A universal divisibility test

Given below is a certain universal divisibility test invented by Bhāratī Kṛṣṇa Tīrtha, who claimed (in 1950's) that he found it in ancient vedic scriptures. While the claim is very doubtful, the test is a nice alteration of the usual modern techniques.

The test is for checking if a given natural number n is divisible by a given natural number d > 1.

1. First assume that d is coprime with 10, since for numbers having common factors with 10, the possible common factors 2,5 can be factored out from d. The divisibility test by 2 is very easy: n must be even, i.e. the units digit is among 0, 2, 4, 6, 8.

The divisibility test by 5 is easier: n must have the units digit 0 or 5.

2. Next, find a number m such that $10m \equiv s \pmod{d}$, where s is 1 or -1. (See more details below.) The resulting product sm shall be called a multiplier for d.

Write n = 10u + v.

- 3. Then the divisibility test says that d|n = 10u + v iff d|u + smv. The number u + smv is usually much smaller than n and we can repeat the test until divisibility becomes evident.
- 4. Here is an illustration.

Let d = 13. Note that (3)13 + 1 = (4)10, so we can take s = 1 and m = 4. Start with n = 34351 = 10(3435) + 1, so u = 3435 and v = 1. So we can replace n = 34351 by u + smv = 3435 + (4)1 = 3439. Taking n = 3439 = (10)343 + 9, we next replace it by 343 + (4)9 = 379. Next replacement shall be 37 + (4)9 = 73 and this is easily seen to be not divisible by 13.

Usually, we don't spend time in these arguments. We just write the process thus:

$$34351 \rightarrow 3435 + (4)1 = 3439$$
 $\rightarrow 343 + (4)9 = 379$
 $\rightarrow 37 + (4)9 = 73$
 $\rightarrow 7 + (4)3 = 19$
 $\rightarrow Done!$ Not divisible.

We start with a given n and a given d. Find a suitable multiplier sm. Use it to reduce n until divisibility is easy.

Here is another example with d = 23.

3(23) = 69, so (7)10 = 1 + (3)(23). Thus, we can take s = 1, m = 7. The multiplier is (1)(7) = 7. Take n = 314452. Here are the reductions:

$$314452 \rightarrow 31445 + (2)(7) = 31459 \rightarrow 3145 + (7)(9) = 3208 \rightarrow 320 + (7)(8) = 376 \rightarrow 37 + (7)(6) = 79.$$

The last number is clearly not divisible by 23. (You could make a further reduction if you like:

$$79 \to 7 + (7)(9) = 70 \to 7 + (7)(0) = 7.$$

5. Here are simple recipes for finding the s, m.

If d ends in 1, then write d = 10m + 1, which defines m and let s = -1. Example: d = 31 = 10(3) + 1 so m = 3, s = -1 and multiplier is -3.

If d ends in 3, then write 3d + 1 = 10m, which defines m and set s = 1. Example: d = 43 so 3d + 1 = 130 = 10(13) so m = 13, s = 1, sm = 13.

If d ends in 7, then write 3d = 10m + 1 thereby defining m and s = -1. Example: d = 37 so 3d = 111 = 10(11) + 1 so m = 11, s = -1, sm = -11.

If d ends in 9, then write d + 1 = 10m thereby defining m and s = 1. Example: d = 29 so d + 1 = 30 = 10(3) so m = 3, s = 1, sm = 3.

The original recipe of Swamiji stated the following:

Find a multiple of the chosen d which ends in 9. Take the part of the number before 9 and add 1 to it. This is the multiplier sm. For instance, when d = 17, we have (7)(17) = 119; the part before 9 is 11 so the multiplier is 1 + 11 = 12.

- 6. Now you should practice using the above multipliers as well as practicing making your own multipliers.
- 7. **Proof of the test:** Note that by assumption $10sm \equiv s^2 = 1 \mod d$. Now, d|n = 10 + v iff d|(sm)n = 10smu + smv. This last expression is congruent to u + smv modulo d. Hence the test is proved!
- 8. This reproduces the usual tests for divibility by 3, 9, 11 since the values of sm come out to be 1, 1, -1 in these cases respectively. You should verify how this leads to the usual tests.
- 9. We give one more example for practice. Take d = 17. As shown above, the multiplier is 12. This is also -5 modulo 17, so we choose the smaller size multiplier.

Take n = 214457. Here is the work:

$$214457 \rightarrow 21445 - (5)7 = 21410 \rightarrow 2141 \rightarrow 214 - (5)1 = 209 \rightarrow 20 - (5)9 = -25.$$

The last is clearly non divisible.