

The **projection** of a vector \vec{v} onto a vector \vec{w} is the multiple of \vec{w} that is nearest to \vec{v} .

Calculus interlude: The multiples of \vec{w} are $t\vec{w}$, so which value of t is best? Let $f(t) = \|\vec{v} - t\vec{w}\|$. Then

$$f(t)^2 = \langle \vec{v} - t\vec{w}, \vec{v} - t\vec{w} \rangle = \langle \vec{v}, \vec{v} \rangle - 2\langle \vec{v}, \vec{w} \rangle t + \langle \vec{w}, \vec{w} \rangle t^2$$

is quadratic, so its minimum (and the minimum of $f(t)$) occurs at “ $-\frac{b}{2a}$ ”, that is at $t = \frac{\vec{v} \cdot \vec{w}}{\vec{w} \cdot \vec{w}}$.

The **formula** for the projection of \vec{v} onto \vec{w} is thus

$$\text{proj}_{\vec{w}}(\vec{v}) = \frac{\vec{v} \cdot \vec{w}}{\vec{w} \cdot \vec{w}} \vec{w}$$

Example: Define $\vec{g}_1 = \begin{bmatrix} 4/9 \\ 4/9 \\ 7/9 \end{bmatrix}$, $\vec{g}_2 = \begin{bmatrix} 1/9 \\ -8/9 \\ 4/9 \end{bmatrix}$, $\vec{g}_3 = \begin{bmatrix} 8/9 \\ -1/9 \\ -4/9 \end{bmatrix}$ and $\vec{v} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 6 \\ 5 \end{bmatrix}$.

By the way, $\vec{g}_i \cdot \vec{g}_j = 0$ if $i \neq j$ and $\vec{g}_i \cdot \vec{g}_i = 1$.

Find the projection of \vec{v} onto \vec{g}_1 :

$$\vec{g}_1 \cdot \vec{g}_1 = ?$$

$$\vec{v} \cdot \vec{g}_1 = ?$$

Find the projection of \vec{v} onto \vec{g}_2 :

$$\vec{g}_2 \cdot \vec{g}_2 = ?$$

$$\vec{v} \cdot \vec{g}_2 = ?$$

Find the projection of \vec{v} onto \vec{g}_3 :

$$\vec{g}_3 \cdot \vec{g}_3 = ?$$

$$\vec{v} \cdot \vec{g}_3 = ?$$

What happens when you add them up?

Find x_1 , x_2 , and x_3 such that $\vec{v} = x_1\vec{g}_1 + x_2\vec{g}_2 + x_3\vec{g}_3$.

How does this magic work? Well, define the matrix $G = \begin{bmatrix} \vec{g}_1 & \vec{g}_2 & \vec{g}_3 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 4/9 & 1/9 & 8/9 \\ 4/9 & -8/9 & -1/9 \\ 7/9 & 4/9 & -4/9 \end{bmatrix}$.

How is $G^T G$ related to $\langle \vec{g}_i, \vec{g}_j \rangle$?

So that means $G^{-1} = G^T$. Hence multiplying by G^T solves systems of equations, like $G\vec{x} = \vec{v}$.

Example

6.4 and 6.5 - Gram-Schmidt and Least Squares

Let $\vec{v}_1 = \begin{bmatrix} 4 \\ 4 \\ 7 \end{bmatrix}$, $\vec{v}_2 = \begin{bmatrix} 16 \\ 7 \\ 10 \end{bmatrix}$, and let $A = \begin{bmatrix} \uparrow & \uparrow \\ \vec{v}_1 & \vec{v}_2 \\ \downarrow & \downarrow \end{bmatrix}$. Set $\vec{b} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 6 \\ 5 \end{bmatrix}$ and $\vec{x}_1 = \begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \end{bmatrix}$.

We want to find x_1 and x_2 so that $x_1\vec{v}_1 + x_2\vec{v}_2 = \vec{b}$, that is, find \vec{x} so that $A\vec{x} = \vec{b}$.

We cannot directly use dot products, because the columns of A are not orthogonal. We will replace A with a matrix G that does have orthogonal columns.

We'll keep the first column $\vec{g}_1 = \vec{v}_1$, but the second column of A points in the \vec{g}_1 direction:

$$\vec{v}_2 \cdot \vec{g}_1 = 162 \quad \vec{g}_1 \cdot \vec{g}_1 = 81 \quad \text{proj}_{\vec{g}_1}(\vec{v}_2) = 162/81\vec{g}_1 = 2\vec{g}_1 = \begin{bmatrix} 8 \\ 8 \\ 14 \end{bmatrix}.$$

If we set $\vec{g}_2 = \vec{v}_2 - \text{proj}_{\vec{g}_1}(\vec{v}_2) = \begin{bmatrix} 8 \\ -1 \\ -4 \end{bmatrix}$ then

$$\vec{g}_1 \cdot \vec{g}_2 = 0 \quad \vec{g}_2 \cdot \vec{g}_2 = 81 \quad \vec{v}_2 = 2\vec{g}_1 + \vec{g}_2$$

How does this relate to $A\vec{x} = \vec{b}$? Well we can write $A\vec{x}$ in terms of G :

$$A\vec{x} = x_1\vec{v}_1 + x_2\vec{v}_2 = x_1\vec{g}_1 + x_2(2\vec{g}_1 + \vec{g}_2) = (x_1 + 2x_2)\vec{g}_1 + (x_2)\vec{g}_2$$

And we can almost write \vec{b} in terms of G :

$$\vec{b} \cdot \vec{g}_1 = 63 \quad \vec{g}_1 \cdot \vec{g}_1 = 81 \quad \text{proj}_{\vec{g}_1}(\vec{b}) = 63/81\vec{g}_1 = 7/9\vec{g}_1 = 1/9 \begin{bmatrix} 28 \\ 28 \\ 49 \end{bmatrix}.$$

$$\vec{b} \cdot \vec{g}_2 = -18 \quad \vec{g}_2 \cdot \vec{g}_2 = 81 \quad \text{proj}_{\vec{g}_2}(\vec{b}) = -18/81\vec{g}_2 = -2/9\vec{g}_2 = 1/9 \begin{bmatrix} -16 \\ 2 \\ 8 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Now we get sneaky, and set $\vec{g}_3 = \vec{b} - \text{proj}_{\vec{g}_1}(\vec{b}) - \text{proj}_{\vec{g}_2}(\vec{b}) = 1/3 \begin{bmatrix} -1 \\ 8 \\ -4 \end{bmatrix}$

$$\vec{g}_1 \cdot \vec{g}_3 = 0 \quad \vec{g}_2 \cdot \vec{g}_3 = 0 \quad \vec{g}_3 \cdot \vec{g}_3 = 9 \quad \vec{b} = 7/9\vec{g}_1 + -2/9\vec{g}_2 + \vec{g}_3$$

Calculate $\|A\vec{x} - \vec{b}\|$ using the \vec{g} s:

$$\begin{aligned} \|A\vec{x} - \vec{b}\|^2 &= \|((x_1 + 2x_2)\vec{g}_1 + (x_2)\vec{g}_2) - (\frac{7}{9}\vec{g}_1 + \frac{-2}{9}\vec{g}_2 + \vec{g}_3)\|^2 \\ &= \|(x_1 + 2x_2 - \frac{7}{9})\vec{g}_1 + (x_2 - \frac{-2}{9})\vec{g}_2 + \vec{g}_3\|^2 \\ &= (x_1 + 2x_2 - \frac{7}{9})^2\|\vec{g}_1\|^2 + (x_2 - \frac{-2}{9})^2\|\vec{g}_2\|^2 + \|\vec{g}_3\|^2 \end{aligned}$$

This is clearly minimized exactly when $x_1 + 2x_2 = \frac{7}{9}$ and $x_2 = \frac{-2}{9}$, that is, when $\vec{x} = \frac{1}{9} \begin{bmatrix} 11 \\ -2 \end{bmatrix}$, but it can never be smaller than $\|\vec{g}_3\|^2 = 9$.

Let $\vec{v}_1 = \begin{bmatrix} 3 \\ 4 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$, $\vec{v}_2 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 3 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$, and $\vec{b} = \begin{bmatrix} 7 \\ 11 \\ 13 \end{bmatrix}$.

1. Find vectors \vec{g}_1 and \vec{g}_2 with the same span as \vec{v}_1 and \vec{v}_2 , except with $\vec{g}_1 \cdot \vec{g}_2 = 0$.

2. What is the projection of \vec{b} onto \vec{g}_1

3. What is the projection of \vec{b} onto \vec{g}_2

4. Define $\vec{g}_3 = \vec{b} - \text{proj}_{\vec{g}_1}(\vec{b}) - \text{proj}_{\vec{g}_2}(\vec{b})$

5. If you write $\vec{\mathbf{b}} = y_1\vec{\mathbf{g}}_1 + y_2\vec{\mathbf{g}}_2 + y_3\vec{\mathbf{g}}_3$, what are y_1 , y_2 , and y_3 ?

6. Write each $\vec{\mathbf{v}}_i$ in terms of the $\vec{\mathbf{g}}_j$ s

7. Find the best x_1, x_2 so that $x_1\vec{\mathbf{v}}_1 + x_2\vec{\mathbf{v}}_2$ is as close to $\vec{\mathbf{b}}$ as possible.

8. How far from $\vec{\mathbf{b}}$ must it be?