

Banach Algebras where the Singular Elements are Removable Singularities

Lawrence A. Harris

*Department of Mathematics, University of Kentucky,
Lexington, Kentucky 40506-0027
E-mail: larry@ms.uky.edu*

Let A be a C^* -algebra with identity and suppose A has real rank 0. Suppose a complex-valued function is holomorphic and bounded on the intersection of the open unit ball of A and the identity component of the set of invertible elements of A . We show that then the function has a holomorphic extension to the entire open unit ball of A . Further, we show that this does not hold when $A = C(S)$, where S is any compact Hausdorff space that contains a homeomorphic image of the interval $[0,1]$.

1. THE REMOVABLE SINGULARITY PROPERTY

Throughout, A denotes a Banach algebra with identity e , A_{inv} denotes the open set of invertible elements of A , and A_{inv}^e denotes the identity component of A_{inv} . Also, A_0 denotes the open unit ball of A , i.e.,

$$A_0 = \{x \in A : \|x\| < 1\},$$

and $D(A)$ denotes $A_0 \cap A_{\text{inv}}^e$. In particular, $D(\mathbb{C})$ is the open unit disc punctured at the origin.

DEFINITION 1. A Banach algebra A has the *removable singularity property* if every bounded holomorphic function $f : D(A) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ has a holomorphic extension to A_0 .

In this definition we consider the identity component of A_{inv} rather than A_{inv} since if A_{inv} is not connected the holomorphic function on A_{inv} which is 1 on one of the components and 0 on the others has no holomorphic extension to A_0 . It follows from Theorem 3 given in the next section (or see [12, Theorem 3]) that our definition is no more stringent when the range of f is allowed to be any Banach space.

Every finite dimensional Banach algebra A has the removable singularity property by the classical Riemann removable singularities theorem [6, p. 30] and the characterization of the singular elements of A as those with determinant zero. (Define the determinant of an element of A as the determinant of its left regular representation [1, p. 3].)

Definition 1 was given previously in [10, p.460] for closed complex Jordan algebras of operators on a Hilbert space and used to determine the biholomorphic mappings of certain domains in these algebras.

DEFINITION 2. The *holomorphic radius* of a Banach algebra A is the largest number $r \geq 0$ such that every holomorphic function $f : A \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ satisfying $|f(x)| \leq 1$ for all $x \in D(A)$ also satisfies $|f(x)| \leq 1$ for all $x \in A$ with $\|x\| \leq r$. We denote the holomorphic radius of A by $r(A)$.

It is always true that $1/2 \leq r(A) \leq 1$. Indeed, let f be as in Definition 2 and let $x \in A$ with $\|x\| < 1/2$. Then $g(\mu) = f(\mu e + x)$ is holomorphic in \mathbb{C} and $|g(\mu)| \leq 1$ when $|\mu| = 1/2$ since then $\mu e + x \in D(A)$. (See [1, p. 40].) By the maximum principle, $|g(0)| \leq 1$, i.e., $|f(x)| \leq 1$. Hence, $|f(x)| \leq 1$ for all $x \in A$ with $\|x\| \leq 1/2$ by the continuity of f . Thus $r(A) \geq 1/2$. It follows from the Hahn-Banach theorem that $r(A) \leq 1$.

Also, $r(A) = 1$ if A has the removable singularity property. Suppose on the contrary that $r(A) < 1$. Then there exist a holomorphic function $f : A \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ and a $y \in A_0$ such that $|f(x)| \leq 1$ for all $x \in D(A)$ and $|f(y)| > 1$. Therefore

$$g(x) = \frac{1}{f(y) - f(x)}$$

is a bounded holomorphic function on $D(A)$ with no holomorphic extension to A_0 .

Further, $r(A) = 1$ for any C^* -algebra A with identity. This follows immediately from the first part of the maximum principle given in [8]. For C^* -algebras, this asserts that if $f : A \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is a holomorphic function satisfying $|f(u)| \leq 1$ for all elements u in the identity component U_e of the set of all unitary elements of A , then $|f(x)| \leq 1$ for all $x \in A$ with $\|x\| \leq 1$.

EXAMPLE 1. Let $A = H^\infty(\Delta)$, where Δ is the open unit disc. Clearly, A_{inv} is connected since each of its elements has a holomorphic logarithm. Define a continuous linear functional $f_1 : A \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ by $f_1(x) = e x'(0)/2$. Then $|f_1(x)| \leq 1$ for all $x \in A_0 \cap A_{\text{inv}}$ by [3, Exercise 6.36] but $f_1(rj) = e r/2$ where $j(\lambda) = \lambda$ and $0 < r < 1$. Hence $r(A) \leq 2/e$. In particular, A does not have the removable singularity property.

Define a holomorphic 1-homogeneous function $f_2 : A_{\text{inv}} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ by

$$f_2(x) = \frac{x(\frac{1}{3})^2}{x(0)}.$$

Then $|f_2(x)| \leq 1$ for all $x \in A_0 \cap A_{\text{inv}}$ by [3, Corollary 6.32] but $f_2(x)$ does not have a holomorphic extension to a neighborhood of 0 since each such neighborhood contains a function rj , where $r > 0$.

Let A and B be Banach algebras with identity and let $\alpha : D(A) \rightarrow D(B)$ and $\beta : B_0 \rightarrow A_0$ be holomorphic functions satisfying

$$\begin{aligned} \beta(D(B)) &\subseteq D(A), \\ \alpha(\beta(x)) &= x \text{ for all } x \in D(B). \end{aligned}$$

It is easy to verify that if A has the removable singularity property then so does B . Thus, for example, if the product algebra $A \times B$ with the max norm has the removable singularity property then so do A and B .

Let S be a compact Hausdorff space and let $T \subseteq S$ be a retract of S , i.e., there exists a continuous map $\tau : S \rightarrow T$ with $\tau(t) = t$ for all $t \in T$. The above applies with $\alpha(x) = x|_T$ for $x \in C(S)$ and $\beta(x) = x \circ \tau$ for $x \in C(T)$. Thus if $C(S)$ has the removable singularity property, so does $C(T)$.

2. MAIN RESULTS

DEFINITION 3 [2]. Let A be a C^* -algebra and let S be the set of self-adjoint elements of A . Then A has *real rank 0* if the elements of S with finite spectra are dense in S .

As shown by Brown and Pedersen in [2], many interesting C^* -algebras have real rank 0. For example, the C^* -algebra $\mathcal{L}(H)$ of all bounded linear operators on a Hilbert space H has real rank 0. More generally, any von Neumann algebra has real rank 0. The space $C(S)$ of all continuous functions on a compact Hausdorff space S has real rank 0 if and only if S is totally disconnected. (It is a von Neumann algebra only if S is extremely disconnected.) Also, any AF-algebra has real rank 0. If $\mathcal{K}(H)$ is the C^* -algebra of all compact operators on H , then $A = \mathbb{C}I + \mathcal{K}(H)$ has real rank 0 as does the Calkin algebra $\mathcal{L}(H)/\mathcal{K}(H)$. Note that the set of invertible elements of the Calkin algebra has a different component for each value of the Fredholm index and thus is not connected. See [4] for further details and references.

THEOREM 1. *Every C^* -algebra A with identity that has real rank 0 has the removable singularity property.*

EXAMPLE 2. Since the set of noninvertible elements of A may have nonempty interior in infinite dimensions, removable singularities for the domain A_0 may not be removable for other domains. Let $A = \mathcal{L}(H)$, where H is an infinite dimensional Hilbert space, and recall that A_{inv} is connected by [7, Prob. 141]. Let v be a nonunitary isometry in A (such as the shift operator on ℓ_2). Note that none of the operators in the ball

$$B(v; 1) = \{x \in A : \|x - v\| < 1\}$$

is invertible by the identity $v^*(v - x) = e - v^*x$ since $\|v\| = 1$. Take $\mathcal{E} = A_0 \cup B(v; 1)$ and let $1/2 < r < 1$. By the Hahn-Banach theorem, there is an $\ell \in A^*$ with $\|\ell\| = \ell(v) = 1$ so the function

$$f(x) = \frac{1}{1 - r\ell(x)}$$

is holomorphic and bounded in A_0 . Hence $f : \mathcal{E} \cap A_{\text{inv}} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is a bounded holomorphic function which has no holomorphic extension to \mathcal{E} since $v/r \in B(v; 1)$.

PROPOSITION 2. *Let S be a compact Hausdorff space that contains a homeomorphic image of $[0, 1]$. Then the commutative C^* -algebra $A = C(S)$ does not have the removable singularity property. In fact, there exists a bounded holomorphic function on $D(A)$ that does not have a holomorphic extension to a neighborhood of 0.*

In view of these results, it is natural to ask whether the removable singularity property characterizes those C^* -algebras with real rank 0.

DEFINITION 4. A set $S \subseteq A$ is said to be a *thick* subset of A if the only homogeneous polynomial $p : A \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ satisfying $p(x) = 0$ for all $x \in S$ is $p = 0$.

A more stringent definition of a “thick” subset is given in [9, p. 130] (where the open set Ω should be connected).

EXAMPLE 3. Let A be a C^* -algebra and let S be the set of self-adjoint elements of A . Suppose $p : A \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is a homogeneous polynomial with

$p(x) = 0$ for all $x \in S$. If $z \in A$, then $z = x + iy$, where x and y are elements of S given by

$$x = \frac{z + z^*}{2}, \quad y = \frac{z - z^*}{2i}.$$

Hence $g(\lambda) = p(x + \lambda y)$ is an entire function which vanishes on the real line so $g \equiv 0$. In particular, $p(z) = g(i) = 0$. Thus S is a thick subset of A .

Proof of Theorem 1. It follows from the remarks after Definition 2 that $r(A) = 1$ since A is a C^* -algebra. Hence it suffices to show that part (c) of Theorem 3 (below) holds when S is the set of self-adjoint elements in A with finite spectrum. This set is thick by Example 3 since A has real rank 0.

Suppose $f : D(A) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is a bounded holomorphic function. Let $x \in S$ with $x \neq 0$ and take $\delta = 1/(1 + \|x\|)$. Given $0 < |\mu| < \delta$, define $g(\lambda) = f(\mu e + \lambda x)$. Then g is bounded and holomorphic for $|\lambda| < \delta$ except at most finitely many points λ where $\mu e + \lambda x \notin A_{\text{inv}}$. Therefore, these singularities are removable. Thus part (c) holds. ■

By the theorem below, each of the conditions (a)–(d) with the additional assumption that $r(A) = 1$ is equivalent to the assertion that A has the removable singularity property.

THEOREM 3. *Let A be a Banach algebra with identity. The following are equivalent:*

a) *Every bounded holomorphic function $f : D(A) \rightarrow X$ has a holomorphic extension to $\Omega = \{x \in A : \|x\| < r(A)\}$, where X is any Banach space.*

b) *Every bounded holomorphic function $f : D(A) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ has a holomorphic extension to a neighborhood of 0 in A .*

c) *For every bounded holomorphic function $f : D(A) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ there is a thick subset S of A such that for each $x \in S$ the function $\lambda \rightarrow f(\mu e + \lambda x)$ has a holomorphic extension to a disc $|\lambda| < \delta$ when $0 < |\mu| < \delta$, where $\delta > 0$.*

d) *Every holomorphic function $f : A_{\text{inv}}^e \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ satisfying*

$$f(\lambda x) = \lambda^n f(x) \quad \text{and} \quad |f(x)| \leq \|x\|^n$$

for all $x \in A_{\text{inv}}^e$ and $\lambda \neq 0$ extends to a homogeneous polynomial on A of degree n , where n is any positive integer.

It is well known [5, Theorem 1.4] that a compact subset K of a domain in \mathbb{C} is a set of removable singularities for bounded holomorphic functions when K is finite or countable (since then the 1-dimensional Hausdorff measure of K is zero.) Moreover, the complement of K is connected. Thus our proof of Theorem 1 shows that a Banach algebra A with identity has the removable singularity property if $r(A) = 1$ and if the set S of all elements of A with finite or countable spectrum is a thick subset of A .

3. REMAINING PROOFS

Proof of Theorem 3. (a) \Rightarrow (b). This follows with $X = \mathbb{C}$ since $r(A) > 0$.

(b) \Rightarrow (c). By (b), f has a holomorphic extension to $B(0; r) = \{x \in A : \|x\| < r\}$, where $r > 0$. Take $S = A$, let $x \in S$, and put $\delta = r/(1 + \|x\|)$. Then $\mu e + \lambda x \in B(0; r)$ whenever $0 < |\mu| < \delta$ and $|\lambda| < \delta$. Hence (c) holds. (c) \Rightarrow (d). Let f satisfy the hypotheses of (d). Given a complex number $\mu \neq 0$ and $x \in A$ with $x \neq 0$, note that $\mu e + \lambda x \in A_{\text{inv}}^e$ when $\|\lambda x\| < |\mu|$. Hence $g(\lambda) = f(\mu e + \lambda x)$ is holomorphic and satisfies $|g(\lambda)| \leq (2|\mu|)^n$ for $|\lambda| < |\mu|/\|x\|$. Applying the Cauchy estimates and the identity $g^{(k)}(0) = \hat{D}^k f(\mu e)x$, we obtain

$$|\hat{D}^k f(\mu e)x| \leq 2^n k! |\mu|^{n-k} \|x\|^k \quad (1)$$

for all $x \in A$ and $\mu \neq 0$.

By (c), if x is in some thick subset of A , there is a $\delta > 0$ such that $g(\lambda)$ has a holomorphic extension to the disc $|\lambda| < \delta$ when $0 < |\mu| < \delta$. By the Cauchy estimates,

$$|\hat{D}^k f(\mu e)x| \leq \frac{k!}{\delta^k} [(1 + \|x\|)\delta]^n.$$

Hence the function $\mu \rightarrow \hat{D}^k f(\mu e)x$ has a removable singularity at $\mu = 0$ and thus is entire. We obtain $\hat{D}^k f(e)x = 0$ for $k > n$ by applying the maximum principle to (1) for large disks. Therefore, $\hat{D}^k f(e) = 0$ for all $k > n$.

By the power series expansion of f ,

$$f(x) = \sum_{k=0}^n \frac{1}{k!} \hat{D}^k f(e)(x - e)$$

for $\|x - e\| < 1$. Since f is n -homogeneous and $\hat{D}^k f(e)$ is k -homogeneous, it follows that $f(x) = \frac{1}{n!} \hat{D}^n f(e)x$. By the identity theorem, this holds for all $x \in A_{\text{inv}}^e$.

(d) \Rightarrow (a). Let $f : D(A) \rightarrow X$ be a bounded holomorphic function. Without loss of generality, we may suppose that $\|f(x)\| \leq 1$ for all $x \in D(A)$. Given $x \in A_{\text{inv}}^e$, let $r > 0$ satisfy $r\|x\| < 1$. Then $g(\lambda) = f(\lambda x)$ is a vector-valued function that is holomorphic in an open set containing the disc $|\lambda| \leq r$ since $\lambda = 0$ is a removable singularity of g by [11, Theorem 3.13.3]. Hence, applying the Taylor expansion for g with the Cauchy integral form of the coefficients [11, p. 96-97], we obtain

$$f(\lambda x) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} f_n(x) \lambda^n \quad (2)$$

for $|\lambda| \leq r$, where

$$f_n(x) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{|\lambda|=r} \frac{f(\lambda x)}{\lambda^{n+1}} d\lambda. \quad (3)$$

Since r can be replaced by any smaller positive value in (3) without changing the value of $f_n(x)$, the formula (3) defines $f_n(x)$ for all $x \in A_{\text{inv}}^e$. Moreover, f_n is holomorphic on A_{inv}^e since f is holomorphic on $D(A)$. If $x \in A_{\text{inv}}^e$, then $\|f_n(x)\| \leq \|x\|^n$ by the Cauchy estimates and $f_n(\lambda x) = \lambda^n f_n(x)$ for all $\lambda \neq 0$ by a change of variable.

Note that f_0 extends to a constant function on A . Indeed, $f_0(e^{\lambda x})$ is a bounded entire function of λ and hence is constant [11, Theorem 3.13.2]. Then f_0 is constant on $S = \{e^x : x \in A\}$ so f_0 is constant on A_{inv}^e by the identity theorem [11, Theorem 3.16.4] since S contains a neighborhood of e .

Now for $n \geq 1$, let $\ell \in X^*$ be given with $\|\ell\| = 1$ and put $p = \ell \circ f_n$. By (d), p extends to a homogeneous polynomial on A of degree n . Hence if $x \in A$,

$$n!p(x) = \left. \frac{d^n}{dt^n} p(e + tx) \right|_{t=0} = \ell(\hat{D}^n f_n(e)x),$$

so

$$\ell \left(n!f_n(x) - \hat{D}^n f_n(e)x \right) = 0.$$

It follows that $n!f_n(x) = \hat{D}^n f_n(e)x$ by the Hahn-Banach theorem. Thus, f_n extends to a homogeneous polynomial of degree n on A . Further, $|p(x)| r(A)^n \leq \|x\|^n$ since p is n -homogeneous, so

$$\|f_n(x)\| \leq \frac{\|x\|^n}{r(A)^n}$$

by the Hahn-Banach theorem.

Therefore, the series $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} f_n(x)$ converges to a holomorphic function $\tilde{f}(x)$ for $x \in \Omega$ and for $x \in D(A)$ by the Weierstrass M-test and [11, Theorem 3.18.1]. Moreover, $\tilde{f}(x) = f(x)$ for all $x \in D(A)$ by (2). Thus \tilde{f} is the required extension. \blacksquare

Proof of Proposition 2. It follows from the Tietze extension theorem that the homeomorphic image of $[0, 1]$ is a retract of S . Thus by the remarks at the end of Section 1, it suffices to consider the case $A = C[0, 1]$.

We first show that there exists a holomorphic function $h : A_{\text{inv}} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ satisfying

$$e^{h(\gamma)} = \frac{\gamma(1)}{\gamma(0)} \quad (4)$$

for all $\gamma \in A_{\text{inv}}$.

Given $\gamma \in A_{\text{inv}}$, it follows that $\gamma(t) \neq 0$ whenever $0 \leq t \leq 1$ so there exists a $\phi \in A$ with $e^\phi = \gamma$ by [3, Theorem 4.1]. Define $h(\gamma) = \phi(1) - \phi(0)$. To see that h is well defined, let $\psi \in A$ with $e^\psi = \gamma$. Then $e^{\phi - \psi} = 1$ so $(\phi - \psi)/(2\pi i)$ is an integer-valued continuous function on $[0, 1]$. Hence ψ differs from ϕ by at most a constant and therefore $h(\gamma) = \psi(1) - \psi(0)$. Clearly (4) holds.

To show that h is holomorphic at each $\gamma_1 \in A_{\text{inv}}$, let δ be the minimum of $|\gamma_1|$ and let $\gamma \in A_{\text{inv}}$ with $\|\gamma - \gamma_1\| < \delta$. Define a continuous function $F : [0, 1] \times [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ by

$$F(s, t) = (1 - s)\gamma_1(t) + s\gamma(t)$$

and note that F has no zeros. Hence there exists a continuous function $f : [0, 1] \times [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ with $F = e^f$ by [3, Example 4.21]. Clearly $F(0, t) = \gamma_1(t)$ and $F(1, t) = \gamma(t)$ whenever $0 \leq t \leq 1$. Define $\omega_0(s) = F(s, 0)$ and $\omega_1(s) = F(s, 1)$ for $0 \leq s \leq 1$. By definition,

$$\begin{aligned} h(\gamma_1) &= f(0, 1) - f(0, 0), \\ h(\gamma) &= f(1, 1) - f(1, 0), \\ h(\omega_0) &= f(1, 0) - f(0, 0), \\ h(\omega_1) &= f(1, 1) - f(0, 1), \end{aligned}$$

so $h(\gamma) = h(\omega_1) + h(\gamma_1) - h(\omega_0)$.

There exist holomorphic logarithms L_0 and L_1 in the discs

$$D_0 = \{\lambda \in \mathbb{C} : |\lambda - \gamma_1(0)| < \delta\}, \quad D_1 = \{\lambda \in \mathbb{C} : |\lambda - \gamma_1(1)| < \delta\}.$$

Since ω_0 and ω_1 are line segments in D_0 and D_1 , respectively,

$$\begin{aligned} h(\omega_0) &= L_0(\omega_0(1)) - L_0(\omega_0(0)) = L_0(\gamma(0)) - L_0(\gamma_1(0)), \\ h(\omega_1) &= L_1(\omega_1(1)) - L_1(\omega_1(0)) = L_1(\gamma(1)) - L_1(\gamma_1(1)). \end{aligned}$$

Thus $h(\gamma)$ is holomorphic for $\|\gamma - \gamma_1\| < \delta$ by the result of the previous paragraph.

Now define

$$f(\gamma) = \gamma(0)e^{h(\gamma)/2}.$$

Then $f : A_{\text{inv}} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is holomorphic and $f(\gamma)^2 = \gamma(1)\gamma(0)$ for all $\gamma \in A_{\text{inv}}$. In particular, $|f(\gamma)| < 1$ whenever $\gamma \in A_0 \cap A_{\text{inv}}$. Suppose there exists a function \tilde{f} holomorphic in a neighborhood U of the origin of A and satisfying $\tilde{f}(\gamma) = f(\gamma)$ for all $\gamma \in A_0 \cap A_{\text{inv}}^e$. Then $\tilde{f}(\gamma)^2 = \gamma(1)\gamma(0)$ for all $\gamma \in U$ by the identity theorem [11, Theorem 3.16.4]. Define a holomorphic function $g : \Delta \rightarrow A_0$ by

$$g(\lambda)(t) = 1 + t(\lambda - 1)$$

and choose r with $rA_0 \subseteq U$ and $0 < r < 1$. Then $\tilde{f}(rg(\lambda))^2 = r^2\lambda$ for all $\lambda \in \Delta$. This contradicts the fact that the identity mapping on Δ has no holomorphic square root. \blacksquare

APPENDIX

In this section we discuss some other removable singularity theorems. The first is an infinite dimensional extension of the classical Riemann removable singularities theorem [6, p. 30–31]. It asserts that the zeros of a holomorphic function are removable singularities for holomorphic functions that are locally bounded in an extended sense. (See [13, Theorem II.1.1.5].)

Let X be a (Hausdorff) topological vector space, let Y be a Banach space, and let W be a locally convex topological vector space.

PROPOSITION 4. *Let D be an open set in X and let $S = \{x \in D : h(x) = 0\}$, where $h : D \rightarrow W$ is a holomorphic function with $h \not\equiv 0$ in D . Suppose $f : D \setminus S \rightarrow Y$ is a holomorphic function that is locally bounded on each (nonempty) intersection of a complex line with D . Then f has a holomorphic extension $\tilde{f} : D \rightarrow Y$. Moreover, $D \setminus S$ is a dense subset of D .*

Proof. We will show that for each $x_0 \in S$, there exists a holomorphic function f_V defined in a neighborhood V of x_0 such that f_V agrees with f at all points of V where f is defined.

By hypothesis, there exists an open balanced subset U of X with $x_0 + U \subseteq D$. We first observe that there exists a $u \in U$ with $u \neq 0$ and an $r \in (0, 1)$ such that $h(x_0 + \lambda u) \neq 0$ whenever $\lambda \in C_r$, where $C_r = \{\lambda \in \mathbb{C} : |\lambda| = r\}$. Otherwise, for every $u \in U$, the function $g(\lambda) = h(x_0 + \lambda u)$ is holomorphic at each point of $\bar{\Delta}$ and has a sequence of zeros with a limit point in Δ so $h(x_0 + u) = 0$ by the identity theorem for vector-valued functions [11, Theorem 3.11.5]. It follows that $h \equiv 0$ on $x_0 + U$ and hence $h \equiv 0$ on D by the identity theorem for functions of vectors [11, Theorem 3.16.4].

Therefore, $x_0 + \lambda u \in D \setminus S$ for all $\lambda \in C_r$. Since $D \setminus S$ is open and C_r is compact, there exists a neighborhood V of x_0 such that $V + \lambda u \subseteq D \setminus S$ whenever $\lambda \in C_r$. We may also choose V so that $V + \lambda u \subseteq D$ whenever $\lambda \in \bar{\Delta}$.

By hypothesis, the mapping $x \rightarrow f(x + \lambda u)$ is holomorphic on V for each $\lambda \in C_r$ and the mapping $\lambda \rightarrow f(x + \lambda u)$ is continuous on C_r for each $x \in V$. Define

$$f_V(x) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{|\lambda|=r} \frac{f(x + \lambda u)}{\lambda} d\lambda. \quad (\text{A.1})$$

Then f_V is holomorphic in V since it is locally bounded (in the usual sense) and Gâteaux differentiable in V by the argument for [11, Theorem 3.11.2]. (See [11, Theorem 3.17.1].)

To show that f_V agrees with f on $V \cap (D \setminus S)$, let x be a member of this set. Since the holomorphic function $\lambda \rightarrow h(x + \lambda u)$ has only isolated zeros in Δ , the locally bounded function $\lambda \rightarrow f(x + \lambda u)$ has only isolated singularities in Δ . (This also shows that $D \setminus S$ is dense in D .) These singularities are removable by [11, Theorem 3.13.3] and thus the function is holomorphic in Δ . Therefore, $f(x) = f_V(x)$ by the Cauchy integral formula [11, Theorem 3.11.3].

Finally, we show that any two functions f_{V_1} and f_{V_2} agree on $V_1 \cap V_2$ when this set is nonempty. Clearly S is closed in D and has empty interior by the identity theorem. Hence each component of $V_1 \cap V_2$ contains a neighborhood disjoint from S . By what we have shown, the functions f_{V_1} and f_{V_2} agree with f on this neighborhood so $f_{V_1} = f_{V_2}$ on $V_1 \cap V_2$ by the identity theorem. Thus the required function \tilde{f} is defined by $\tilde{f}(x) = f(x)$ for $x \in D \setminus S$ and $\tilde{f}(x) = f_V(x)$ for $x \in V$. ■

Remark. The above proof shows that Proposition 4 holds for any set S closed in D with the following property: For each $x_0 \in S$ there exists a $u \in X$ and a neighborhood V of x_0 such that the set $\{\lambda \in \Delta : x_0 + \lambda u \in S\}$ is finite for each $x \in V$.

Next, we establish a removable singularity theorem where the set S of singularities apparently does not have the property mentioned above. Our proposition replaces the trace class restriction of [10, Theorem 3] by a more general compactness condition. Let X and Y be normed linear spaces and let $\mathcal{L}(X, Y)$ denote the space of all bounded linear operators from X to Y .

PROPOSITION 5. *Let \mathfrak{A} be a complex subspace of $\mathcal{L}(X, Y)$ and let*

$$\mathcal{D} = \{Z \in \mathfrak{A} : (CZ + D)^{-1} \text{ exists}\},$$

where $C \in \mathcal{L}(Y, X)$ and $D \in \mathcal{L}(X)$. Suppose C is compact or that \mathfrak{A} consists only of compact operators and let W be a Banach space. If \mathcal{E} is a domain in \mathfrak{A} which intersects \mathcal{D} and if $f : \mathcal{D} \cap \mathcal{E} \rightarrow W$ is a holomorphic function that is locally bounded, then f has a holomorphic extension $\tilde{f} : \mathcal{E} \rightarrow W$. Moreover, $\mathcal{D} \cap \mathcal{E}$ is dense in \mathcal{E} .

Proof. Our proof relies on the fact that the spectrum of a compact operator has no nonzero limit point. Adopt the notation

$$B(Z_0; r) = \{Z \in \mathfrak{A} : \|Z - Z_0\| < r\}.$$

We first show that if $Z_0 \in \overline{\mathcal{D}} \cap \mathcal{E}$, then f has a holomorphic extension to a neighborhood of Z_0 .

Since f is locally bounded, there exists an $r > 0$ and a number M such that $B(Z_0; r) \subseteq \mathcal{E}$ and $\|f(Z)\| \leq M$ whenever $Z \in B(Z_0; r) \cap \mathcal{D}$. Given $\epsilon > 0$ with $2\epsilon < r$, by hypothesis there exists a $Z_1 \in \mathcal{D} \cap \mathcal{E}$ satisfying $\|Z_1 - Z_0\| < \epsilon$. Clearly $B(Z_1; r_1) \subseteq B(Z_0; r)$ and $Z_0 \in B(Z_1; r_1)$, where $r_1 = r - \epsilon$. Define

$$g(\lambda) = f(Z_1 + \lambda W),$$

where $W \in \mathfrak{A}$ with $\|W\| \leq r_1$. By hypothesis, $g(\lambda)$ is holomorphic at each $\lambda \in \Delta$ where $C(Z_1 + \lambda W) + D$ is invertible. Moreover, $\|g(\lambda)\| \leq M$ for these λ . Put $X_1 = (CZ_1 + D)^{-1}C$. Since

$$C(Z_1 + \lambda W) + D = (CZ_1 + D)(I + \lambda X_1 W)$$

and since $X_1 W$ is compact, it follows that $g(\lambda)$ is holomorphic at all but a finite set of points in Δ . (This also shows that $\mathcal{D} \cap B(Z_1; r_1)$ is connected and that $B(Z_1; r_1) \subseteq \overline{\mathcal{D}} \cap \mathcal{E}$.) The points of this finite set are removable singularities by [11, Theorem 3.13.3]. Hence by the Cauchy estimates [11, p. 97],

$$\|g^{(n)}(0)\| \leq n!M, \quad n = 0, 1, \dots$$

Since $g^{(n)}(0) = \hat{D}^n f(Z_1)W$, it follows that

$$\|\hat{D}^n f(Z_1)\|r_1^n \leq n!M, \quad n = 0, 1, \dots,$$

and hence by the Weierstrass M-test and [11, Theorem 3.18.1], the series

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n!} \hat{D}^n f(Z_1)(Z - Z_1) \quad (\text{A.2})$$

converges to a holomorphic function $f_\epsilon(Z)$ on $B(Z_1; r_1)$. Since (A.2) is the Taylor series expansion for f at Z_1 , it follows that f_ϵ and f agree on a neighborhood of Z_1 by [11, Theorem 3.17.1]. Therefore, $\tilde{f} = f$ on $\mathcal{D} \cap B(Z_1; r_1)$ by the identity theorem. Thus, f_ϵ is the asserted extension of f to the neighborhood $B(Z_1; r_1)$ of Z_0 .

Moreover, by what we have shown, the nonempty set $S = \overline{\mathcal{D}} \cap \mathcal{E}$ is both open and closed in \mathcal{E} , so $S = \mathcal{E}$, i.e. $\mathcal{D} \cap \mathcal{E}$ is dense in \mathcal{E} . Thus, to complete the proof, all we must show is that if f_1 and f_2 are the extensions of f defined above on the balls B_1 and B_2 , respectively, then f_1 and f_2 agree on $B_1 \cap B_2$. This is a consequence of an argument in the proof of Proposition 4. \blacksquare

REFERENCES

1. F. F. Bonsall and J. Duncan, *Complete Normed Algebras*, Ergebnisse der Math. Bd. 80, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1973.
2. L. G. Brown and G. K. Pedersen, *C*-algebras of real rank 0*, J. Funct. Anal. **99**(1991), 131–149.
3. R. B. Burckel, *An Introduction to Classical Complex Analysis* Vol. 1, Academic Press, New York, 1979.
4. K. R. Davidson, *C*-Algebras by Example*, Fields Institute Monographs, Vol. 6, AMS, Providence, 1996.
5. J. Garnett, *Analytic Capacity and Measure*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, Vol. 297, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1972.
6. R. C. Gunning, *Introduction to Holomorphic Functions of Several Variables, Vol. I: Function Theory*, Brooks/Cole, Belmont, CA, 1990.
7. P. R. Halmos, *A Hilbert Space Problem Book*, Second edition, Graduate Texts in Mathematics, 19, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1982.
8. L. A. Harris, *Banach algebras with involution and Möbius transformations*, J. Funct. Anal. **11**(1972), 1–16.
9. L. A. Harris, *Linear fractional transformations of circular domains in operator spaces*, Indiana Univ. Math. J. **41**(1992), 125–147.
10. L. A. Harris, *Unbounded symmetric homogeneous domains in spaces of operators*, Ann. Scuola Norm. Sup. Pisa Cl. Sci. **22**(1995), 449–467.

11. E. Hille and R. S. Phillips, *Functional Analysis and Semi-Groups*, Amer. Math. Soc. Colloq. Publ., Vol. 31, AMS, Providence, 1957.
12. E. Ligocka and J. Siciak, *Weak analytic continuation*, Bull. Acad. Polon. Sci. Sér. Sci. Math. Astronom. Phys. **20**(1972), 461–466.
13. J.-P. Ramis, *Sous-ensembles Analytiques d'une Variété Banachique Complexe*, Ergebnisse der Math. No. 53, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1970.