MA111: Contemporary mathematics

Entrance Slip (due 5 min past the hour):

Five friends are trying to decide on where to have lunch.

| Avery | Blair | | Jared | |
|--|-------------------|---|-------------------|---|
| 1st Ovid's | 1st | Ovid's | 1st | Stud. Cent. Subway |
| 2ndStud. Cent. Subway3rdSci. Lib. Subway4thOff campus Subway | 2nd 3rd 4th | Stud. Cent. Subway Sci. Lib. Subway Off campus Subway | 2nd 3rd 4th | Sci. Lib. Subway Off campus Subway Ovid's |

Jared suggests they use Borda Count to decide where to go to lunch. Explain why.

Schedule:

- HW 1 is due 7am Tuesday, Sep 9th, 2014
- Mini-exam 1 is in-class on Thursday, Sep 11th, 2014
- HW 2 is due 7am Tuesday, Sep 16th, 2014
- HW 3 is due 7am Tuesday, Sep 23rd, 2014
- Exam 1 is in-class on Thursday, Sep 25th, 2014

Today we try some completely different rules

Schedule for today

- Please turn in your entrance slips. We will do this every non-exam day. Please bring your own 3x5 index cards.
- Work in groups of 3-6 (probably your table is 3, and you can combine 2 tables if you want)
- After 5 minutes will present some answers
- Next we'll get back into groups to critique the answers, and then present again
- Then I'll go over the old-ideas quickly
- Finally we have the exit quiz (last 10 minutes of class)

While we are passing out the worksheet...

- First class we had two very wise things said:
- We often want a medium candidate (not someone half-hated and half-loved)
- The majority rules (most of the time)
- On the quiz: what are the two real candidates?
- On the quiz: why does adding more candidates help turn a loser into a medium?

• ballot, preference schedule,

voting method, majority winner,

 plurality method, soccer rule, Borda count = Thomas's rule, Daisia's rule

• standard elimination (plurality with elimination)

New words: eliminate

• If we **eliminate** a candidate, then we get new (shorter) preference schedules

Eliminate "off campus" from

| | 2 | 1 |
|-----|------------|------------|
| 1st | Ovid's | Stud Cent |
| 2nd | Stud Cent | Sci Lib |
| 3rd | Sci Lib | Off campus |
| 4th | Off campus | Ovid's |

to get

| | 2 | 1 | |
|-----|-----------|-----------|--|
| 1st | Ovid's | Stud Cent | |
| 2nd | Stud Cent | Sci Lib | |
| 3rd | Sci Lib | Ovid's | |

New words: pairwise comparison

- If we eliminate all but two candidates we get a **head-to-head matchup**
- The **pairwise-comparison method** gives 1 point for every head-to-head matchup won, 1/2 point for every tie
- A **Condorcet winner** wins every head-to-head matchup
- Borda count does not always choose the Condorcet winner
- **Condorcet's paradox** is that a group can prefer Ovid's to K-lair, K-Lair to Starbucks, and Starbucks to Ovid's (so which is best?)

(It is like rock-scissors-paper.)

Exit quiz

• A group is trying to decide on lunch.

| | 6 | 4 | 4 | 3 | 2 |
|-----|---|---|---|---|---|
| 1st | 0 | Κ | S | S | K |
| 2nd | K | 0 | 0 | Κ | S |
| 3rd | S | S | Κ | 0 | 0 |

- Write down all the head-to-head matchups and who wins.
- Who wins pairwise comparison?
- Are there any Condorcet winners (or losers)?