

Math 575  
Fall 2018  
Solutions to Problem Set # 0

1. (Beals p. 9, 1) (**not graded**) A good resource for this material are the notes [here](#) from Professor Ron Freiwald's web page at Washington University, St. Louis. We define integers  $z \in \mathbb{Z}$  as equivalence classes as follows. For pairs  $(m, n)$  and  $(m', n')$  of natural numbers, we say that  $(m, n) \equiv (m', n')$  if  $m + n' = m' + n$ , and we denote by  $[m - n]$  the equivalence class of  $(m, n)$ .

We wish to addition and multiplication of integers by the rule

$$\begin{aligned}[m - n] + [p - q] &= [(m + p) - (n + q)], \\ [m - n] \cdot [p - q] &= [(mp + qn) - (mq + np)].\end{aligned}$$

We need to check that the right-hand sides of both expressions are independent of the choice of representatives for  $[m - n]$  and  $[p - q]$ . Thus, suppose that  $(m, n) \equiv (m', n')$  and  $(p, q) \equiv (p', q')$ . That is,

$$m + n' = m' + n, \tag{1}$$

$$p + q' = p' + q. \tag{2}$$

We need to check that

$$(m + p) + (n' + q') = (m' + p') + (n + q) \tag{3}$$

and

$$(mp + qn) + (m'q' + n'p') = (m'p' + q'n') + (mq + np) \tag{4}$$

given (1) and (2).

Proving (3) is easy: adding (1) and (2) gives the desired equality.

To prove (4), we use (1)–(2) to conclude that

$$\begin{aligned}p(m + n') + q(m' + n) + m'(p + q') + n'(p' + q) &= \\ p(n + m') + q(m + n') + m'(p' + q) + n'(p + q')\end{aligned}$$

Using the distributive and commutative laws we can recast this equation as

$$\begin{aligned}(mp + nq + n'p' + m'q') + (n'p + m'q + m'p + n'q) &= \\ (np + mq + m'p' + n'q') + (n'p + m'q + m'p + n'q)\end{aligned}$$

and use the cancellation law to conclude that (4) holds.

2. (**2 points**) (Beals p. 9, 14) Suppose there is a rational  $r = p/q$  (written in lowest terms) with  $r^3 = 2$ . Then  $p^3 = 2q^3$  so that  $p$  is even, say  $p = 2m$ . Hence  $8m^3 = 2q^3$  or  $q^3 = 4m^3$  which shows that  $q$  is also even. But  $p$  and  $q$  were assumed to be in lowest terms, a contradiction.
3. (**2 points**) (Beals, p. 12, 1) Suppose that  $S$  and  $S'$ . If  $S \neq S'$ , then either
- (i) There exists  $q \in S$  with  $q \notin S'$  or
  - (ii) There exists  $q \in S'$  with  $q \notin S$
- In case (i) it follows that any  $r \in S'$  satisfies  $r < q$ , since otherwise  $q$  would be an element of  $S'$ . This means that  $S' \subset S$ .

In case (ii) it follows that any  $r \in S$  satisfies  $r < q$  since otherwise  $q$  would be an element of  $S'$ . Thus  $S \subset S'$ .

4. **(6 points)** (Beals, p. 12, 2) Suppose that  $S$  and  $S'$  are cuts and define

$$S + S' = \{r + r' : r \in S, r' \in S'\}.$$

We claim that  $S + S'$  is a cut.

(i) First  $S + S'$  is non empty since  $S$  and  $S'$  are each nonempty. There exist  $q, q' \in \mathbb{Q}$  with  $q > r$  for all  $r \in S$  and  $q' > r'$  for all  $r' \in S'$ . Thus  $q + q' > r + r'$  for all such  $r, r'$ , so  $q + q' \notin S + S'$ . Hence  $S + S' \neq \mathbb{Q}$ .

(ii) Suppose that  $r \in S + S'$ . This means that  $r = q + q'$  for some  $q \in S$  and  $q' \in S'$ . We wish to show that any  $s < r$  also belongs to  $S + S'$ . We may write  $s = q + q' - (r - s) = [q - (r - s)] + q'$  and use the fact that  $q - (r - s) \in S$  to conclude that  $s \in S + S'$ .

(iii) Suppose  $S + S'$  has a largest element  $r + r'$  with  $r \in S$  and  $r' \in S'$ . Neither  $r$  nor  $r'$  are largest elements in  $S$  or  $S'$ , so we can find  $r_1 > r$  and  $r'_1 > r'$  so that  $r_1 \in S$  and  $r'_1 \in S'$ . But then  $r_1 + r'_1 \in S + S'$ , contradicting the assumption that  $r + r'$  is the largest element of  $S + S'$ .