

# A bijective answer to a question of Zvonkin\*

RICHARD EHRENBORG<sup>†</sup>

## Abstract

The purpose of this note is to give a bijective proof of the identity  $E \left[ \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} (X_j - X_i)^2 \right] = 0! \cdot 1! \cdots n!$ , where  $X_1, \dots, X_n$  are independent, identically distributed normal random variables with mean 0 and variance 1. The bijection is obtained by combining a bijection of Gessel and a bijection of Ehrenborg with the interpretation that the moments of the normal distribution count the number of matchings.

*AMS Subject Classification:* 05A15, 60C05

*Keywords:* normal zero-one random variables, Vandermonde product, tournaments, matchings

**Theorem 1** *Let  $X_1, \dots, X_n$  be independent, identically distributed normal random variables with mean 0 and variance 1. Then we have*

$$E \left[ \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} (X_j - X_i)^2 \right] = 0! \cdot 1! \cdots n!. \quad (1)$$

Zvonkin [3] asked for a combinatorial proof of this identity. The purpose of this note is to provide such a proof. Recall that the  $n$ th moment of a normally distributed random variable with mean 0 and variance 1 has the combinatorial interpretation as the number of matchings on an  $n$  element set. It is straightforward to see that this number, which we denote by  $m(n)$ , is given by  $(n-1) \cdot (n-3) \cdots 1$  if  $n$  is even and zero if  $n$  is odd.

We begin by recalling Gessel's bijective proof [2] of the Vandermonde identity

$$\prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} (a_j - a_i) = \sum_{\sigma} (-1)^{\sigma} \cdot \prod_{i=1}^n a_i^{\sigma(i)}, \quad (2)$$

where we view  $\sigma$  as a bijection from  $\{1, 2, \dots, n\}$  to  $\{0, 1, \dots, n-1\}$ . Multiplying out the left-hand side of (2) we obtain  $2^{\binom{n}{2}}$  terms. To each of these terms associate a tournament  $T$  on the vertices  $1, 2, \dots, n$  in the following manner. If we choose the term  $a_j$  from the factor  $(a_j - a_i)$ , let the tournament  $T$  have the directed arc  $i \rightarrow j$ . Otherwise let  $T$  have the arc in the opposite direction. Let the sign of the

---

\*This article originally appeared in *Ann. Comb.* **4** (2000), 195-197.

<sup>†</sup>Department of Mathematics, Royal Institute of Technology, S-100 44 Stockholm, Sweden, jrge@math.kth.se

tournament, denoted  $(-1)^T$ , be  $-1$  to the number of arcs that goes from a node to a smaller labeled node. Hence the left-hand side of the Vandermonde identity (2) is given by

$$\prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} (a_j - a_i) = \sum_T (-1)^T \prod_{i=1}^n a_i^{\text{indeg}}, \quad (3)$$

where  $T$  ranges over all tournaments on  $n$  elements.

In the summation appearing in equation (3) all the terms corresponding to intransitive tournaments will cancel. To see this, let  $T$  be an intransitive tournament with a directed 3-cycle  $i \rightarrow j \rightarrow k \rightarrow i$ . By reversing this cycle, we change the sign of the tournament but not any of the indegrees. Hence it is enough to consider transitive tournaments. Note a transitive tournament  $T$  corresponds to a permutation  $\sigma$  by letting  $\sigma(i)$  be one more than the indegree of the node  $i$ . Finally observe that the sign of the permutation  $\sigma$  is the same as the sign associated to the tournament.

Now consider the left-hand side of (1) in Theorem 1. By expanding the square of the Vandermonde product, we obtain a sum over pairs of tournaments. The exponent  $d_i$  in a monomial  $X_1^{d_1} \cdot X_2^{d_2} \cdots X_n^{d_n}$  in the expansion is the total indegree at the node  $i$ . The expected value of this monomial is  $m(d_1) \cdot m(d_2) \cdots m(d_n)$ . Hence the combinatorial structure of the terms in the expansion of the left-hand side of (1) is as follows. Let  $W$  be  $(T_1, T_2, M_1, \dots, M_n)$  where  $T_1$  and  $T_2$  are tournaments on the nodes  $1, \dots, n$  and  $M_i$  is a matching on all the arcs arriving at node  $i$ . Finally, let the sign of such a structure  $W$ , that is,  $(-1)^W$ , be the product of the signs of the two tournaments  $T_1$  and  $T_2$ .

Thus, Theorem 1 claims that  $\sum_W (-1)^W = 0! \cdot 1! \cdots n!$ , where  $W$  ranges over all such structures. We prove this in two steps. First apply the Gessel involution to each tournament separately. Hence, it is enough to consider the transitive tournaments which correspond to permutations. The expression then becomes,

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{\pi} \sum_{\tau} (-1)^{\pi} \cdot (-1)^{\tau} \cdot \prod_{i=1}^n m(\pi(i) + \tau(i)) &= \sum_{\pi} \sum_{\tau} (-1)^{\pi^{-1} \circ \tau} \cdot \prod_{i=0}^{n-1} m(i + \pi^{-1}(\tau(i))) \\ &= n! \cdot \sum_{\sigma} (-1)^{\sigma} \cdot \prod_{i=0}^{n-1} m(i + \sigma(i)) \\ &= n! \cdot \det(m(i + j))_{0 \leq i, j \leq n-1}. \end{aligned}$$

Here the permutations  $\pi$  and  $\tau$  come respectively from the transitive tournaments  $T_1$  and  $T_2$ , and  $\sigma = \pi^{-1} \circ \tau$  is a permutation on the set  $\{0, 1, \dots, n-1\}$ . The factor  $n!$  is due to the fact that the resulting sum does not depend on  $\pi$ . The determinant obtained is a Hankel determinant with value  $0! \cdot 1! \cdots (n-1)!$ . This proves Theorem 1. It remains to evaluate the determinant with a bijection.

In [1] Ehrenborg gives a bijective evaluation of a different Hankel determinant, namely  $\det(e_{i+j}(x))$ , where  $e_n(x)$  is the  $n$ th exponential polynomial. That bijection works with partitions. By restricting the bijection to matchings, we obtain the desired bijection. For completeness, we present this bijection. Let  $R_0, \dots, R_{n-1}, C_0, \dots, C_{n-1}$  be disjoint sets such that the cardinality of  $R_i$  and  $C_i$  is each  $i$ . Moreover, assume that the set  $R_i$  possesses a linear order. Let  $S$  be the disjoint union of all the  $R_i$ 's and  $C_i$ 's. A matching  $M$  of the set  $S$  and a permutation  $\sigma$  are called *compatible* if for all pairs  $P$  in  $M$  there exists an index  $i$  such that  $P \subseteq R_i \cup C_{\sigma(i)}$ . Thus our determinant can be expressed as

$$\det(m(i + j))_{0 \leq i, j \leq n-1} = \sum_{(\sigma, M)} (-1)^{\sigma},$$

where the sum ranges over permutations  $\sigma$  and compatible matchings  $M$ .

For  $\sigma$  and  $M$  compatible, define the *crossing numbers*  $a_i$  to be the number of pairs  $P$  that intersect both  $R_i$  and  $C_{\sigma(i)}$ . Observe that  $a_i \leq |R_i| = i$  and  $a_i \leq |C_{\sigma(i)}| = \sigma(i)$ . We claim that

$$\sum_{(\sigma, M)} (-1)^\sigma = \prod_{i=0}^{n-1} i!,$$

where the sum ranges over permutations  $\sigma$  and matchings  $M$  so that their crossing numbers are distinct. In this case we have  $a_i = i$  for all indices  $i$  and we conclude that  $\sigma$  is the identity permutation. Since there are  $i!$  ways to choose a matching between  $R_i$  and  $C_i$ , the claim follows.

It remains to show that there is a sign reversing involution on the pairs of permutations and matchings that do not have distinct crossing numbers. For such a pair  $(\sigma, M)$  let  $(j, k)$  be the lexicographically least pair such that  $a_j = a_k$ . Let  $\sigma'$  be the permutation  $\sigma$  composed with the transposition  $(j, k)$ . Clearly,  $(-1)^{\sigma'} = -(-1)^\sigma$ .

Now we construct a matching  $M'$  compatible with  $\sigma'$ . Let  $a = a_j = a_k$ . Let  $\{r_1, c_1\}, \dots, \{r_a, c_a\}$  be the pairs of  $R_j \cup C_{\sigma(j)}$  that intersect both  $R_j$  and  $C_{\sigma(j)}$ . We can order these pairs according to the elements  $r_1, \dots, r_a$ . Similarly, let  $\{r'_1, c'_1\}, \dots, \{r'_a, c'_a\}$  be the pairs of  $R_k \cup C_{\sigma(k)}$  intersecting both  $R_k$  and  $C_{\sigma(k)}$ . Let  $M'$  be the matching obtained from  $M$  by replacing these pairs with:

$$\{r_1, c'_1\}, \dots, \{r_a, c'_a\}, \quad \{r'_1, c_1\}, \dots, \{r'_a, c_a\}.$$

Observe that the  $M'$  is compatible with  $\sigma'$ .

We claim that the map  $(\sigma, M) \mapsto (\sigma', M')$  is an involution. Observe that both the pairs  $(\sigma, M)$  and  $(\sigma', M')$  have the same sequence of crossing numbers. Hence the map, when applied again, chooses the same indices  $j$  and  $k$  and switches pairs back. This proves that the map is an involution.

## Acknowledgments

The author thanks Persi Diaconis for suggesting this problem and Margaret Readdy for reading an earlier draft of this note. The author was partially supported by Swedish Natural Science Research Council DNR 702-238/98.

## References

- [1] R. Ehrenborg, The Hankel determinant of exponential polynomials, *Amer. Math. Monthly* **107** (2000) 557–560.
- [2] I. Gessel, Tournaments and Vandermonde’s determinant, *J. Graph Theory* **3** (1979) 305–307.
- [3] A. Zvonkin, Matrix integrals and map enumeration: an accessible introduction, *Math. Comput. Modelling* **26** (1997) 281–304.