

Bases and Dimensions of C_A , R_A , and $N(A)$

A close look at our previous work with the vector spaces associated with a matrix readily yields additional information about the spaces in light of the definitions made in the last section. We illustrate with an example. Consider the matrix A below

$$\begin{pmatrix} 3 & 15 & -1 & -5 & -2 \\ 36 & 180 & 8 & -20 & 3 \\ 8 & 40 & 2 & -4 & 1 \\ -22 & -110 & -7 & 8 & -3 \end{pmatrix}. \text{ The reduced row echelon form of A is } \text{rref}(A) =$$

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 5 & 0 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Recall that the nullity of A is the number of free variables of A and the rank of A is the number of pivots of A. For our example $\text{Nullity}(A) = 2$ and $\text{Rank}(A) = 3$. Take a look at the null space of A, $N(A)$, which is the same as the null space of $\text{rref}(A)$. From $\text{rref}(A)$ we know that the

solutions to $Ax = \mathbf{0}$, the elements of these null spaces, are all of the form $a \begin{pmatrix} -5 \\ 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} + b$

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ -2 \\ 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \text{ for arbitrary scalars } a \text{ and } b. \text{ Therefore, } N(A) = \left\langle \begin{pmatrix} -5 \\ 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ -2 \\ 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \right\rangle. \text{ These vectors then}$$

span this subspace of \mathbf{R}^5 and are clearly linearly independent. Thus, these vectors are a basis for the null space and $\dim(N(A)) = \text{nullity}(A)$.

We have seen that the row spaces of A and $\text{rref}(A)$ are equal, $R_A = R_{\text{rref}(A)}$. But it is easy to see that $R_{\text{rref}(A)} = \langle (1, 5, 0, -1, 0), (0, 0, 1, 2, 0), (0, 0, 0, 0, 1) \rangle$ and that these vectors are linearly independent. Hence, these vectors are a basis for R_A (as are the pivot rows from which these rows came) and $\dim(R_A) = 3 = \text{rank}(A)$.

The number of pivots also gives the dimension of the column space of A , $\dim(C_A) = \text{rank}(A)$. As with the pivot rows for R_A , the pivot columns of A give a basis for C_A . A notable difference is that for C_A the pivot columns of $\text{rref}(A)$ do not give a basis. So in our example, C_A has a basis

$$\text{given by } \begin{pmatrix} 3 \\ 36 \\ 8 \\ -22 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} -1 \\ 8 \\ 2 \\ -7 \end{pmatrix}, \text{ and } \begin{pmatrix} -2 \\ 3 \\ 1 \\ -3 \end{pmatrix} \text{ but not by } \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \text{ and } \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \text{ from } \text{rref}(A). \text{ Here this}$$

is clearly the case since, e.g., the space spanned by the latter set contains only vectors with 0's in the fourth component whereas that is not the case for C_A . Why the difference? The row operations used to create $\text{rref}(A)$ from A traded rows for *linear combinations* of rows. Columns, on the other hand, had their elements jumbled internally.

We then can find bases and dimensions for $N(A)$, C_A , and R_A by row reducing A . These results on dimension are summarized in the following theorem.

Fundamental Theorem of Linear Algebra

Let A be an $m \times n$ matrix and $r = \text{rank}(A)$. Then $\dim(R_A) = r = \dim(C_A)$, $\dim(N(A)) = n - r$, and $\dim(N(A^T)) = m - r$.