

Proof. The existence and uniqueness of u_i , $i = 1, 2$, in $K(\alpha)$, $0 < \alpha \leq \pi$, follows from boundary Harnack inequalities proved in [18] for Reifenberg flat domains and arguments similar to those in Section 4 of [17]. The proof that $\lambda_1(\pi) = 1 - (n - 1)/p$ is essentially the same as the proof we outlined in the p -harmonic setting for $n - 1 < p < n$. Indeed, Lemmas 1, 2, 3, 4 are proved in Proposition 9.7, Lemma 10.9, Lemma 13.7, and display (13.86), respectively, of [1] in the \mathcal{A} -harmonic setting when $\mathcal{A} \in \mathcal{M}_p(\delta)$, $1 < p < n$, satisfies (18). \square

Remark 1. Lemma 3 remains valid when $p \geq n$, for G properly defined. However for $p > n$ there is a sign reversal in the inequality and so this lemma can no longer be used to get an analog of Lemma 4 when $p \geq n$. Instead in [3] we use a different Rellich inequality derived from the work on Theorem B in [1] and Theorem B in [2] on a Minkowski existence problem. Armed with this inequality, the proof of Theorems 1, 2 are similar to the proof outlined for $n - 1 < p < n$. Finally we note that our interest in this eigenvalue problem stems from our study of regularity in a Minkowski problem, originally proved in Theorem 0.7 of [10] for harmonic functions and later generalized in Theorem 1.4 of [5] to p -harmonic functions when $1 < p < 2$.

References

- [1] Akman M., Gong J., Hineman J., Lewis J., Vogel A., *The Brunn–Minkowski inequality and a Minkowski problem for nonlinear capacity*, [arXiv:1709.00447](#), Mem. Amer. Math. Soc. (to appear).
- [2] Akman M., Lewis J., Saari O., Vogel A., *The Brunn–Minkowski inequality and a Minkowski problem for \mathcal{A} -harmonic Green’s function*, [arXiv:1810.03752](#) (submitted).
- [3] Akman M., Lewis J., Vogel A., *Note on an eigenvalue problem with applications to a Minkowski type regularity problem in \mathbb{R}^3* (in preperation).
- [4] Aronsson G., *Construction of singular solutions to the p -harmonic equation and its limit equation for $p = \infty$* , Manuscripta Math. **56** (1986), no. 2, 133–151.

- [5] Colesanti A., Nyström K., Salani, P., Xiao J., Yang D., and Zhang G., *The Hadamard variational formula and the Minkowski problem for p -capacity*, Adv. Math. **285** (2015), 1511–1588.
- [6] DeBlassie D., Smits R., *The p -harmonic measure of a small spherical cap*, Le Matematiche **71** (2016), no. 1, 149–171.
- [7] DeBlassie D., Smits R., *The p -harmonic measure of a small axillary symmetric set*, Potential and Anal. **49** (2018), no. 4, 583–608.
- [8] Gkikas K., Véron L., *The spherical p -harmonic eigenvalue problem in non smooth domains*, J. Funct. Anal. **274** (2018), no. 4, 1155–1176.
- [9] Heinonen J., Kilpeläinen T., Martio O., *Nonlinear potential theory of degenerate elliptic equations*, Dover Publ. Inc., Mineola, NY, 2006.
- [10] Jerison D., *A Minkowski problem for electrostatic capacity*, Acta Math. **176** (1996), no. 1, 1–47.
- [11] Jerison D., Kenig C., *Boundary value problems on Lipschitz domains*, Studies in Partial Differential Equations, MAA Stud. Math., vol. 23, Math. Assoc. America, Washington, DC, 1982, pp. 1–68.
- [12] Кроль Н. И., *О поведении решений одного квазилинейного уравнения вблизи нулевых заостренных границы*, Тр. Мат. ин-та АН СССР **125** (1973, 140–146).
- [13] Кроль Н. И., Мазья В. Г., *Об отсутствии непрерывности и непрерывности по Гельдеру решений квазилинейных эллиптических уравнений вблизи нерегулярной границы*, Тр. мат. о-ва **26** (1972), 75–94.
- [14] Lewis J., *Smoothness of certain degenerate elliptic equations*, Proc. of Amer. Math. Soc. **80** (1980), no. 2, 259–265.
- [15] Lewis J., Nyström K., *Boundary behavior for p -harmonic functions in Lipschitz and starlike Lipschitz ring domains*, Ann. Sc. École Norm. Sup. (4) **40** (2007), no. 4, 765–813.
- [16] Lewis J., Nyström K., *Boundary behaviour and the Martin boundary problem for p -harmonic functions in Lipschitz domains*, Ann. Math. (2) **172** (2010), no. 3 1907–1948.
- [17] Lewis J., Nyström K., *Quasi-linear PDEs and low-dimensional sets*, J. Eur. Math. Soc. JEMS **20** (2018), no. 7, 1689–1746.
- [18] Lewis J., Lundström N., Nyström, K., *Boundary Harnack inequalities for operators of p -Laplace type in Reifenberg flat domains*, Proc. Sympos. Pure Math., vol. 79, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2008, pp. 229–266.
- [19] Lewis J., Vogel A., *On p -Laplace polynomial solutions*, J. Anal. **24** (2016), no. 1, 143–166.
- [20] Lundstrom N., Vasilis J., *Decay of p -harmonic measure in the plane*, Ann. Acad. Sci. Fenn. Math. **38** (2013), no. 1, 351–356.
- [21] Llorente J. G., Manfredi J. J., Troy W. C., Wu J. M., *On p -harmonic measures in half spaces*, preprint.
- [22] Maz'ya V. G., *Sobolev spaces with applications to elliptic partial differential equations*, Grundlehren Math. Wiss., Bd. 342, Springer, Heidelberg, 2011.
- [23] Tkachev V., *On the nonvanishing property of real analytic solutions to the p -Laplace equation*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **144** (2016), no. 6, 2375–2382.
- [24] Tkachev V., *New explicit solutions of the p -Laplace equation based on isoparametric foliations*, arXiv 1802.098921, v1.
- [25] Tolksdorf P., *On the Dirichlet problem for quasilinear elliptic equations in domains with conical boundary points*, Comm. Partial Differential Equations **8** (1983), no. 7, 773–817.

- [26] Porretta A., Véron L., *Separable p -harmonic functions in a cone and related quasilinear equations on manifolds*, J. Eur. Math. Soc. (JEMS) **11** (2009), no. 6, 1285–1305.
- [27] Venouziou M., Verchota G., *The mixed problem for harmonic functions in polyhedra of \mathbb{R}^3* , Perspectives in partial differential equations, harmonic analysis and applications, Proc. Sympos. Pure Math., vol. 79, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2008, pp. 407–423.

Department of Mathematics
University of Connecticut
Storrs, CT 06269-3009
E-mail: `murat.akman@uconn.edu`

Поступило 23 октября 2018 г.

Department of Mathematics
University of Kentucky
Lexington, KY 40506
E-mail: `johnl@uky.edu`

Department of Mathematics
Syracuse University
Syracuse, NY, 13244
E-mail: `alvogel@syr.edu`