

Intro to Contemporary Math

Majority Criterion

Nicholas Nguyen
`nicholas.nguyen@uky.edu`

Department of Mathematics
UK

Agenda

- ▶ Majority Criterion
- ▶ Testing Voting Methods

WebWork

- ▶ Homework 2 is due next Monday.

Majority Criterion

Majority Criterion (MA criterion):

A candidate who has over 50% of the first place votes (a majority candidate) should win.

- ▶ Do all voting methods comply with (obey) this criterion (law)?

Not the Majority Criterion

- ▶ The MA criterion **does not** demand that every election have a majority candidate.
- ▶ Fairness criteria only **put demands on voting methods**, not on voters.

Majority Criterion Compliance

A voting method:

- ▶ Always satisfies the MA criterion if any election with a majority candidate ends in that candidate winning
- ▶ Can violate the MA criterion if we can find (or make) an election with a majority candidate who loses

Testing for MA Violation

Goal: See if a **majority candidate can lose** with a voting **method** (catch it in the act of not choosing a majority candidate as a winner)

To test if a voting method **can violate (fail)** MA,

- 1) Find or make an election
- 2) Check if there is a majority candidate
- 3) Determine the winner using the voting method

Testing for MA Violation

- 1) Find or make an election
- 2) Check if there is a majority candidate
- 3) Determine the winner using the voting method

Outcomes/Results:

- ▶ **Inconclusive:** No majority candidate, or majority candidate wins (with the voting method)
- ▶ **Violation:** There is a majority candidate, but the voting method picks someone else as the winner.

Testing for MA Violation Outcomes

- ▶ **Inconclusive:** No majority candidate, or majority candidate wins (with the voting method)
- ▶ **Violation:** There is a majority candidate, but the voting method picks someone else as the winner.

If a voting method can violate the MA criterion, it means that a majority candidate **can** lose with the voting method.

MA Testing and Borda Count (1)

Let's see if the Borda count method can violate the majority criterion.

Let's try this election:

2	2	1
A	B	C
B	C	B
C	A	A

Point totals:

A gets 9 points

B gets 12 points

C gets 9 points



MA Testing and Borda Count (1)

Let's see if the Borda count method can violate the majority criterion.

Let's try this election:

2	2	1
A	B	C
B	C	B
C	A	A

Point totals:

A gets 9 points

B gets 12 points

C gets 9 points

- ▶ No majority candidate
- ▶ B wins with Borda count

MA Testing and Borda Count (1)

Let's see if the Borda count method can violate the majority criterion.

Let's try this election:

2	2	1
A	B	C
B	C	B
C	A	A

Point totals:

A gets 9 points

B gets 12 points

C gets 9 points

- ▶ No majority candidate
- ▶ B wins with Borda count

Testing for a violation with this election gives an **inconclusive result**, because there is **no majority candidate**.

Let's see if the Borda count method can violate the majority criterion.

Let's try this election:

2	2	1
A	B	C
B	C	B
C	A	A

Point totals:

A gets 9 points

B gets 12 points

C gets 9 points

- ▶ No majority candidate
- ▶ B wins with Borda count

Testing for a violation with this election gives an **inconclusive result**, because there is **no majority candidate**.

This **election will not help us determine if Borda count can violate** the majority criterion.

MA Testing and Borda Count (2)

2	3
A	B
B	C
C	A

Point totals:

A gets 9 points

B gets 13 points

C gets 8 points



MA Testing and Borda Count (2)

2	3
A	B
B	C
C	A

Point totals:

A gets 9 points

B gets 13 points

C gets 8 points

- ▶ B is a majority candidate with 3/5 first place votes
- ▶ B wins with Borda count

MA Testing and Borda Count (2)

2	3
A	B
B	C
C	A

Point totals:

A gets 9 points

B gets 13 points

C gets 8 points

- ▶ B is a majority candidate with 3/5 first place votes
- ▶ B wins with Borda count

Testing for a violation with this election gives an **inconclusive result**, because the **majority candidate is B, who also won** with the voting method Borda count.

2	3
A	B
B	C
C	A

Point totals:

A gets 9 points

B gets 13 points

C gets 8 points

- ▶ B is a majority candidate with 3/5 first place votes
- ▶ B wins with Borda count

Testing for a violation with this election gives an **inconclusive result**, because the **majority candidate is B, who also won** with the voting method Borda count.

The reason why **this single example does not show satisfaction** is because **we do not know whether a violation could occur in a different election** or not.

MA Testing and Borda Count (3)

3	2
A	B
B	C
C	A

Point totals:

A gets 11 points

B gets 12 points

C gets 7 points



MA Testing and Borda Count (3)

3	2
A	B
B	C
C	A

Point totals:

A gets 11 points

B gets 12 points

C gets 7 points

- ▶ A is a majority candidate with 3/5 first place votes, but
- ▶ someone else, B, wins with Borda count!

MA Testing and Borda Count (3)

3	2
A	B
B	C
C	A

Point totals:

A gets 11 points

B gets 12 points

C gets 7 points

- ▶ A is a majority candidate with 3/5 first place votes, but
- ▶ someone else, B, wins with Borda count!

The Borda Count method **can violate** the Majority criterion!

MA Testing and Borda Count (3)

3	2
A	B
B	C
C	A

Point totals:

A gets 11 points

B gets 12 points

C gets 7 points

- ▶ A is a majority candidate with 3/5 first place votes, but
- ▶ someone else, B, wins with Borda count!

The Borda Count method **can violate** the Majority criterion!

We have **found** an election where there is a majority candidate, A, but the Borda count method picked B instead as the winner.

MA Testing and Borda Count

- ▶ Election 1 is **inconclusive**: with **no majority candidate**, it won't help us learn if they always win or can lose.

- ▶

- ▶

- ▶

MA Testing and Borda Count

- ▶ Election 1 is **inconclusive**: with **no majority candidate**, it won't help us learn if they always win or can lose.
- ▶ Election 2 is **inconclusive**: the **majority candidate won this time**, but we do not know if they always win or can lose.

▶

▶

MA Testing and Borda Count

- ▶ Election 1 is **inconclusive**: with **no majority candidate**, it won't help us learn if they always win or can lose.
- ▶ Election 2 is **inconclusive**: the **majority candidate won this time**, but we do not know if they always win or can lose.
 - ▶ It's **not satisfaction**: we do not know if they always win from this election.

▶

MA Testing and Borda Count

- ▶ Election 1 is **inconclusive**: with **no majority candidate**, it won't help us learn if they always win or can lose.
- ▶ Election 2 is **inconclusive**: the **majority candidate won this time**, but we do not know if they always win or can lose.
 - ▶ It's **not satisfaction**: we do not know if they always win from this election.
- ▶ Election 3 shows a **violation**: the **majority candidate lost!**

MA Testing and PwE

We used Plurality with Elimination on this election last week:

2	3	6
Azure	Blue	Cobalt
Blue	Cobalt	Blue
Cobalt	Azure	Azure

In this election,

- ▶ Cobalt is the majority candidate with 6/11 first place votes.
- ▶ Cobalt wins with PwE automatically, due to having over 50% of the first place votes at the beginning.

Testing for a violation with this election gives an **inconclusive** result, because the majority candidate is Cobalt, who also won with the voting method PwE.

2	3	6
Azure	Blue	Cobalt
Blue	Cobalt	Blue
Cobalt	Azure	Azure

In this election,

- ▶ Cobalt is the majority candidate with 6/11 first place votes.
- ▶ Cobalt wins with PwE automatically, due to having over 50% of the first place votes at the beginning.

Testing for a violation with this election gives an **inconclusive** result, because the majority candidate is Cobalt, who also won with the voting method PwE.

The reason why this **single example** does not show satisfaction is because we do not know whether a violation could occur in a different election or not.

?(6.1) MA Testing

Below are 3 elections using an unknown voting method:

Election 1:

2	3	4
C	A	B
B	B	A
A	C	C

Winner: B

Election 2:

2	3	4
A	A	B
B	C	A
C	B	C

Winner: B

Election 3:

2	3	6
A	A	B
B	C	A
C	B	C

Winner: B

Which election shows that the voting method can violate the MA criterion?

Press the number of the election that **shows a violation** (1-3).

MA Testing

- ▶ Election 2 shows a **violation** of the MA criterion:
 - ▶ Candidate A was the majority candidate (5/9 first place votes), but
 - ▶ Candidate B was declared the winner
- ▶ Election 1 gives an **inconclusive** result because it **did not have a majority candidate**
- ▶ Election 3 gives an **inconclusive** result because it has a **majority candidate (B) that won**

Testing for MA Satisfaction

To test if a voting method **satisfies (passes)** MA, we must:

- 1) **Study the rules** of the voting method
- 2) **Determine if a majority candidate is guaranteed to win** under the rules

If a **majority candidate is guaranteed to win**, the voting method **satisfies the MA criterion.**

MA Satisfaction Testing and PwE

Let's look at the rules for Plurality with Elimination:

Step 1: Check for a candidate with **over** 50% of the first place votes.

If there is one, that candidate is the **winner**.

If not, go to **Step 2**.

Step 2: **Eliminate** the candidate with the fewest first place votes. Remove them from the schedule.

Step 3: **Push** the other candidates up to fill in the blanks. Then **go back to Step 1**.

- ▶ If we have an election with a **majority candidate**, then that candidate would have over 50% of the first place votes at the beginning.

Let's look at the rules for Plurality with Elimination:

Step 1: Check for a candidate with **over 50%** of the first place votes.

If there is one, that candidate is the **winner**.

If not, go to **Step 2**.

Step 2: **Eliminate** the candidate with the fewest first place votes. Remove them from the schedule.

Step 3: **Push** the other candidates up to fill in the blanks. Then **go back to Step 1**.

- If we have an election with a **majority candidate**, then that candidate would have over 50% of the first place votes at the beginning.

According to **Step 1**, the **majority candidate would always win** right away, and the process would never reach Step 2. Hence **PwE always satisfies MA**.

?(6.2) MA Satisfaction: Plurality

The candidate with the most first place votes wins.

- ▶ Does Plurality satisfy MA?
Type “y” or “n” on your device.
- ▶ Hint: if a candidate has over 50% of the first place votes, then are they guaranteed to have the most first place votes?

MA Satisfaction: Plurality

Yes: If there is a majority candidate, it would have over 50% of the first place votes at the beginning. No other candidate can have more first place votes than a majority candidate (they can only get less than 50% of the first place votes). Hence a majority candidate will always win with Plurality, so Plurality always satisfies MA.

MA Satisfaction: Pairwise Comparison

To check if Pairwise Comparison satisfies or violates MA, let us work with:

- ▶ An election with N candidates
- ▶ A majority candidate named A

Let us see if A wins, or how A could lose.

?(6.3) MA and 1-on-1 Comparisons

What happens to a majority candidate like A here in a comparison against any opponent B?

over half	less than half
A	B? A? A? B?
B	

A) A wins the comparison

B) B wins the comparison

C) There is a tie

MA and 1-on-1 Comparisons

over half	less than half
A	B? A? A? B?
B	

More than half of the voters rank A first, so these voters rank A higher than B and go in A's camp. Since A's camp already has over half of the voters, there is no way B can get a bigger camp, so A wins.

?(6.4) MA Satisfaction: Pairwise Comparison

More than half of the voters rank A first, so these voters rank A higher than B and go in A's camp. Since A's camp already has over half of the voters, there is no way B can get a bigger camp, so A wins.

Thus, the majority candidate A wins all of its comparisons.

Will A win the overall election with Pairwise Comparison?

Type “y” or “n” on your device.

Hint: To win with Pairwise Comparison, a candidate must get the most points from winning or tying comparisons.

MA Satisfaction: Pairwise Comparison

Yes: If A wins its comparisons against all $N - 1$ opponents, then A must have the most points, $N - 1$. No one else can get $N - 1$ points because they lost to A and did not get a point from their comparison vs. A. They can only get up to $N - 2$ points against the other opponents.

Since A has the most points, it wins with Pairwise Comparison. Hence Pairwise Comparison always satisfies MA.

Majority Candidates and Condorcet Candidates

As we just saw, every majority candidate is also a Condorcet candidate.

- ▶ Not every Condorcet candidate is a majority candidate.

Next time

- ▶ We will introduce the Condorcet criterion: Condorcet candidates should win
- ▶ Homework 2 is due next Monday.