

The Scottish Book

Mathematics from the Scottish Café

Edited by R. Daniel Mauldin

(Excerpt of Problems 73-74)

Birkhäuser

Boston • Basel • Stuttgart

LET E BE A SPACE OF TYPE (F) WITH THE FOLLOWING PROPERTY:
If $Z \subseteq E$ is a compact set, then the smallest closed convex set containing Z is also compact. Is E then a space of type (F_0) ? [See Problem 26 for a definition of (F_0) .]

Commentary

Mazur's theorem states that the closed convex hull of a compact subset of a Banach space is compact [1]. Problem 72 then asks for a partial converse to this result. It was answered by Mazur and Orlicz [2] who showed that an F -space X is locally convex if and only if whenever $x_n \rightarrow 0$ in X , $t_n \geq 0$ and $\sum t_n \leq 1$ then the series $\sum t_n x_n$ is bounded (i.e., has bounded partial sums). Thus if the convex hull of every compact set is bounded, then X is locally convex. In particular, spaces of type (F_0) are locally convex and the answer to Problem 72 is affirmative.

References

1. S. Mazur, Über die kleinste konvexe Menge, die eine gegebene kompakte Menge enthält, *Studia Math.* 2 (1930) 7-9.
2. S. Mazur and W. Orlicz, Sur les espaces métriques linéaires (I), *Studia Math.* 10 (1948) 184-208.

N. J. KALTON

LET c_n BE THE SMALLEST number with the property that if $F(x_1, \dots, x_n)$ is an arbitrary symmetric n -linear operator [in a space of type (B) and with values in such a space], then

$$\sup_{\|x_i\| \leq 1, i = 1, 2, \dots, n} \|F(x_1, \dots, x_n)\| \leq c_n \sup_{\|x\| \leq 1} \|F(x, \dots, x)\|.$$

It is known (Mr. Banach) that c_n exists. One can show that the number c_n satisfies the inequalities

$$\frac{n^n}{n!} \leq c_n \leq \frac{1}{n!} \sum_{k=1}^n \binom{n}{k} \cdot k^n.$$

Is $c_n = n^n/n!$?

Commentary

The answer to this problem is yes for any real normed linear spaces and it is now a standard fact in the field of infinite dimensional holomorphy. R.S. Martin proved that $c_n \leq n^n/n!$ in his 1932 thesis [11] with the aid of an n -dimensional polarization formula. His argument was published a few years later in [15] by A.E. Taylor. Although this polarization formula was known to Mazur and Orlicz [12, p. 52], it appears that they used the case $x = 0$ rather than the case $x = -\sum_1^n h_k/2$, which gives the best estimate. Extremal examples in ℓ and $L[0,1]$ showing that $c_n = n^n/n!$ are given in [5], [10], and [16]. For expositions, see [4, p. 48] and [13, p. 7]. Note that by the Hahn-Banach theorem, there is no loss of generality in this problem if all multilinear mappings are taken to be complex valued.

S. Banach [1] showed in 1938 that $c_n = 1$ when only real Hilbert spaces are considered. (He also assumed separability, though this assumption is not needed.) His result can be deduced quite easily from [3, Satz 9] or [9, Th. IV]. For modern expositions, see [2, p. 62], [6] or [8]. It is shown in [6] that Banach's result and an improvement by Szego of Bernstein's inequality for trigonometric polynomials are easily deduced from each other. For complex L^p -spaces, $1 \leq p < \infty$, it is conjectured in [6] that

$$c_n \leq \left(\frac{n^n}{n!} \right)^{\frac{|p-2|}{p}},$$

and this is proved when n is a power of 2. It also follows from [6] that

$$c_n \leq \frac{\frac{n}{n^2}(n+1)^{\frac{(n+1)}{2}}}{2^n n!}$$

holds for J^* -algebras [7]. (In particular, the space $C(S)$ of all continuous complex-valued functions on a compact Hausdorff space S and more generally, any B^* -algebra is a J^* -algebra.) Since $c_n = 1$ for the space $C(S)$ with S a two point set [6, p. 154], it is natural to ask whether this holds for any

compact Hausdorff space S . If so, then it is easy to deduce that the Bernstein inequality holds for polynomials on $C(S)$. (See [6, p. 149].)

A natural generalization of Problem 73 is the following: Let k_1, \dots, k_n be nonnegative integers whose sum is n and let $c(k_1, \dots, k_n)$ be the smallest number with the property that if F is any symmetric n -linear mapping of one real normed linear space into another, then

$$\sup_{|x_i| \leq 1, i=1,2,\dots,n} \|F(x_1^{k_1} \dots x_n^{k_n})\| \leq c(k_1, \dots, k_n) \sup_{|x| \leq 1} \|F(x, \dots, x)\|,$$

where the exponents denote the number of coordinates in which the base variable appears. It is shown in [6] that if only complex normed linear spaces and complex scalars are considered, then

$$c(k_1, \dots, k_n) = \frac{k_1! \dots k_n!}{k_1^{k_1} \dots k_n^{k_n}} \frac{n^n}{n!} \quad (1)$$

(where $0^0 = 1$) but there are many cases where (1) does not hold when real normed linear spaces are considered.

References

1. S. Banach, Über homogene Polynome in (L^2) , *Studia Math.* 7 (1938), 36-44.
2. J. Bochnak and J. Siciak, Polynomials and multilinear mappings in topological vector spaces, *Studia Math.* 39 (1971), 59-76.
3. J.G. van der Corput and G. Schaake, Ungleichungen für Polynome und Trigonometrische Polynome, *Compositio Math.* 2 (1935), 321-361; Berichtigung *ibid.*, 3 (1963), 128.
4. H. Federer, *Geometric Measure Theory*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin-Heidelberg-New York 1969.
5. B. Grünbaum, Two examples in the theory of polynomial functionals, *Reveon Lemmatematika* 11 (1957), 56-60.
6. L.A. Harris, Bounds on the derivatives of holomorphic functions of vectors, *Analyse Fonctionnelle et Applications*, Leopoldo Nachbin editor, Hermann, Paris 1975.
7. ———, *Bounded symmetric homogeneous domains in infinite dimensional spaces*, Lecture Notes in Math. 364, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1974, 13-40.
8. L. Hörmander, On a theorem of Grace, *Math. Scand.* 2 (1954), 55-64.
9. O.D. Kellogg, On bounded polynomials in several variables, *Math. Zeit.* 27 (1928), 55-64.

10. J. Kopéc and J. Musielak, On the estimation of the norm of the n -linear symmetric operation, *Studia Math.* 15 (1955), 29-30.
11. R.S. Martin, Thesis, Cal. Inst. of Tech., 1932.
12. S. Mazur and W. Orlicz, Grundlegende Eigenschaften der polynomischen Operatoren I-II, *Studia Math.* 5 (1935), 50-68, 179-189.
13. L. Nachbin, *Topology on Spaces of Holomorphic Mappings*, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1969.
14. T.J. Rivlin, *The Chebyshev Polynomials*, Wiley, New York, 1974.
15. A.E. Taylor, Additions to the theory of polynomials in normed linear spaces, *Tohoku Math. J.* 44 (1938), 302-318.
16. _____, (Review of a paper of Kopéc and Musielak), *Math. Reviews* 17 (1956), 512.
17. D.R. Wilhelmsen, A. Markov inequality in several dimensions, *J. Approx. Theory* 11 (1974), 216-220.

LAWRENCE A. HARRIS
Mathematics Department
University of Kentucky
Lexington, Kentucky 40506

74

MAZUR, ORLICZ

GIVEN IS A POLYNOMIAL

$$W(t_1, \dots, t_n) = \sum_{k_1 + \dots + k_n = n} a_{k_1, \dots, k_n} t_1^{k_1} \dots t_n^{k_n}$$

in real variables t_1, \dots, t_n , homogeneous and of order n ;
let us assume that $|W(t_1, \dots, t_n)| \leq 1$ for all t_1, \dots, t_n such
that $|t_1| + \dots + |t_n| \leq 1$. Do we then have

$$|a_{k_1, \dots, k_n}| \leq \frac{n^n}{k_1! \dots k_n!}?$$

Commentary

The answer to this problem is yes and the solution is an easy consequence of the solution to Problem 73. Indeed, let F be the symmetric n -linear map on ℓ_n such that $W(x) = F(x, \dots, x)$ for all $x \in \ell_n$. Then applying the multinomial theorem [12, p. 52] with $x = t_1 e_1 + \dots + t_n e_n$ and the uniqueness of

the representation for $W(t_1, \dots, t_n)$, we obtain

$$a_{k_1 \dots k_n} = \frac{n!}{k_1! \dots k_n!} F(e_1^{k_1} \dots e_n^{k_n}), \quad (2)$$

where e_1, \dots, e_n is the standard basis for ℓ_n . The desired estimate follows. Note that the problem of determining the best estimate $\alpha(k_1, \dots, k_n)$ in Problem 74 is equivalent to the problem of determining $c(k_1, \dots, k_n)$; for, if F is a symmetric n -linear map satisfying $\|F(x, \dots, x)\| \leq 1$ for all $\|x\| \leq 1$ and if $\|x_1\| \leq 1, \dots, \|x_n\| \leq 1$, then the polynomial $W(t_1, \dots, t_n) = F(x, \dots, x)$, where $x = t_1 x_1 + \dots + t_n x_n$, satisfies the hypotheses of Problem 74 and

$$a_{k_1 \dots k_n} = \frac{n!}{k_1! \dots k_n!} F(x_1^{k_1} \dots x_n^{k_n}).$$

Thus

$$\alpha(k_1, \dots, k_n) = \frac{n!}{k_1! \dots k_n!} c(k_1, \dots, k_n). \quad (3)$$

The general problem of obtaining estimates on the coefficients of polynomials in m variables which satisfy a given growth condition on R^m can be solved with the aid of the following generalized polarization formula: Let $W(t_1, \dots, t_m)$ be any homogeneous polynomial of degree n and let $a_{k_1 \dots k_m}$ be the coefficient of $t_1^{k_1} \dots t_m^{k_m}$ in its expansion. For each $i = 1, \dots, m$, choose distinct real numbers x_{i0}, \dots, x_{ik_i} and put

$$\Gamma_{ij} = \prod_{\ell \neq j} (x_{i\ell} - x_{i0}), \quad 0 \leq j \leq k_i,$$

with $\Gamma_{ij} = 1$ if $k_i = 0$. Then

$$a_{k_1 \dots k_m} = \sum \frac{W(x_{1j_1}, \dots, x_{mj_{j_m}})}{\Gamma_{1j_1} \dots \Gamma_{mj_{j_m}}} \quad (4)$$

where the sum is taken over all $0 \leq j_1 \leq k_1, \dots, 0 \leq j_m \leq k_m$. Note that one can convert any polynomial p of degree $\leq n$ in $m - 1$ variables to a homogeneous polynomial W of degree n in m variables by defining

$$W(t_1, \dots, t_m) = t_m^n p\left(\frac{t_1}{t_m}, \dots, \frac{t_{m-1}}{t_m}\right).$$

One can obtain estimates on the left-hand side of (4) by estimating the right-hand side of (4) and minimizing. (A reasonable first choice is $x_{ij} = k_i/2 - j$.) To prove (4), observe that if $p(t)$ is a polynomial of degree $\leq k_i$, then the coefficient of t^{k_i} in the Lagrange interpolation formula for p is $\sum_{j=0}^{k_i} p(x_{ij})/\Gamma_{ij}$ and apply this to each variable of \mathcal{W} .

For example, we show that the improved estimate

$$|a_{k_1 \dots k_n}| \leq \frac{n^n}{k_1! \dots k_n!} r^\ell$$

holds in Problem 74, where

$$r = \frac{1 + e^{-2}}{2} \quad \ell = \sum_{i=1}^n \left\lfloor \frac{k_i}{2} \right\rfloor.$$

Indeed, choose $x_{i0} = 2$, $x_{i1} = 0$, $x_{i2} = -2$ for $i = 1, \dots, \ell$, $x_{i0} = 1$, $x_{i1} = -1$ for $i = \ell + 1, \dots, n - \ell$, and $x_{i0} = 0$ for $i = n - \ell + 1, \dots, n$. Then by (4),

$$|a_{2 \dots 2 1 \dots 1 0 \dots 0}| \leq \frac{1}{4^\ell} \sum_{j=0}^{\ell} \binom{\ell}{j} (n - 2j)^n \leq 2^{-\ell} n^n r^\ell, \quad (6)$$

where the last inequality follows from $(1 - 2j/n)^n \leq e^{-2j}$. Clearly

$$c(k_1, \dots, k_n) \leq c(2, \dots, 2, 1, \dots, 1, 0, \dots, 0)$$

and this together with (3) and (6) implies (5).

A related problem of interest is to find a Banach space analogue of Markov's theorem; that is, to find the smallest number $M_{n,k}$ with the property that if P is any polynomial of degree $\leq n$ mapping one real normed linear space into another, then

$$\sup_{\|x\| \leq 1} \|\hat{D}^k P(x)\| \leq M_{n,k} \sup_{\|x\| \leq 1} \|P(x)\|,$$

where $\hat{D}^k p(x)y = d^k/dt^k p(x + ty)|_{t=0}$. It is not difficult to show that

$$T_n^{(k)}(1) \leq M_{n,k} \leq 2^{2k-1} T_n^{(k)}(1), \quad (7)$$

where T_n is the Chebyshev polynomial of degree n . (See [14, p. 119].) Indeed, let P be any real-valued polynomial of degree $\leq n$ on a real normed linear space and suppose $|P(x)| \leq 1$ for all $\|x\| \leq 1$. Let $\|x\| \leq 1$, $\|y\| \leq 1$ and $-1 \leq s \leq 1$. Define $q(t) = P(\phi(t))$, where $\phi(t) = [x - sy + t(x + sy)]/2$, and note that $\|\phi(t)\| \leq (1 + t)/2 + (1 - t)/2 = 1$ when $-1 \leq t \leq 1$. Then q is a polynomial of degree $\leq n$ satisfying $|q(t)| \leq 1$ for $-1 \leq t \leq 1$, so $|q^{(k)}(1)| \leq |T^{(k)}(1)|$ by [14, 1.5.11] and clearly $q^{(k)}(1) = 2^{-k} \hat{D}^k P(x)(x + sy)$. Hence the map $s \rightarrow \hat{D}^k P(x + sy)$ is a polynomial of degree $\leq k$ with bound $2^k T_n^{(k)}(1)$ on $[-1, 1]$ and $\hat{D}^k P(x)y$ is the coefficient of s^k in this polynomial. Therefore,

$$|\hat{D}^k P(x)y| \leq 2^{k-1} [2^k T_n^{(k)}(1)]$$

by [14, p. 57]. Thus (7) follows by the Hahn-Banach theorem.

Note that the value of $M_{n,k}$ is unchanged when only real-valued polynomials on ℓ_2^1 are considered. It is shown in [6] and [9] that $M_{n,1} = n^2$ when only real Hilbert spaces are considered and it would be interesting to know whether $M_{n,k} = T_n^{(k)}(1)$ for all $1 \leq k \leq n$ in this case. See also [17].

References

1. S. Banach, Über homogene Polynome in (L^2) , *Studia Math.* 7 (1938), 36-44.
2. J. Bochnak and J. Siciak, Polynomials and multilinear mappings in topological vector spaces, *Studia Math.* 39 (1971), 59-76.
3. J.G. van der Corput and G. Schaake, Ungleichungen für Polynome und Trigonometrische Polynome, *Compositio Math.* 2 (1935), 321-361; Berichtigung *ibid.*, 3 (1936), 128.
4. H. Federer, *Geometric Measure Theory*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin-Heidelberg-New York 1969.
5. B. Grünbaum, Two examples in the theory of polynomial functionals, *Reveon Lematematika* 11 (1957), 56-60.

6. L.A. Harris, Bounds on the derivatives of holomorphic functions of vectors, *Analyse Fonctionnelle et Applications*, Leopoldo Nachbin editor, Hermann, Paris 1975.
7. _____, *Bounded symmetric homogeneous domains in infinite dimensional spaces*, Lecture Notes in Math. 364, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1974, 13-40.
8. L. Hörmander, On a theorem of Grace, *Math. Scand.* 2 (1954), 55-64.
9. O.D. Kellogg, On bounded polynomials in several variables, *Math. Zeit.* 27 (1928), 55-64.
10. J. Kopeć and J. Musielak, On the estimation of the norm of the n -linear symmetric operation, *Studia Math.* 15 (1955), 29-30.
11. R.S. Martin, Thesis, Cal. Inst. of Tech., 1932.
12. S. Mazur and W. Orlicz, Grundlegende Eigenschaften der polynomischen Operatoren I-II, *Studia Math.* 5 (1935), 50-68, 179-189.
13. L. Nachbin, *Topology on Spaces of Holomorphic Mappings*, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1969.
14. T.J. Rivlin, *The Chebyshev Polynomials*, Wiley, New York, 1974.
15. A.E. Taylor, Additions to the theory of polynomials in normed linear spaces, *Tôhoku Math. J.* 44 (1938), 302-318.
16. _____, (Review of a paper of Kopeć and Musielak), *Math. Reviews* 17 (1956), 512.
17. D.R. Wilhelmsen, A. Markov inequality in several dimensions, *J. Approx. Theory* 11 (1974), 216-220.

Research supported in part by the National Science Foundation.

LAWRENCE A. HARRIS
 Mathematics Department
 University of Kentucky
 Lexington, Kentucky 40506

75

MAZUR

IN THE EUCLIDEAN n -dimensional space E , or, more generally, in a space of type (B) there is given a polynomial $W(x)$. α is a number $\neq 0$. If a polynomial $W(x)$ is bounded in an ϵ -neighborhood of a certain set $R \subset E$ is it then bounded in a δ -neighborhood of the set αR (which is the set composed of elements αx for $x \in R$)? (See Problem 55.)

Addendum. From the solution of Problem 55, it follows that the theorem is true in the case of a Euclidean space.

MAZUR