#### Further Lectures on Sets.

#### Ma 162 Spring 2010

Ma 162 Spring 2010

April 5, 2010

Avinash Sathaye (Ma 162 Spring 2010

- One of the important skills about sets is to be able to count the number of elements in a set. Quite often, a set is a table of information.
- Given sets A, B we can form the product set

 $A \times B = \{(a, b) \mid a \in A, b \in B\}.$ 

• A little thought shows that if A, B are finite, then:

 $n(A \times B) = n(A) \cdot n(B).$ 

- For example, we can have a class roll consisting of student names followed by letters giving the class grade. It will be convenient to consider the pair (Student Name, Grade) and call it an assigned grade.
- So, if S is the set of all students and G is the set  $\{A, B, C, D, E, W\}$  then the assigned grades in a semester form the set  $S \times G$ .

- One of the important skills about sets is to be able to count the number of elements in a set. Quite often, a set is a table of information.
- $\bullet\,$  Given sets A,B we can form the product set

 $A \times B = \{(a, b) \mid a \in A, b \in B\}.$ 

• A little thought shows that if A, B are finite, then:

 $n(A \times B) = n(A) \cdot n(B).$ 

- For example, we can have a class roll consisting of student names followed by letters giving the class grade. It will be convenient to consider the pair (Student Name, Grade) and call it an assigned grade.
- So, if S is the set of all students and G is the set  $\{A, B, C, D, E, W\}$  then the assigned grades in a semester form the set  $S \times G$ .

- One of the important skills about sets is to be able to count the number of elements in a set. Quite often, a set is a table of information.
- Given sets A, B we can form the product set

$$A \times B = \{(a, b) \mid a \in A, b \in B\}.$$

- A little thought shows that if A, B are finite, then:  $n(A \times B) = n(A) \cdot n(B).$
- For example, we can have a class roll consisting of student names followed by letters giving the class grade. It will be convenient to consider the pair (Student Name, Grade) and call it an assigned grade.
- So, if S is the set of all students and G is the set  $\{A, B, C, D, E, W\}$  then the assigned grades in a semester form the set  $S \times G$ .

- One of the important skills about sets is to be able to count the number of elements in a set. Quite often, a set is a table of information.
- Given sets A, B we can form the product set

$$A \times B = \{(a, b) \mid a \in A, b \in B\}.$$

$$n(A \times B) = n(A) \cdot n(B).$$

- For example, we can have a class roll consisting of student names followed by letters giving the class grade. It will be convenient to consider the pair (Student Name, Grade) and call it an assigned grade.
- So, if S is the set of all students and G is the set  $\{A, B, C, D, E, W\}$  then the assigned grades in a semester form the set  $S \times G$ .

- One of the important skills about sets is to be able to count the number of elements in a set. Quite often, a set is a table of information.
- Given sets A, B we can form the product set

$$A \times B = \{(a, b) \mid a \in A, b \in B\}.$$

$$n(A \times B) = n(A) \cdot n(B).$$

- For example, we can have a class roll consisting of student names followed by letters giving the class grade. It will be convenient to consider the pair (Student Name, Grade) and call it an assigned grade.
- So, if S is the set of all students and G is the set
   {A, B, C, D, E, W} then the assigned grades in a semester
   form the set S × G.

- One of the important skills about sets is to be able to count the number of elements in a set. Quite often, a set is a table of information.
- Given sets A, B we can form the product set

$$A \times B = \{(a, b) \mid a \in A, b \in B\}.$$

$$n(A \times B) = n(A) \cdot n(B).$$

- For example, we can have a class roll consisting of student names followed by letters giving the class grade. It will be convenient to consider the pair (Student Name, Grade) and call it an assigned grade.
- So, if S is the set of all students and G is the set  $\{A, B, C, D, E, W\}$  then the assigned grades in a semester form the set  $S \times G$ .

- One of the important skills about sets is to be able to count the number of elements in a set. Quite often, a set is a table of information.
- Given sets A, B we can form the product set

$$A \times B = \{(a, b) \mid a \in A, b \in B\}.$$

$$n(A \times B) = n(A) \cdot n(B).$$

- For example, we can have a class roll consisting of student names followed by letters giving the class grade. It will be convenient to consider the pair (Student Name, Grade) and call it an assigned grade.
- So, if S is the set of all students and G is the set  $\{A, B, C, D, E, W\}$  then the assigned grades in a semester form the set  $S \times G$ .

• To handle the whole grade book, we can let N be the set of integers between 0 and 100 and consider the product set

 $S \times N \times N \times N \times N \times S$  or  $S \times N^4 \times G$ 

whose members are 6-tuples consisting of student name followed by a sequence of four exam scores followed by the final grade.

• Naturally, we have an extended product formula

 $n(A_1 \times A_2 \times \cdots \wedge A_r) = n(A_1) \cdot n(A_2) \cdots n(A_r).$ 

- We now illustrate how we can count the number of elements in various sets using this formula.
- For the same class of 180 students, we get

• To handle the whole grade book, we can let N be the set of integers between 0 and 100 and consider the product set

 $S \times N \times N \times N \times N \times G$  or  $S \times N^4 \times G$ 

whose members are 6-tuples consisting of student name followed by a sequence of four exam scores followed by the final grade.

• Naturally, we have an extended product formula

 $n(A_1 \times A_2 \times \cdots \wedge A_r) = n(A_1) \cdot n(A_2) \cdots n(A_r).$ 

- We now illustrate how we can count the number of elements in various sets using this formula.
- For the same class of 180 students, we get

• To handle the whole grade book, we can let N be the set of integers between 0 and 100 and consider the product set

```
S \times N \times N \times N \times N \times G or S \times N^4 \times G
```

whose members are 6-tuples consisting of student name followed by a sequence of four exam scores followed by the final grade.

• Naturally, we have an extended product formula

$$n(A_1 \times A_2 \times \cdots \wedge A_r) = n(A_1) \cdot n(A_2) \cdots n(A_r).$$

- We now illustrate how we can count the number of elements in various sets using this formula.
- For the same class of 180 students, we get

• To handle the whole grade book, we can let N be the set of integers between 0 and 100 and consider the product set

 $S \times N \times N \times N \times N \times G$  or  $S \times N^4 \times G$ 

whose members are 6-tuples consisting of student name followed by a sequence of four exam scores followed by the final grade.

• Naturally, we have an extended product formula

$$n(A_1 \times A_2 \times \cdots \wedge A_r) = n(A_1) \cdot n(A_2) \cdots n(A_r).$$

- We now illustrate how we can count the number of elements in various sets using this formula.
- For the same class of 180 students, we get

• To handle the whole grade book, we can let N be the set of integers between 0 and 100 and consider the product set

 $S \times N \times N \times N \times N \times G$  or  $S \times N^4 \times G$ 

whose members are 6-tuples consisting of student name followed by a sequence of four exam scores followed by the final grade.

• Naturally, we have an extended product formula

$$n(A_1 \times A_2 \times \cdots \wedge A_r) = n(A_1) \cdot n(A_2) \cdots n(A_r).$$

- We now illustrate how we can count the number of elements in various sets using this formula.
- For the same class of 180 students, we get

• To handle the whole grade book, we can let N be the set of integers between 0 and 100 and consider the product set

 $S \times N \times N \times N \times N \times G$  or  $S \times N^4 \times G$ 

whose members are 6-tuples consisting of student name followed by a sequence of four exam scores followed by the final grade.

• Naturally, we have an extended product formula

$$n(A_1 \times A_2 \times \cdots \wedge A_r) = n(A_1) \cdot n(A_2) \cdots n(A_r).$$

- We now illustrate how we can count the number of elements in various sets using this formula.
- For the same class of 180 students, we get

- The above number only gives the possible student records for a class of 180. The actual class records are, of course only 180, since there is exactly one per student. We will often need to identify and count specific subsets of the full product sets in this way.
- We cast a die 5 times and note the number on top, which would be a member of the set S = {1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6}. If we record the 5 castings and record the top numbers in order, then we get members of the product set S<sup>5</sup> which has 6<sup>5</sup> = 7776 elements.
- A telephone company assigns nine digit telephone numbers. How many different phones can it handle? We can imagine the telephone numbers as members of the set  $T^9$  where  $T = \{0, 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9\}$ . Thus n(T) = 10, so  $n(T^9) = 10^9 = 1,000,000,000$ .

- The above number only gives the possible student records for a class of 180. The actual class records are, of course only 180, since there is exactly one per student. We will often need to identify and count specific subsets of the full product sets in this way.
- We cast a die 5 times and note the number on top, which would be a member of the set S = {1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6}. If we record the 5 castings and record the top numbers in order, then we get members of the product set S<sup>5</sup> which has 6<sup>5</sup> = 7776 elements.
- A telephone company assigns nine digit telephone numbers. How many different phones can it handle? We can imagine the telephone numbers as members of the set  $T^9$  where  $T = \{0, 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9\}$ . Thus n(T) = 10, so  $n(T^9) = 10^9 = 1,000,000,000$ .

- The above number only gives the possible student records for a class of 180. The actual class records are, of course only 180, since there is exactly one per student. We will often need to identify and count specific subsets of
  - the full product sets in this way.
- We cast a die 5 times and note the number on top, which would be a member of the set  $S = \{1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6\}$ . If we record the 5 castings and record the top numbers in order, then we get members of the product set  $S^5$  which has  $6^5 = 7776$  elements.
- A telephone company assigns nine digit telephone numbers. How many different phones can it handle? We can imagine the telephone numbers as members of the set  $T^9$  where  $T = \{0, 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9\}$ . Thus n(T) = 10, so  $n(T^9) = 10^9 = 1,000,000,000$ .

• The above number only gives the possible student records for a class of 180. The actual class records are, of course only 180, since there is exactly one per student. We will often need to identify and count specific subsets of

the full product sets in this way.

- We cast a die 5 times and note the number on top, which would be a member of the set S = {1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6}. If we record the 5 castings and record the top numbers in order, then we get members of the product set S<sup>5</sup> which has 6<sup>5</sup> = 7776 elements.
- A telephone company assigns nine digit telephone numbers. How many different phones can it handle? We can imagine the telephone numbers as members of the set  $T^9$  where  $T = \{0, 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9\}$ . Thus n(T) = 10, so  $n(T^9) = 10^9 = 1,000,000,000$ .

• The above number only gives the possible student records for a class of 180. The actual class records are, of course only 180, since there is exactly one per student. We will often need to identify and count specific subsets of

the full product sets in this way.

- We cast a die 5 times and note the number on top, which would be a member of the set S = {1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6}. If we record the 5 castings and record the top numbers in order, then we get members of the product set S<sup>5</sup> which has 6<sup>5</sup> = 7776 elements.
- A telephone company assigns nine digit telephone numbers. How many different phones can it handle? We can imagine the telephone numbers as members of the set  $T^9$  where  $T = \{0, 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9\}$ . Thus n(T) = 10, so  $n(T^9) = 10^9 = 1,000,000,000$ .

- Your favorite restaurant, Wally's Fine Dining, has a dinner menu with 6 appetizers, 11 entrees, and 8 desserts. A dinner at Wally's consists of 1 appetizer, 1 entree, and 1 dessert. What is the largest number of days could you eat dinner at Wally's without ever ordering the exact same meal?
- Answer: Imagine a choice card with three boxes marked appetizer, entree and dessert. They can be respectively filled with 6, 11, 8 choices and by multiplication principle, the answer is the product: (6)(11)(8) = 528.

- Your favorite restaurant, Wally's Fine Dining, has a dinner menu with 6 appetizers, 11 entrees, and 8 desserts. A dinner at Wally's consists of 1 appetizer, 1 entree, and 1 dessert. What is the largest number of days could you eat dinner at Wally's without ever ordering the exact same meal?
- Answer: Imagine a choice card with three boxes marked appetizer, entree and dessert. They can be respectively filled with 6, 11, 8 choices and by multiplication principle, the answer is the product: (6)(11)(8) = 528.

- Your favorite restaurant, Wally's Fine Dining, has a dinner menu with 6 appetizers, 11 entrees, and 8 desserts. A dinner at Wally's consists of 1 appetizer, 1 entree, and 1 dessert. What is the largest number of days could you eat dinner at Wally's without ever ordering the exact same meal?
- Answer: Imagine a choice card with three boxes marked appetizer, entree and dessert.

They can be respectively filled with 6, 11, 8 choices and by multiplication principle, the answer is the product: (6)(11)(8) = 528.

- Your favorite restaurant, Wally's Fine Dining, has a dinner menu with 6 appetizers, 11 entrees, and 8 desserts. A dinner at Wally's consists of 1 appetizer, 1 entree, and 1 dessert. What is the largest number of days could you eat dinner at Wally's without ever ordering the exact same meal?
- Answer: Imagine a choice card with three boxes marked appetizer, entree and dessert.

They can be respectively filled with 6, 11, 8 choices and by multiplication principle, the answer is the product: (6)(11)(8) = 528.

- Manjula is extremely fashionable; so she can't stand wearing the same outfit twice to one job. She owns 6 shirts, 3 pairs of pants, and 9 pairs of shoes. If she works 270 days at her current job, how many more shirts must she get to have enough so that she will never have to wear the same outfit twice?
- Answer: Suppose she buys x new shirts. Then by multiplication principle, she would be good for (6 + x)(3)(9) = 162 + 27x days.
- We want this answer to become at least 270. Clearly x = 4 works! (6+4)(3)(9) = 270.

- Manjula is extremely fashionable; so she can't stand wearing the same outfit twice to one job. She owns 6 shirts, 3 pairs of pants, and 9 pairs of shoes. If she works 270 days at her current job, how many more shirts must she get to have enough so that she will never have to wear the same outfit twice?
- Answer: Suppose she buys x new shirts. Then by multiplication principle, she would be good for (6 + x)(3)(9) = 162 + 27x days.
- We want this answer to become at least 270. Clearly x = 4 works! (6+4)(3)(9) = 270.

- Manjula is extremely fashionable; so she can't stand wearing the same outfit twice to one job. She owns 6 shirts, 3 pairs of pants, and 9 pairs of shoes. If she works 270 days at her current job, how many more shirts must she get to have enough so that she will never have to wear the same outfit twice?
- Answer: Suppose she buys x new shirts. Then by multiplication principle, she would be good for (6 + x)(3)(9) = 162 + 27x days.
- We want this answer to become at least 270. Clearly x = 4 works! (6+4)(3)(9) = 270.

- Manjula is extremely fashionable; so she can't stand wearing the same outfit twice to one job. She owns 6 shirts, 3 pairs of pants, and 9 pairs of shoes. If she works 270 days at her current job, how many more shirts must she get to have enough so that she will never have to wear the same outfit twice?
- Answer: Suppose she buys x new shirts. Then by multiplication principle, she would be good for (6 + x)(3)(9) = 162 + 27x days.
- We want this answer to become at least 270. Clearly x = 4 works! (6+4)(3)(9) = 270.

- Manjula is extremely fashionable; so she can't stand wearing the same outfit twice to one job. She owns 6 shirts, 3 pairs of pants, and 9 pairs of shoes. If she works 270 days at her current job, how many more shirts must she get to have enough so that she will never have to wear the same outfit twice?
- Answer: Suppose she buys x new shirts. Then by multiplication principle, she would be good for (6 + x)(3)(9) = 162 + 27x days.
- We want this answer to become at least 270. Clearly x = 4 works! (6+4)(3)(9) = 270.

- Suppose we now require that the first digit of a telephone number cannot be 0 or 5, then we get a modified count  $8 \cdot 10^8$  or 800,000,000.
- This is typical. We often start restricting the elements of a product set and count the new subset.
- As another example, if besides the beginning 0 or 5, we also disallow all numbers with the same digit repeated 9-times, then we get to disallow these 10 numbers 000,000,000,111,111,111,...,999,999,999.
- Is the new answer 800,000,000 10? A little thought will show that it is actually 800,000,000 8 = 799,999,992.

- Suppose we now require that the first digit of a telephone number cannot be 0 or 5, then we get a modified count  $8 \cdot 10^8$  or 800,000,000.
- This is typical. We often start restricting the elements of a product set and count the new subset.
- As another example, if besides the beginning 0 or 5, we also disallow all numbers with the same digit repeated 9-times, then we get to disallow these 10 numbers 000,000,000,111,111,111,...,999,999,999.
- Is the new answer 800,000,000 − 10? A little thought will show that it is actually 800,000,000 − 8 = 799,999,992.

- Suppose we now require that the first digit of a telephone number cannot be 0 or 5, then we get a modified count  $8 \cdot 10^8$  or 800,000,000.
- This is typical. We often start restricting the elements of a product set and count the new subset.
- As another example, if besides the beginning 0 or 5, we also disallow all numbers with the same digit repeated 9-times, then we get to disallow these 10 numbers 000, 000, 000, 111, 111, 111, ..., 999, 999, 999.
- Is the new answer 800,000,000 10? A little thought will show that it is actually 800,000,000 8 = 799,999,992.

- Suppose we now require that the first digit of a telephone number cannot be 0 or 5, then we get a modified count  $8 \cdot 10^8$  or 800,000,000.
- This is typical. We often start restricting the elements of a product set and count the new subset.
- As another example, if besides the beginning 0 or 5, we also disallow all numbers with the same digit repeated 9-times, then we get to disallow these 10 numbers 000, 000, 000, 111, 111, 111, ..., 999, 999, 999.
- Is the new answer 800,000,000 10? A little thought will show that it is actually 800,000,000 8 = 799,999,992. Why? because 000,000,000 and 555,555,555 are not available to discard!

- Suppose we now require that the first digit of a telephone number cannot be 0 or 5, then we get a modified count  $8 \cdot 10^8$  or 800,000,000.
- This is typical. We often start restricting the elements of a product set and count the new subset.
- As another example, if besides the beginning 0 or 5, we also disallow all numbers with the same digit repeated 9-times, then we get to disallow these 10 numbers 000, 000, 000, 111, 111, 111, ..., 999, 999, 999.
- Is the new answer 800,000,000 10? A little thought will show that it is actually 800,000,000 8 = 799,999,992. Why? because 000,000,000 and 555,555,555 are not available to discard!

- Suppose we now require that the first digit of a telephone number cannot be 0 or 5, then we get a modified count  $8 \cdot 10^8$  or 800,000,000.
- This is typical. We often start restricting the elements of a product set and count the new subset.
- As another example, if besides the beginning 0 or 5, we also disallow all numbers with the same digit repeated 9-times, then we get to disallow these 10 numbers 000, 000, 000, 111, 111, 111, ..., 999, 999, 999.
- Is the new answer 800,000,000 10? A little thought will show that it is actually 800,000,000 8 = 799,999,992. Why? because 000,000,000 and 555,555,555 are not available to discard!

- Suppose we now require that the first digit of a telephone number cannot be 0 or 5, then we get a modified count  $8 \cdot 10^8$  or 800,000,000.
- This is typical. We often start restricting the elements of a product set and count the new subset.
- As another example, if besides the beginning 0 or 5, we also disallow all numbers with the same digit repeated 9-times, then we get to disallow these 10 numbers 000, 000, 000, 111, 111, 111, ..., 999, 999, 999.
- Is the new answer 800,000,000 10? A little thought will show that it is actually 800,000,000 8 = 799,999,992. Why? because 000,000,000 and 555,555,555 are not available to discard!

#### More Restricted Products: Permutations.

- A natural question about the telephone numbers can be the following. Suppose, we wish to count those telephone number which do not repeat any digits. We could try and calculate the numbers with some repeated digits and try to subtract them off.
- A little thought will show that this is not practical. There are too many ways to repeat some digit and it would be hard to keep track of double counting. A better strategy is the following: Imagine the permissible numbers as sequences of digits to be filled in. The very first digit can be any one of the 10 digits in T. The second can now be one of 9, since the first digit is now used up! The third has now 8 choices and continuing, the last (ninth) digit has only two choices left.
- Thus, the total count is 10 · 9 · 8 · 7 · 6 · 5 · 4 · 3 · 2 = 3,628,800.
  We end up with a much smaller answer!
- A natural question about the telephone numbers can be the following. Suppose, we wish to count those telephone number which do not repeat any digits. We could try and calculate the numbers with some repeated digits and try to subtract them off.
- A little thought will show that this is not practical. There are too many ways to repeat some digit and it would be hard to keep track of double counting. A better strategy is the following: Imagine the permissible numbers as sequences of digits to be filled in. The very first digit can be any one of the 10 digits in T. The second can now be one of 9, since the first digit is now used up! The third has now 8 choices and continuing, the last (ninth) digit has only two choices left.
- Thus, the total count is 10 · 9 · 8 · 7 · 6 · 5 · 4 · 3 · 2 = 3,628,800.
  We end up with a much smaller answer!

- A natural question about the telephone numbers can be the following. Suppose, we wish to count those telephone number which do not repeat any digits. We could try and calculate the numbers with some repeated digits and try to subtract them off.
- A little thought will show that this is not practical. There are too many ways to repeat some digit and it would be hard to keep track of double counting. A better strategy is the following: Imagine the permissible numbers as sequences of digits to be filled in. The very first digit can be any one of the 10 digits in T. The second can now be one of 9, since the first digit is now used up! The third has now 8 choices and continuing, the last (ninth) digit has only two choices left.
- Thus, the total count is 10 · 9 · 8 · 7 · 6 · 5 · 4 · 3 · 2 = 3,628,800.
  We end up with a much smaller answer!

- A natural question about the telephone numbers can be the following. Suppose, we wish to count those telephone number which do not repeat any digits. We could try and calculate the numbers with some repeated digits and try to subtract them off.
- A little thought will show that this is not practical. There are too many ways to repeat some digit and it would be hard to keep track of double counting. A better strategy is the following: Imagine the permissible numbers as sequences of digits to be filled in. The very first digit can be any one of the 10 digits in T. The second can now be one of 9, since the first digit is now used up! The third has now 8 choices and continuing, the last (ninth) digit has only two choices left.
- Thus, the total count is 10 · 9 · 8 · 7 · 6 · 5 · 4 · 3 · 2 = 3,628,800.
  We end up with a much smaller answer!

- A natural question about the telephone numbers can be the following. Suppose, we wish to count those telephone number which do not repeat any digits. We could try and calculate the numbers with some repeated digits and try to subtract them off.
- A little thought will show that this is not practical. There are too many ways to repeat some digit and it would be hard to keep track of double counting. A better strategy is the following: Imagine the permissible numbers as sequences of digits to be filled in. The very first digit can be any one of the 10 digits in T. The second can now be one of 9, since the first digit is now used up! The third has now 8 choices and continuing, the last (ninth) digit has only two choices left.
- Thus, the total count is  $10 \cdot 9 \cdot 8 \cdot 7 \cdot 6 \cdot 5 \cdot 4 \cdot 3 \cdot 2 = 3,628,800.$
- We end up with a much smaller answer!

- A natural question about the telephone numbers can be the following. Suppose, we wish to count those telephone number which do not repeat any digits. We could try and calculate the numbers with some repeated digits and try to subtract them off.
- A little thought will show that this is not practical. There are too many ways to repeat some digit and it would be hard to keep track of double counting. A better strategy is the following: Imagine the permissible numbers as sequences of digits to be filled in. The very first digit can be any one of the 10 digits in T. The second can now be one of 9, since the first digit is now used up! The third has now 8 choices and continuing, the last (ninth) digit has only two choices left.
- Thus, the total count is  $10 \cdot 9 \cdot 8 \cdot 7 \cdot 6 \cdot 5 \cdot 4 \cdot 3 \cdot 2 = 3,628,800.$
- We end up with a much smaller answer!

- In general, many problems can be solved by this idea of filling in slots as we did above. The problem can be formulated as asking
- "in how many ways, can we seat *n* people in *r* chairs" or in a more neutral language, ' in how many ways can we arrange *n* objects in *r* positions"?
- The general answer is:

$$P(n, r) = n \cdot (n-1) \cdot (n-2) \cdots (n-r+1).$$

It is instructive to try various values of r and check this out!

- It is also clear that  $r \leq n$  for otherwise the number is zero and there is no solution. After all 4 people cannot fill up 5 chairs!
- Using the factorial notation, we can conveniently rewrite this as  $P(n, r) = \frac{n!}{(n-r)!}$ .

- In general, many problems can be solved by this idea of filling in slots as we did above. The problem can be formulated as asking
- "in how many ways, can we seat n people in r chairs" or in a more neutral language, ' 'in how many ways can we arrange n objects in r positions"?
- The general answer is:

$$P(n, r) = n \cdot (n-1) \cdot (n-2) \cdots (n-r+1).$$

It is instructive to try various values of r and check this out!

- It is also clear that  $r \leq n$  for otherwise the number is zero and there is no solution. After all 4 people cannot fill up 5 chairs!
- Using the factorial notation, we can conveniently rewrite this as  $P(n, r) = \frac{n!}{(n-r)!}$ .

- In general, many problems can be solved by this idea of filling in slots as we did above. The problem can be formulated as asking
- "in how many ways, can we seat *n* people in *r* chairs" or in a more neutral language, 'in how many ways can we arrange *n* objects in *r* positions"?
- The general answer is:

 $P(n,r) = n \cdot (n-1) \cdot (n-2) \cdots (n-r+1).$ 

It is instructive to try various values of r and check this out!

• It is also clear that  $r \leq n$  for otherwise the number is zero and there is no solution. After all 4 people cannot fill up 5 chairs!

• Using the factorial notation, we can conveniently rewrite this as  $P(n, r) = \frac{n!}{(n-r)!}$ .

Avinash Sathaye (Ma 162 Spring 2010

Chapter 6.

- In general, many problems can be solved by this idea of filling in slots as we did above. The problem can be formulated as asking
- "in how many ways, can we seat *n* people in *r* chairs" or in a more neutral language, 'in how many ways can we arrange *n* objects in *r* positions"?
- The general answer is:

$$P(n,r) = n \cdot (n-1) \cdot (n-2) \cdots (n-r+1).$$

It is instructive to try various values of r and check this out!

• It is also clear that  $r \leq n$  for otherwise the number is zero and there is no solution. After all 4 people cannot fill up 5 chairs!

• Using the factorial notation, we can conveniently rewrite this as  $P(n, r) = \frac{n!}{(n-r)!}$ . This formula be momented

Avinash Sathaye (Ma 162 Spring 2010

Chapter 6.

- In general, many problems can be solved by this idea of filling in slots as we did above. The problem can be formulated as asking
- "in how many ways, can we seat *n* people in *r* chairs" or in a more neutral language, 'in how many ways can we arrange *n* objects in *r* positions"?
- The general answer is:

$$P(n,r) = n \cdot (n-1) \cdot (n-2) \cdots (n-r+1).$$

It is instructive to try various values of r and check this out!

- It is also clear that  $r \leq n$  for otherwise the number is zero and there is no solution. After all 4 people cannot fill up 5 chairs!
- Using the factorial notation, we can conveniently rewrite this as  $P(n, r) = \frac{n!}{(n-r)!}$ . This formula should be memorized.

Avinash Sathaye (Ma 162 Spring 2010

- In general, many problems can be solved by this idea of filling in slots as we did above. The problem can be formulated as asking
- "in how many ways, can we seat n people in r chairs" or in a more neutral language, ' 'in how many ways can we arrange n objects in r positions"?
- The general answer is:

$$P(n,r) = n \cdot (n-1) \cdot (n-2) \cdots (n-r+1).$$

It is instructive to try various values of r and check this out!

- It is also clear that  $r \leq n$  for otherwise the number is zero and there is no solution. After all 4 people cannot fill up 5 chairs!
- Using the factorial notation, we can conveniently rewrite this as  $P(n, r) = \frac{n!}{(n-r)!}$ . This formula should be memorized.

- In general, many problems can be solved by this idea of filling in slots as we did above. The problem can be formulated as asking
- "in how many ways, can we seat n people in r chairs" or in a more neutral language, ' 'in how many ways can we arrange n objects in r positions"?
- The general answer is:

$$P(n,r) = n \cdot (n-1) \cdot (n-2) \cdots (n-r+1).$$

It is instructive to try various values of r and check this out!

- It is also clear that  $r \leq n$  for otherwise the number is zero and there is no solution. After all 4 people cannot fill up 5 chairs!
- Using the factorial notation, we can conveniently rewrite this as  $P(n, r) = \frac{n!}{(n-r)!}$ . This formula should be memorized.

- Sometimes the problem is to seat a number of people in a circle rather than in a row of chairs.
- We can ask for the number of ways of arranging some r of n people at a circular table with r chairs.
- The simplest way to do this problem is to note that if the chairs are in a row, we know the answer P(n, r). Now we move the chairs in a circle. We note that r different arrangements in a row can give the same arrangement in a circle since shifting everybody to the right in the circle does not give a new arrangement.
- So, the simple formula for the circular arrangement is:

$$\frac{P(n,r)}{r} = \frac{n!}{(n-r)!r}$$

- Sometimes the problem is to seat a number of people in a circle rather than in a row of chairs.
- We can ask for the number of ways of arranging some r of n people at a circular table with r chairs.
- The simplest way to do this problem is to note that if the chairs are in a row, we know the answer P(n, r). Now we move the chairs in a circle. We note that r different arrangements in a row can give the same arrangement in a circle since shifting everybody to the right in the circle does not give a new arrangement.
- So, the simple formula for the circular arrangement is:

$$\frac{P(n,r)}{r} = \frac{n!}{(n-r)!r}$$

- Sometimes the problem is to seat a number of people in a circle rather than in a row of chairs.
- We can ask for the number of ways of arranging some r of n people at a circular table with r chairs.
- The simplest way to do this problem is to note that if the chairs are in a row, we know the answer P(n, r). Now we move the chairs in a circle. We note that r different arrangements in a row can give the same arrangement in a circle since shifting everybody to the right in the circle does not give a new arrangement.
- So, the simple formula for the circular arrangement is:

$$\frac{P(n,r)}{r} = \frac{n!}{(n-r)!r}$$

- Sometimes the problem is to seat a number of people in a circle rather than in a row of chairs.
- We can ask for the number of ways of arranging some r of n people at a circular table with r chairs.
- The simplest way to do this problem is to note that if the chairs are in a row, we know the answer P(n, r). Now we move the chairs in a circle. We note that r different arrangements in a row can give the same arrangement in a circle since shifting everybody to the right in the circle does not give a new arrangement.
- So, the simple formula for the circular arrangement is:

$$\frac{P(n,r)}{r} = \frac{n!}{(n-r)!r}.$$

- Sometimes the problem is to seat a number of people in a circle rather than in a row of chairs.
- We can ask for the number of ways of arranging some r of n people at a circular table with r chairs.
- The simplest way to do this problem is to note that if the chairs are in a row, we know the answer P(n, r). Now we move the chairs in a circle. We note that r different arrangements in a row can give the same arrangement in a circle since shifting everybody to the right in the circle does not give a new arrangement.
- So, the simple formula for the circular arrangement is:

$$\frac{P(n,r)}{r} = \frac{n!}{(n-r)!r}$$

- Sometimes the problem is to seat a number of people in a circle rather than in a row of chairs.
- We can ask for the number of ways of arranging some r of n people at a circular table with r chairs.
- The simplest way to do this problem is to note that if the chairs are in a row, we know the answer P(n, r). Now we move the chairs in a circle. We note that r different arrangements in a row can give the same arrangement in a circle since shifting everybody to the right in the circle does not give a new arrangement.
- So, the simple formula for the circular arrangement is:

$$\frac{P(n,r)}{r} = \frac{n!}{(n-r)!r}$$

- Given that A, B and C are sets with 94,67 and 84 members respectively, answer the following.
- If  $B \cap A$  has 45 members, then  $B \cup A$  has \_\_\_\_\_ members. Answer: Use the formula

 $n(B \bigcup A) = n(B) + n(A) - n(B \bigcap A) = 94 + 67 - 45 = 116.$ 

- If it is further known that  $C \cap A$  has 57 members, then  $C \cup A$  has \_\_\_\_\_ members.
- Answer: By an identical calculation, we get: 94 + 84 57 = 121.
- If, in addition B C has 40 members, then  $C \cap B$  has members.
- Answer. This time, we note that the sets B C and  $C \cap B$  have no common elements and their union is B. So, we get

 $n(B) = 67 = n(B - C) + n(C \cap B) = 40 + n(C \cap B)$ 

- Given that A, B and C are sets with 94,67 and 84 members respectively, answer the following.
- If  $B \cap A$  has 45 members, then  $B \cup A$  has \_\_\_\_\_ members. Answer: Use the formula

 $n(B \bigcup A) = n(B) + n(A) - n(B \bigcap A) = 94 + 67 - 45 = 116.$ 

- If it is further known that  $C \cap A$  has 57 members, then  $C \cup A$  has \_\_\_\_\_ members.
- Answer: By an identical calculation, we get: 94 + 84 57 = 121.
- If, in addition B C has 40 members, then  $C \cap B$  has members.
- Answer. This time, we note that the sets B C and  $C \cap B$  have no common elements and their union is B. So, we get

 $n(B) = 67 = n(B - C) + n(C \cap B) = 40 + n(C \cap B)$ 

- Given that A, B and C are sets with 94,67 and 84 members respectively, answer the following.
- If  $B \cap A$  has 45 members, then  $B \cup A$  has \_\_\_\_\_ members. Answer: Use the formula

$$n(B \bigcup A) = n(B) + n(A) - n(B \bigcap A) = 94 + 67 - 45 = 116.$$

- If it is further known that  $C \cap A$  has 57 members, then  $C \cup A$  has \_\_\_\_\_ members.
- Answer: By an identical calculation, we get: 94 + 84 57 = 121.
- If, in addition B C has 40 members, then  $C \cap B$  has members.
- Answer. This time, we note that the sets B C and  $C \cap B$  have no common elements and their union is B. So, we get

 $n(B) = 67 = n(B - C) + n(C \cap B) = 40 + n(C \cap B).$ 

- Given that A, B and C are sets with 94,67 and 84 members respectively, answer the following.
- If  $B \cap A$  has 45 members, then  $B \cup A$  has \_\_\_\_\_ members. Answer: Use the formula

$$n(B \bigcup A) = n(B) + n(A) - n(B \bigcap A) = 94 + 67 - 45 = 116.$$

- If it is further known that  $C \cap A$  has 57 members, then  $C \cup A$  has \_\_\_\_\_ members.
- Answer: By an identical calculation, we get: 94 + 84 57 = 121.
- If, in addition B C has 40 members, then  $C \cap B$  has members.
- Answer. This time, we note that the sets B C and  $C \cap B$  have no common elements and their union is B. So, we get

#### $n(B) = 67 = n(B - C) + n(C \bigcap B) = 40 + n(C \bigcap B).$

- Given that A, B and C are sets with 94,67 and 84 members respectively, answer the following.
- If  $B \cap A$  has 45 members, then  $B \cup A$  has \_\_\_\_\_ members. Answer: Use the formula

$$n(B \bigcup A) = n(B) + n(A) - n(B \bigcap A) = 94 + 67 - 45 = 116.$$

- If it is further known that  $C \cap A$  has 57 members, then  $C \cup A$  has \_\_\_\_\_ members.
- Answer: By an identical calculation, we get: 94 + 84 57 = 121.
- If, in addition B C has 40 members, then  $C \cap B$  has \_\_\_\_\_ members.
- Answer. This time, we note that the sets B C and  $C \cap B$  have no common elements and their union is B. So, we get

$$n(B) = 67 = n(B - C) + n(C \bigcap B) = 40 + n(C \bigcap B).$$

- Given that A, B and C are sets with 94,67 and 84 members respectively, answer the following.
- If  $B \cap A$  has 45 members, then  $B \cup A$  has \_\_\_\_\_ members. Answer: Use the formula

$$n(B \bigcup A) = n(B) + n(A) - n(B \bigcap A) = 94 + 67 - 45 = 116.$$

- If it is further known that  $C \cap A$  has 57 members, then  $C \cup A$  has \_\_\_\_\_ members.
- Answer: By an identical calculation, we get: 94 + 84 57 = 121.
- If, in addition B C has 40 members, then  $C \cap B$  has \_\_\_\_\_ members.
- Answer. This time, we note that the sets B C and  $C \cap B$  have no common elements and their union is B. So, we get

$$n(B) = 67 = n(B - C) + n(C \cap B) = 40 + n(C \cap B).$$

- Given that A, B and C are sets with 94,67 and 84 members respectively, answer the following.
- If  $B \cap A$  has 45 members, then  $B \cup A$  has \_\_\_\_\_ members. Answer: Use the formula

$$n(B \bigcup A) = n(B) + n(A) - n(B \bigcap A) = 94 + 67 - 45 = 116.$$

- If it is further known that  $C \cap A$  has 57 members, then  $C \cup A$  has \_\_\_\_\_ members.
- Answer: By an identical calculation, we get: 94 + 84 57 = 121.
- If, in addition B C has 40 members, then  $C \cap B$  has \_\_\_\_\_ members.
- Answer. This time, we note that the sets B C and  $C \cap B$  have no common elements and their union is B. So, we get

$$n(B) = 67 = n(B - C) + n(C \bigcap B) = 40 + n(C \bigcap B).$$

- Given that A, B and C are sets with 94,67 and 84 members respectively, answer the following.
- If  $B \cap A$  has 45 members, then  $B \cup A$  has \_\_\_\_\_ members. Answer: Use the formula

$$n(B \bigcup A) = n(B) + n(A) - n(B \bigcap A) = 94 + 67 - 45 = 116.$$

- If it is further known that  $C \cap A$  has 57 members, then  $C \cup A$  has \_\_\_\_\_ members.
- Answer: By an identical calculation, we get: 94 + 84 57 = 121.
- If, in addition B C has 40 members, then  $C \cap B$  has \_\_\_\_\_ members.
- Answer. This time, we note that the sets B C and  $C \cap B$  have no common elements and their union is B. So, we get

$$n(B) = 67 = n(B - C) + n(C \bigcap B) = 40 + n(C \bigcap B).$$

- Finally, if we are given that the intersection of all three sets A, B, and C has 17 members, then the union of all three sets has \_\_\_\_\_ members.
- Answer: Using the three set formula:  $n(A \cup B \cup C) = n(A) + n(B) + n(C) - n(A \cap B) - n(B \cap C) - n(C \cap A) + n(A \cap B \cap C)$ and the above calculations, we get:
- $n(A \cup B \cup C) = 94 + 67 + 84 (45 + 57 + 27) + 17 = 133.$

- Finally, if we are given that the intersection of all three sets A, B, and C has 17 members, then the union of all three sets has \_\_\_\_\_ members.
- Answer: Using the three set formula:  $n(A \cup B \cup C) = n(A) + n(B) + n(C) - n(A \cap B) - n(B \cap C) - n(C \cap A) + n(A \cap B \cap C)$ and the above calculations, we get:
- $n(A \cup B \cup C) = 94 + 67 + 84 (45 + 57 + 27) + 17 = 133.$

- Finally, if we are given that the intersection of all three sets A, B, and C has 17 members, then the union of all three sets has \_\_\_\_\_ members.
- Answer: Using the three set formula:  $n(A \cup B \cup C) = n(A) + n(B) + n(C) - n(A \cap B) - n(B \cap C) - n(C \cap A) + n(A \cap B \cap C)$ and the above calculations, we get:
- $n(A \cup B \cup C) = 94 + 67 + 84 (45 + 57 + 27) + 17 = 133.$

- Finally, if we are given that the intersection of all three sets A, B, and C has 17 members, then the union of all three sets has \_\_\_\_\_ members.
- Answer: Using the three set formula:  $n(A \cup B \cup C) = n(A) + n(B) + n(C) - n(A \cap B) - n(B \cap C) - n(C \cap A) + n(A \cap B \cap C)$ and the above calculations, we get:
- $n(A \cup B \cup C) = 94 + 67 + 84 (45 + 57 + 27) + 17 = 133.$

- Finally, if we are given that the intersection of all three sets A, B, and C has 17 members, then the union of all three sets has \_\_\_\_\_ members.
- Answer: Using the three set formula:  $n(A \cup B \cup C) = n(A) + n(B) + n(C) - n(A \cap B) - n(B \cap C) - n(C \cap A) + n(A \cap B \cap C)$ and the above calculations, we get:
- $n(A \cup B \cup C) = 94 + 67 + 84 (45 + 57 + 27) + 17 = 133.$

- Let us revisit our formula for permutations. Consider a problem of selecting a delegation of three students from a class of 25 students to go to a state convention.
- We could try to count the number of possible delegations thus. Set up three chairs and seat the students randomly in them one after the other.
- As we saw before, the possible number of such selections appears to be 25 · 24 · 23 = 13,800. Do remember this as P(25,3) = <sup>25!</sup>/<sub>22!</sub>.
- But we need to think some more. Once the team is selected, the order does not matter. A little thought shows that the same 3-student team can appear in 3! = 6 different ways in our selections, depending on the order of choosing.
- Since we are only interested in the team and not the order, the correct answer should be 13,800/6 = 2,300.

- Let us revisit our formula for permutations. Consider a problem of selecting a delegation of three students from a class of 25 students to go to a state convention.
- We could try to count the number of possible delegations thus. Set up three chairs and seat the students randomly in them one after the other.
- As we saw before, the possible number of such selections appears to be  $25 \cdot 24 \cdot 23 = 13,800$ . Do remember this as  $P(25,3) = \frac{25!}{22!}$ .
- But we need to think some more. Once the team is selected, the order does not matter. A little thought shows that the same 3-student team can appear in 3! = 6 different ways in our selections, depending on the order of choosing.
- Since we are only interested in the team and not the order, the correct answer should be 13,800/6 = 2,300.

- Let us revisit our formula for permutations. Consider a problem of selecting a delegation of three students from a class of 25 students to go to a state convention.
- We could try to count the number of possible delegations thus. Set up three chairs and seat the students randomly in them one after the other.
- As we saw before, the possible number of such selections appears to be  $25 \cdot 24 \cdot 23 = 13,800$ . Do remember this as  $P(25,3) = \frac{25!}{22!}$ .
- But we need to think some more. Once the team is selected, the order does not matter. A little thought shows that the same 3-student team can appear in 3! = 6 different ways in our selections, depending on the order of choosing.
- Since we are only interested in the team and not the order, the correct answer should be 13,800/6 = 2,300.

- Let us revisit our formula for permutations. Consider a problem of selecting a delegation of three students from a class of 25 students to go to a state convention.
- We could try to count the number of possible delegations thus. Set up three chairs and seat the students randomly in them one after the other.
- As we saw before, the possible number of such selections appears to be  $25 \cdot 24 \cdot 23 = 13,800$ . Do remember this as  $P(25,3) = \frac{25!}{22!}$ .
- But we need to think some more. Once the team is selected, the order does not matter. A little thought shows that the same 3-student team can appear in 3! = 6 different ways in our selections, depending on the order of choosing.
- Since we are only interested in the team and not the order, the correct answer should be 13,800/6 = 2,300.

- Let us revisit our formula for permutations. Consider a problem of selecting a delegation of three students from a class of 25 students to go to a state convention.
- We could try to count the number of possible delegations thus. Set up three chairs and seat the students randomly in them one after the other.
- As we saw before, the possible number of such selections appears to be  $25 \cdot 24 \cdot 23 = 13,800$ . Do remember this as  $P(25,3) = \frac{25!}{22!}$ .
- But we need to think some more. Once the team is selected, the order does not matter. A little thought shows that the same 3-student team can appear in 3! = 6 different ways in our selections, depending on the order of choosing.
- Since we are only interested in the team and not the order, the correct answer should be 13,800/6 = 2,300.
### Combinations.

- Let us revisit our formula for permutations. Consider a problem of selecting a delegation of three students from a class of 25 students to go to a state convention.
- We could try to count the number of possible delegations thus. Set up three chairs and seat the students randomly in them one after the other.
- As we saw before, the possible number of such selections appears to be  $25 \cdot 24 \cdot 23 = 13,800$ . Do remember this as  $P(25,3) = \frac{25!}{22!}$ .
- But we need to think some more. Once the team is selected, the order does not matter. A little thought shows that the same 3-student team can appear in 3! = 6 different ways in our selections, depending on the order of choosing.
- Since we are only interested in the team and not the order, the correct answer should be 13,800/6 = 2,300.

### Combinations.

- Let us revisit our formula for permutations. Consider a problem of selecting a delegation of three students from a class of 25 students to go to a state convention.
- We could try to count the number of possible delegations thus. Set up three chairs and seat the students randomly in them one after the other.
- As we saw before, the possible number of such selections appears to be  $25 \cdot 24 \cdot 23 = 13,800$ . Do remember this as  $P(25,3) = \frac{25!}{22!}$ .
- But we need to think some more. Once the team is selected, the order does not matter. A little thought shows that the same 3-student team can appear in 3! = 6 different ways in our selections, depending on the order of choosing.
- Since we are only interested in the team and not the order, the correct answer should be 13,800/6 = 2,300.

$$C(n,r) = \frac{n!}{(n-r)!r!} = \frac{n(n-1)\cdots(n-r+1)}{r(r-1)\cdots(1)}.$$

- This is also described as the number of ways of choosing r objects out of n objects.
- We gave two forms of the formula. While the first is easy to remember, the second is easier to work with especially if r < (n r).
- Here are some observations and hints.
- C(n, r) = C(n, n r). Just check the first formula. Alternatively, think thus: Choosing r objects from n is the same as choosing (n - r) for rejection!
- C(n+1,r) = C(n,r) + c(n,r-1). Use simple algebraic manipulation

$$C(n,r) = \frac{n!}{(n-r)!r!} = \frac{n(n-1)\cdots(n-r+1)}{r(r-1)\cdots(1)}$$

- This is also described as the number of ways of choosing r objects out of n objects.
- We gave two forms of the formula. While the first is easy to remember, the second is easier to work with especially if r < (n − r).</li>
- Here are some observations and hints.
- C(n, r) = C(n, n r). Just check the first formula. Alternatively, think thus: Choosing r objects from n is the same as choosing (n - r) for rejection!
- C(n+1,r) = C(n,r) + c(n,r-1). Use simple algebraic manipulation

$$C(n,r) = \frac{n!}{(n-r)!r!} = \frac{n(n-1)\cdots(n-r+1)}{r(r-1)\cdots(1)}.$$

- This is also described as the number of ways of choosing r objects out of n objects.
- We gave two forms of the formula. While the first is easy to remember, the second is easier to work with especially if r < (n − r).</li>
- Here are some observations and hints.
- C(n,r) = C(n, n-r). Just check the first formula. Alternatively, think thus: Choosing r objects from n is the same as choosing (n-r) for rejection!
- C(n+1, r) = C(n, r) + c(n, r-1). Use simple algebraic manipulation.

• We record the formula for choosing r element sets out of n objects as:

$$C(n,r) = \frac{n!}{(n-r)!r!} = \frac{n(n-1)\cdots(n-r+1)}{r(r-1)\cdots(1)}$$

- This is also described as the number of ways of choosing r objects out of n objects.
- We gave two forms of the formula. While the first is easy to remember, the second is easier to work with especially if r < (n − r).</li>
- Here are some observations and hints.
- C(n, r) = C(n, n r). Just check the first formula.
   Alternatively, think thus: Choosing r objects from n is the same as choosing (n r) for rejection!
- C(n+1, r) = C(n, r) + c(n, r-1). Use simple algebraic manipulation.

Avinash Sathaye (Ma 162 Spring 2010

• We record the formula for choosing r element sets out of n objects as:

$$C(n,r) = \frac{n!}{(n-r)!r!} = \frac{n(n-1)\cdots(n-r+1)}{r(r-1)\cdots(1)}.$$

- This is also described as the number of ways of choosing r objects out of n objects.
- We gave two forms of the formula. While the first is easy to remember, the second is easier to work with especially if r < (n − r).</li>
- Here are some observations and hints.
- C(n,r) = C(n, n-r). Just check the first formula. Alternatively, think thus: Choosing r objects from n is the same as choosing (n-r) for rejection!
- C(n+1, r) = C(n, r) + c(n, r-1). Use simple algebraic manipulation.

Avinash Sathaye (Ma 162 Spring 2010

$$C(n,r) = \frac{n!}{(n-r)!r!} = \frac{n(n-1)\cdots(n-r+1)}{r(r-1)\cdots(1)}.$$

- This is also described as the number of ways of choosing r objects out of n objects.
- We gave two forms of the formula. While the first is easy to remember, the second is easier to work with especially if r < (n − r).</li>
- Here are some observations and hints.
- C(n,r) = C(n, n-r). Just check the first formula. Alternatively, think thus: Choosing r objects from n is the same as choosing (n-r) for rejection!
- C(n+1,r) = C(n,r) + c(n,r-1). Use simple algebraic manipulation.

$$C(n,r) = \frac{n!}{(n-r)!r!} = \frac{n(n-1)\cdots(n-r+1)}{r(r-1)\cdots(1)}.$$

- This is also described as the number of ways of choosing r objects out of n objects.
- We gave two forms of the formula. While the first is easy to remember, the second is easier to work with especially if r < (n − r).</li>
- Here are some observations and hints.
- C(n,r) = C(n, n-r). Just check the first formula. Alternatively, think thus: Choosing r objects from n is the same as choosing (n-r) for rejection!
- C(n+1,r) = C(n,r) + c(n,r-1). Use simple algebraic manipulation.

$$C(n,r) = \frac{n!}{(n-r)!r!} = \frac{n(n-1)\cdots(n-r+1)}{r(r-1)\cdots(1)}.$$

- This is also described as the number of ways of choosing r objects out of n objects.
- We gave two forms of the formula. While the first is easy to remember, the second is easier to work with especially if r < (n − r).</li>
- Here are some observations and hints.
- C(n,r) = C(n, n-r). Just check the first formula. Alternatively, think thus: Choosing r objects from n is the same as choosing (n-r) for rejection!
- C(n+1,r) = C(n,r) + c(n,r-1). Use simple algebraic manipulation.

### Binomial Theorem.

### • Binomial Theorem:

 $(1+x)^n = 1 + C(n,1)x + C(n,2)x^2 + \dots + C(n,r)x^r + \dots + x^n.$ 

Idea of the proof. Think of (1 + x)<sup>n</sup> as product of n terms (1 + x). To get a term x<sup>r</sup> out of this product, we simply have to choose x from r of the terms and 1 from the remaining (n - r) terms. Hence the term x<sup>r</sup> must occur C(n, r) times!
Note that the above expression suggests why

$$C(n,0) = C(n,n) = 1.$$

- Also, we must clearly have C(n, r) = 0 if r > n, since we cannot choose r > n objects from among the *n* objects.
- C(n, r) gives the number of ways of splitting an *n*-element set into two pieces – an *r* element set and an (n - r) element set. We can ask for the number of ways of splitting into three sets of sizes a, b, (n - a - b).

$$(1+x)^n = 1 + C(n,1)x + C(n,2)x^2 + \dots + C(n,r)x^r + \dots + x^n$$

Idea of the proof. Think of (1 + x)<sup>n</sup> as product of n terms (1 + x). To get a term x<sup>r</sup> out of this product, we simply have to choose x from r of the terms and 1 from the remaining (n - r) terms. Hence the term x<sup>r</sup> must occur C(n, r) times!
Note that the above expression suggests why

$$C(n,0) = C(n,n) = 1.$$

- Also, we must clearly have C(n, r) = 0 if r > n, since we cannot choose r > n objects from among the n objects.
- C(n, r) gives the number of ways of splitting an *n*-element set into two pieces - an *r* element set and an (n - r) element set. We can ask for the number of ways of splitting into three sets of sizes a, b, (n - a - b).

 $(1+x)^n = 1 + C(n,1)x + C(n,2)x^2 + \dots + C(n,r)x^r + \dots + x^n.$ 

Idea of the proof. Think of (1 + x)<sup>n</sup> as product of n terms (1 + x). To get a term x<sup>r</sup> out of this product, we simply have to choose x from r of the terms and 1 from the remaining (n - r) terms. Hence the term x<sup>r</sup> must occur C(n, r) times!
Note that the above expression suggests why

C(n,0) = C(n,n) = 1.

- Also, we must clearly have C(n, r) = 0 if r > n, since we cannot choose r > n objects from among the n objects.
- C(n, r) gives the number of ways of splitting an *n*-element set into two pieces - an *r* element set and an (n - r) element set. We can ask for the number of ways of splitting into three sets of sizes a, b, (n - a - b).

- Idea of the proof. Think of (1 + x)<sup>n</sup> as product of n terms (1 + x). To get a term x<sup>r</sup> out of this product, we simply have to choose x from r of the terms and 1 from the remaining (n r) terms. Hence the term x<sup>r</sup> must occur C(n, r) times!
  Note that the above expression suggests why
- Note that the above expression suggests why C(n,0) = C(n,n) = 1.
- Also, we must clearly have C(n, r) = 0 if r > n, since we cannot choose r > n objects from among the *n* objects.
- C(n, r) gives the number of ways of splitting an *n*-element set into two pieces - an *r* element set and an (n - r) element set. We can ask for the number of ways of splitting into three sets of sizes a, b, (n - a - b).

- Idea of the proof. Think of (1 + x)<sup>n</sup> as product of n terms (1 + x). To get a term x<sup>r</sup> out of this product, we simply have to choose x from r of the terms and 1 from the remaining (n r) terms. Hence the term x<sup>r</sup> must occur C(n, r) times!
  Note that the above supposed supposed why
- Note that the above expression suggests why C(n,0) = C(n,n) = 1.
- Also, we must clearly have C(n, r) = 0 if r > n, since we cannot choose r > n objects from among the n objects.
- C(n, r) gives the number of ways of splitting an *n*-element set into two pieces an *r* element set and an (n r) element set. We can ask for the number of ways of splitting into three sets of sizes a, b, (n a b).

- Idea of the proof. Think of (1 + x)<sup>n</sup> as product of n terms (1 + x). To get a term x<sup>r</sup> out of this product, we simply have to choose x from r of the terms and 1 from the remaining (n r) terms. Hence the term x<sup>r</sup> must occur C(n, r) times!
  Note that the above supposed supposed why
- Note that the above expression suggests why C(n,0) = C(n,n) = 1.
- Also, we must clearly have C(n, r) = 0 if r > n, since we cannot choose r > n objects from among the *n* objects.
- C(n, r) gives the number of ways of splitting an *n*-element set into two pieces - an *r* element set and an (n - r) element set. We can ask for the number of ways of splitting into three sets of sizes a, b, (n - a - b).

- Idea of the proof. Think of (1 + x)<sup>n</sup> as product of n terms (1 + x). To get a term x<sup>r</sup> out of this product, we simply have to choose x from r of the terms and 1 from the remaining (n r) terms. Hence the term x<sup>r</sup> must occur C(n, r) times!
  Note that the above supposed supposed why
- Note that the above expression suggests why C(n,0) = C(n,n) = 1.
- Also, we must clearly have C(n, r) = 0 if r > n, since we cannot choose r > n objects from among the *n* objects.
- C(n, r) gives the number of ways of splitting an *n*-element set into two pieces - an *r* element set and an (n - r) element set. We can ask for the number of ways of splitting into three sets of sizes a, b, (n - a - b).

• A similar argument can show that the answer is:

$$C(n, a, b) = \frac{n!}{(n - a - b)!a!b!}$$

- There is a corresponding multinomial theorem:  $(1+x+y)^n = \sum C(n,a,b) x^a y^b.$
- We can thus state the Multinomial Theorem:

$$(1+x+y)^n = \sum C(n,a,b)x^a y^b.$$

For example:

$$(1+x+y)^3 = 1+3x+3y+3x^2+6xy+3y^2+x^3+3x^2y+3xy^2+y^3.$$

Verify these terms.

• A similar argument can show that the answer is:

$$C(n, a, b) = \frac{n!}{(n - a - b)!a!b!}$$

• There is a corresponding multinomial theorem:  $(1 + x + y)^n = \sum C(n, a, b) x^a y^b.$ 

• We can thus state the Multinomial Theorem:

$$(1+x+y)^n = \sum C(n,a,b)x^a y^b.$$

For example:

$$(1+x+y)^3 = 1+3x+3y+3x^2+6xy+3y^2+x^3+3x^2y+3xy^2+y^3.$$

Verify these terms.

• A similar argument can show that the answer is:

$$C(n, a, b) = \frac{n!}{(n - a - b)!a!b!}$$

• There is a corresponding multinomial theorem:

$$(1+x+y)^n = \sum C(n,a,b)x^a y^b.$$

• We can thus state the Multinomial Theorem:

$$(1 + x + y)^n = \sum C(n, a, b) x^a y^b.$$

For example:

$$(1+x+y)^3 = 1+3x+3y+3x^2+6xy+3y^2+x^3+3x^2y+3xy^2+y^3.$$

#### Verify these terms.

• A similar argument can show that the answer is:

$$C(n, a, b) = \frac{n!}{(n - a - b)!a!b!}$$

• There is a corresponding multinomial theorem:

$$(1+x+y)^n = \sum C(n,a,b)x^a y^b.$$

• We can thus state the Multinomial Theorem:

$$(1 + x + y)^n = \sum C(n, a, b) x^a y^b.$$

For example:

$$(1+x+y)^3 = 1+3x+3y+3x^2+6xy+3y^2+x^3+3x^2y+3xy^2+y^3.$$

Verify these terms.

• A similar argument can show that the answer is:

$$C(n, a, b) = \frac{n!}{(n - a - b)!a!b!}$$

• There is a corresponding multinomial theorem:

$$(1+x+y)^n = \sum C(n,a,b)x^a y^b.$$

• We can thus state the Multinomial Theorem:

$$(1 + x + y)^n = \sum C(n, a, b) x^a y^b.$$

For example:

$$(1+x+y)^3 = 1+3x+3y+3x^2+6xy+3y^2+x^3+3x^2y+3xy^2+y^3.$$

Verify these terms.

• A similar argument can show that the answer is:

$$C(n, a, b) = \frac{n!}{(n - a - b)!a!b!}$$

• There is a corresponding multinomial theorem:

$$(1+x+y)^n = \sum C(n,a,b)x^a y^b.$$

• We can thus state the Multinomial Theorem:

$$(1 + x + y)^n = \sum C(n, a, b) x^a y^b.$$

For example:

$$(1+x+y)^3 = 1+3x+3y+3x^2+6xy+3y^2+x^3+3x^2y+3xy^2+y^3.$$

Verify these terms.