MA162: Finite mathematics

Jack Schmidt

University of Kentucky

February 25, 2013

Schedule:

- HW 3.1-3.3, 4.1 (Late)
- HW 2.5-2.6 due Friday, Mar 01, 2013
- Exam 2, Monday, Mar 04, 2013, from 5pm to 7pm
- HW 5.1 due Friday, Mar 08, 2013
- Spring Break, Mar 09-17, 2013
- HW 5.2-5.3 due Friday, Mar 22, 2013

Today we will cover 2.5: applications of matrix multiplication, and Ch 4: shadow prices

2.5: Matrices as conversion tables

- A table lets you convert from one type of thing to another
- This table lets you convert from a client to his stock holdings:

	IBM	Google	Toyota	Texaco
Bill (18	16	12	14
Jim	12	18	11	12)

Bill has 18 shares of IBM

• This table lets you convert from a stock to its value:

	Today	Yesterday	Daybefore	
IBM	(3	3.01	2.99	\
Google	4	3.99	3.99	
Toyota	5	5.01	5.01	
Texaco	$\setminus 1$	1.02	1.03)

Google sold for \$3.99/share yesterday

• The source is on the left, and the destination is on the top

2.5: Matrix multiplication to combine conversions

- We can combine this into a single conversion table
- (Client \rightarrow Stocks) \times (Stocks \rightarrow Value) = Client \rightarrow Value

Bill Jim	<i>IBM</i> (18 (12	Google 16 18	<i>Toyota</i> 12 11	<i>Texac</i> 14 12)	×	IBM Google Toyota Texaco		<i>Today</i> 3 4 5 1	Yesterday 3.01 3.99 5.01 1.02	y Day 2 3	/before 2.99 3.99 5.01 1.03	····)
=	Bill Jim	((18)(3) (12)(3)) + (16)) + (18)	Toda (4) + ((4) + (y (12)(5 (11)(5	ō) + (ō) + ((14)(1) (12)(1)	}	esterda	ay Dayb	oefore)	
=	Bill Jim	<i>Today</i> (192 (175	<i>Yester</i> 192. 175.	rd <i>ay</i> 42 29	<i>Dayb</i> 192 175	<i>efore</i> .20 .17	···· ···)						

2.5: Comparing pricing contracts

• We need to buy some supplies

	Re	source Usa	age	Resource price		
	Prod X	Prod Y	Prod Z	Store K	Store L	Store M
Res A	1	1	1	\$1.00	\$0.75	\$2.00
Res B	5	4	8	\$1.25	\$1.50	\$1.00
Res C	3	3	3	\$1.50	\$1.25	\$1.75
Res D	1	1	2	\$2.00	\$1.25	\$1.00
Res E	2	1	1	\$1.00	\$1.50	\$2.00
Production	10	40	100			
Level						

- So product Z uses 8 units of resource B
- Each store has offered us an exclusive price contract (Store L offers resource A as \$0.75 per unit, but only if we promise not to buy from Store K or Store M)
- We plan on producing 40 units of product Y
- Which store's pricing contract will be cheaper?

2.5: Comparing pricing contracts

- Want to convert Products to Store (Price)
- (Product \rightarrow Resource) \times (Resource \rightarrow Store)

										Store K	Store L	Store M
		R	es A	Res B	Res C	Res D	Res E		Res A	\$1.00	\$0.75	\$2.00
F	Prod X		1	5	3	1	2	-	Res B	\$1.25	\$1.50	\$1.00
F	Prod Y		1	4	3	1	1	^	Res C	\$1.50	\$1.25	\$1.75
F	Prod Z		1	8	3	2	1		Res D	\$2.00	\$1.25	\$1.00
									Res E	\$1.00	\$1.50	\$2.00
			Store	<u>к</u>	Store L	Store M	_					
=	Prod X		\$15.75		\$16.25	\$17.25						
	Prod Y	·	\$13.50		\$13.25	\$14.25						
	Prod Z	:	\$20.50		\$20.50	\$19.25						

- Except each store is cheapest for one of the products!
- need to take into account how much of each product we make

2.5: Comparing pricing contracts

- Want to convert Production Level to Store (Price)
- (Level \rightarrow Product) \times (Product \rightarrow Resource \rightarrow Store)

						Store K	Store L	Store M
	Prod X	Prod Y	Prod Z		Prod X	\$15.75	\$16.25	\$17.25
Level	10	40	100	- ×	Prod Y	\$13.50	\$13.25	\$14.25
					Prod Z	\$20.50	\$20.50	\$19.25

		Store K	Store L	Store M	
=	Level	\$2747.50	\$2742.50	\$2667.50	

• For the projected production levels,

Store M offers the cheaper package

2.5: Square matrix, migration

• This table (from the US Census) converts residents from 2011 to 2012

(Northeast	Midwest	South	West	
	NE	98.92%	0.09%	0.65%	0.33%	-
	MW	0.08%	99.01%	0.56%	0.35%	
	So	0.16%	0.27%	99.20%	0.37%	
	We	0.05%	0.28%	0.46%	99.19%)

- It says that 0.65% of people in the Northeast Census Region moved to the South Census Region
- $\bullet\,$ While population changes occur due to a variety of factors, apparently "internal" migration is 25% to 50% of it, while birth/death is only about 50%
- If we pretend the matrix doesn't change from year to year, we could predict future years too!

2.5: Square matrix, migration

- If we multiply this table by itself 10 times,
 - it estimates converting 2011 residents to 2021 residents

(Northeast	Midwest	South	West \
-	NE	89.76%	0.93%	6.05%	3.14%
	MW	0.77%	90.63%	5.25%	3.32%
	So	1.48%	2.54%	92.46%	3.50%
	We	0.59%	2.72%	4.36%	92.31% /

		NE	MW	SO	WE
	2012	18.01%	21.77%	36.91%	23.31%
• Distribution:	2021	17.02%	21.48%	37.38%	24.10%
	∞	9.10%	20.63%	39.55%	30.72%

2.5: Another example

- (Products \rightarrow Resource requirements) \times (Resource \rightarrow value) = (Products \rightarrow Value)
- Very useful calculation, but perhaps tricky

	Prod X	Prod Y	Prod Z	Budget		
Res A	1	1	1	100	-	
Res B	5	4	8	500		
Res C	3	3	3	1000		
Res D	1	1	2	150		
Res E	2	1	1	120		
Profit	1	2	3		-	
Raw resource prices:		Res A	Res B	Res C	Res D	Res E
		0.25	0.10	0.10	0.10	0.25

• What are some problems with "just multiply"?

2.5: Another example

- (Products \rightarrow Resource requirements) \times (Resource \rightarrow value) = (Products \rightarrow Value)
- Very useful calculation, but perhaps tricky

	Prod X	Prod Y	Prod Z	Budget
Res A	1	1	1	100
Res B	5	4	8	500
Res C	3	3	3	1000
Res D	1	1	2	150
Res E	2	1	1	120
Profit	1	2	3	

Raw resource prices:Res ARes BRes CRes DRes E0.250.100.100.100.25

• What are some problems with "just multiply"?

Among others: the tables are "sideways", the sizes and labels don't match

2.5: An answer

• This is closer, now the sizes and labels match:

	Res A	Res B	Res C	Res D	Res F			Value
Prod X Prod Y Prod Z Budget	1 1 1 100	5 4 8 500	3 3 3 1000	1 1 2 150	2 1 1 120	- ·	Res A Res B Res C Res D Res F	\$0.25 \$0.10 \$0.10 \$0.10
Prod X Prod Y	Value \$1.65 \$1.30	_					Res E	\$ 0.25

Prod Y	\$1.30
Prod Z	\$1.80
Budget	\$220.00

• What does "value of product X is \$1.65" actually mean?

• What does "value of the budget is \$220.00" actually mean?

2.5: An answer

\$220.00

Budget

• This is closer, now the sizes and labels match:

	Rec A	Rec B	Rec C	Rec D	Rec F			Value
Duad V	1	T(CS D	2	1	2	- '	Res A	\$0.25
Prod A	1	5	5	1	2		Res B	\$0.10
Prod Y	1	4	3	1	1	\times	Due C	¢0.10
Prod Z	1	8	3	2	1	1	Res C	\$0.10
Budget	100	500	1000	150	120	-	Res D	\$0.10
							Res E	\$0.25
	Value							
Durily	¢1.65	_						
Prod X	\$1.05							
Prod Y	\$1.30							
Prod Z	\$1.80							

• What does "value of product X is \$1.65" actually mean?

It is the total cost of its used resource

• What does "value of the budget is \$220.00" actually mean?

This is the tax liability of the raw resources

4.1: A different answer for the budget

- Is \$220.00 a good price for the resources?
- Remember from last week, if we made 75 product Ys and 25 product Zs, we got \$225.00

Γ	X	Y	Ζ	A	В	С	D	Ε	P	ך RHS
-	3/4	1	0	2	-1/4	0	0	0	0	75
	1/4	0	(1)	-1	1/4	0	0	0	0	25
	0	0	0	-3	0	(1)	0	0	0	700
	1/4	0	0	0	-1/4	0	(1)	0	0	25
	1	0	0	-1	0	0	0	(1)	0	20
Ľ	5/4	0	0	1	1/4	0	0	0	1	225

• We shouldn't sell the needed resources for less than \$225.00!

4.1: Marginal value of our resources

- How much should we pay for just a little more of resource A?
- How much should we charge to sell just a little bit of resource B?
- We look at our profit function:

$$\begin{bmatrix} X & Y & Z & A & B & C & D & E & P & RHS \\ \hline 5/4 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1/4 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 225 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$P = \$225.00 - \$1.25X - \$1.00A - \$0.25B$$

- Every A we don't use making Y and Z costs us \$1.00, so we should not sell for anything less than \$1.00 or we will lose money
- Every B we don't use costs us \$0.25 ...

but we can buy them for \$0.10 ...

- 4.1: Buying resources for increased profit
 - We can buy more B at a profit!
 - If we buy 100 more units of B, the revenue goes up \$25 to \$250 but we spent \$10 on the B



• Start with 600 B; P = 250, make 50 Ys and Zs,

use all A and B and D, 700 C leftover, 20 E leftover

• If we buy more than 100 units of B, we waste money: we start to run out of resource D

4.1: Marginal, shadow prices

• Look at the bottom line,

those are the prices we can buy/sell resources at

or increase in product price needed before it is profitable to make

- Careful: marginal is for "just a little bit more"
- How much more?
- Until we pivot, so we need to check the pivot ratio!

Γ	Х	Y	Ζ	A	В	С	D	Е	Р	RHS]
	3/4	1	0	2	-1/4	0	0	0	0	75
	1/4	0	⊕	$^{-1}$	1/4	0	0	0	0	25
	0	0	0	-3	0	⊕	0	0	0	700
	1/4	0	0	0	-1/4	0	⊕	0	0	25
	1	0	0	-1	0	0	0	⊕	0	20
_	5/4	0	0	1	1/4	0	0	0	1	225

B column: smallest non-positive ratio is 25/(-1/4) = 100,
so that is the increase until D pivots